

# ON PARTIALLY HYPERBOLIC DIFFEOMORPHISMS OF 3-MANIFOLDS WITH COMMUTATIVE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP.

M. BRIN, D. BURAGO, AND S. IVANOV

*Dedicated to Anatole Katok on his 60th birthday.*

ABSTRACT. Let  $f$  be a dynamically coherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism of a compact three dimensional manifold whose fundamental group is abelian. We show that the action  $f_*$  induced by  $f$  on the 1-dimensional real homologies, is also partially hyperbolic: it has an eigenvalue of modulus  $> 1$  and an eigenvalue of modulus  $< 1$ . In particular, there are no such diffeomorphisms on  $S^3$ . The property of dynamical coherence follows from the unique integrability of the central distribution. We also discuss a weaker integrability property of the central distribution.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let  $M$  be a smooth, connected, compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. A  $C^1$  diffeomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow M$  is said to be *partially hyperbolic* if there are positive real numbers

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 < \gamma_1 \leq 1 \leq \gamma_2 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_1$$

and, for each  $x \in M$ , a  $df$ -invariant splitting of the tangent space

$$T_x M = E^s(x) \oplus E^u(x) \oplus E^c(x)$$

into subspaces called the *stable*, *unstable* and *center* subspaces, such that

$$\begin{aligned} df(x)E^a(x) &= E^a(f(x)) && \text{for } a = s, u, c \\ \lambda_1 \|v^s\| &\leq \|df(x)v^s\| \leq \lambda_2 \|v^s\| && \text{for } v^s \in E^s(x) \\ \mu_2 \|v^u\| &\leq \|df(x)v^u\| \leq \mu_1 \|v^u\| && \text{for } v^u \in E^u(x) \\ \gamma_1 \|v^c\| &\leq \|df(x)v^c\| \leq \gamma_2 \|v^c\| && \text{for } v^c \in E^c(x). \end{aligned}$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34C40,37C40,37D10,37D30.

The second author is partially supported by the NSF Grant DMS-0103739. The third author is partially supported by the CRDF grant RM1-2381-ST-02 and RFBR grants 02-01-00090 and 00-15-96024 .

The subspaces  $E^s(x)$ ,  $E^u(x)$  and  $E^c(x)$  form Hölder continuous stable, unstable and center distributions  $E^s$ ,  $E^u$  and  $E^c$  over  $M$  which, in general, are not  $C^1$  even if  $f$  is  $C^2$  or better [Ano67]. We refer to the direct sums  $E^{cs} = E^c \oplus E^s$  and  $E^{cu} = E^c \oplus E^u$  as the center-stable and center-unstable distributions, respectively. Although weaker versions of partial hyperbolicity are meaningful and sometimes are used, we assume throughout this paper that all three distributions  $E^s$ ,  $E^u$  and  $E^c$  are nontrivial.

By a *foliation*  $W$  of a manifold  $M$  we mean a partition of  $M$  into  $C^1$  submanifolds  $W(x) \ni x$  (called *leaves*) which depend continuously on  $x \in M$  in the compact-open  $C^1$  topology. For a foliation  $W$ , denote by  $TW$  the tangent distribution of  $W$ , i.e., the collection of all tangent planes to the leaves of  $W$ . A continuous distribution  $E$  is *integrable* if there is a foliation  $W$  such that  $TW = E$ .

The stable  $E^s$  and unstable  $E^u$  distributions are integrable; the corresponding foliations  $W^s$  and  $W^u$  are called the *stable* and *unstable foliations*, respectively. Moreover, the exponential contraction and expansion imply the uniqueness of integral manifolds: if a  $C^1$  curve is everywhere tangent to  $E^s$ , then it lies in one leaf of  $W^s$ , and similarly for  $W^u$ .

By analogy with ordinary differential equations we say that a continuous  $k$ -dimensional distribution  $E$  on a manifold  $M$  is *uniquely integrable* if there is a foliation  $W$  such that every  $C^1$  curve  $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  satisfying  $\dot{\sigma}(t) \in E(\sigma(t))$  for all  $t$ , is contained in  $W(\sigma(0))$  (in particular  $TW = E$ ). Note that unique integrability is stronger than the existence of an integral surface through every point. The latter condition holds for all 1-dimensional distributions, which may however fail to be uniquely integrable.

The integrability of  $E^c$  and the integrability of  $E^{cs}$  and  $E^{cu}$  (which are referred to as *dynamical coherence*) are important assumptions in the theory of stable ergodicity for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms (see [PS97], [BPSW01]). In general, the center distribution  $E^c$  fails to be integrable (see [Wil98], [Sma67] for a counterexample).

The following two theorems are the main results of this paper.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $M$  be a compact 3-dimensional manifold whose fundamental group is abelian and let  $f: M \rightarrow M$  be a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism. Assume that either  $f$  is dynamically coherent or the center distribution of  $f$  is uniquely integrable. Then the induced map  $f_*$  of the first homology group  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$  is also partially hyperbolic, i.e., it has eigenvalues  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_3$  with  $|\alpha_1| > 1$  and  $|\alpha_3|^{-1} > 1$ .*

It seems that the assumption of unique integrability of the central foliation can be omitted from the formulation of the theorem; however, at the moment the authors do not have a verified argument. We will address this issue elsewhere.

On the other hand, the condition on the fundamental group is essential: the geodesic flow on the unit tangent bundle of a negatively curved surface gives an example of a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism isotopic to the identity.

The next theorem is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.1

**THEOREM 1.2.** *A compact 3-dimensional manifold whose fundamental group is finite does not carry a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism which either is dynamically coherent or has a uniquely integrable center distribution. In particular, there are no such diffeomorphisms on  $\mathbb{S}^3$ .*

A diffeomorphism  $f$  is *robustly transitive* if every diffeomorphism sufficiently close to  $f$  in the  $C^1$  topology, is topologically transitive. L. Diaz, E. Pujals and R. Ures studied robustly transitive diffeomorphisms in dimension 3 (see [DPU99]). They showed that such a diffeomorphism  $f : M^3 \rightarrow M^3$  is generically partially hyperbolic in the sense that  $T_x M^3 = E^s \oplus E^{cu}$  (or  $T_x M^3 = E^u \oplus E^{cs}$ ). Assuming, in addition, the integrability of  $E^{cu}$ , they proved that  $\pi_1(M^3)$  is infinite. It seems that their argument should go through without the assumption of robust transitivity.

A  $C^0$  distribution  $E$  on a manifold  $M$  is called *weakly integrable* if for each point  $x$  there is an immersed complete  $C^1$  manifold  $W(x)$  which contains  $x$  and is everywhere tangent to  $E$ , i.e.,  $T_y W(x) = E(y)$  for each  $y \in W(x)$ . We refer to  $W(x)$  as an integral manifold of  $E$ . Note that *a priori* the integral manifolds  $W(x)$  may be self-intersecting and may not form a partition of  $M$ .

If the invariant distributions  $E^c$ ,  $E^{cs}$  and  $E^{cu}$  of a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism  $f$  are weakly integrable, we call  $f$  *weakly dynamically coherent*.

In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.1. The weak integrability property of the center distribution is discussed in Section 3. In particular we prove that if the center distribution of a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism  $f$  is 1-dimensional, then  $f$  is weakly dynamically coherent (Proposition 3.4). We use this fact in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The proof consists of three steps.

**Step 1.** We first show that if the center distribution  $E^c$  is uniquely integrable, then the center-stable and center-unstable distributions of  $f$  are integrable, i.e.,  $f$  is dynamically coherent.

Proposition 3.4 implies that for each  $x \in M$  there is a complete 2-dimensional submanifold  $W^{cs}(x)$  which is tangent to  $E^{cs}$  and passes through  $x$ . Recall that the stable distribution is uniquely integrable, and by our assumption the center distribution is uniquely integrable. It follows that the submanifolds  $W^{cs}(x)$  form a partition and therefore a foliation  $W^{cs}$  of  $M$  called the *center-stable* foliation. The integrability of  $E^{cu}$  follows by reversing the time.

**Remark.** Without the unique integrability assumption, Proposition 3.4 would only imply the weak integrability of  $E^{cs}$ , i.e., the existence of a family of complete surfaces (tangent to  $E^{cs}$ ) with at least one through every point, but the surfaces might branch. It would be enough to approximate  $E^{cs}$  by tangent distributions of foliations (and then use a “Gromov-type compactness argument”); it seems that this may be possible by first selecting a “minimum” subset of surfaces tangent to  $E^{cs}$ , with still at least one surface through every point, and then separating the surfaces by a small perturbation.

**Step 2.** Next we show that if  $f_* : H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$  does not have an eigenvalue whose absolute value is greater than one, then there is a compact leaf of  $W^{cs}$  which bounds a solid torus.

The classical Novikov Compact Leaf Theorem states that every smooth foliation of  $\mathbb{S}^3$  has a compact leaf (see [Nov65]). The theorem has been generalized to  $C^0$  foliations by Solodov (see [Sol82] and [CLN85]). A straightforward inspection of the argument shows that actually it proves the following stronger statement: if a  $C^0$  foliation of a closed 3-manifold admits a closed contractible curve transverse to the foliation, then the foliation has a compact leaf bounding a solid torus. A contractible closed differentiable curve which is transverse to the foliation is called a *transverse contractible cycle*. To prove the statement of Step 2, it is therefore enough to find a transverse contractible cycle for the foliation  $W^{cs}$  constructed in Step 1.

By passing to a finite cover we may assume that  $E^s$ ,  $E^u$  and  $E^c$  are oriented. We lift the structure we have on  $M$  to its universal cover  $\widetilde{M}$ . Let  $\tilde{f}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$  be a lift of  $f$ . We also use a tilde to denote the lifts of the distributions and foliations associated to  $f$ .

Since  $\widetilde{M}$  is quasi-isometric to  $\pi_1(M)$  which is abelian,  $\widetilde{M}$  is quasi-isometric to  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^k$  for some  $k$ . Thus the volume of balls in  $\widetilde{M}$  has polynomial growth.

Assume by contradiction that the absolute values of all eigenvalues of  $f_*$  are less than or equal to 1. Then the length of the images of any vector under the iterates of  $f_*$  grows sub-exponentially, and therefore so does the diameter of the images of any compact set under the iterates of  $\tilde{f}$ . Thus the images are contained in a sequence of balls whose volume grows sub-exponentially.

We now apply this observation to a segment  $I$  of an unstable leaf  $\tilde{W}^u(x)$ . The length of the images  $\tilde{f}(I)$  grows exponentially, but the images are contained in a sequence of balls with sub-exponential volume growth. Hence, given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , one can find a segment of an unstable curve of length  $> 1$  whose endpoints are  $\epsilon$ -close. Then, for  $\epsilon$  small enough, one can perturb this segment to close it up preserving its transversality to the leaves of  $W^{cs}$ . The image of this closed curve under the covering map is a transverse contractible cycle. By Novikov's theorem, there is a compact leaf of  $W^{cs}$  which bounds a solid torus.

**Step 3.** Finally we show that a closed leaf of  $W^{cs}$  cannot bound a solid torus. We need the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $f : T^2 \rightarrow T^2$  be a diffeomorphism of a 2-torus, and let  $E^s, E^c$  be 1-dimensional continuous  $df$ -invariant distributions generated by continuous, unit vector fields  $v^s$  and  $v^c$  with  $|df(v^s)| \leq \lambda|v^s|$ ,  $|df(v^c)| \geq \gamma|v^c|$  for some  $\lambda < 1$ ,  $\gamma > \lambda$ . Then  $f_* : \pi_1(T^2) \rightarrow \pi_1(T^2)$  has an eigenvalue  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| < 1$ .*

*Proof.* To keep the same terminology, we refer to curves tangent to  $E^c$  and  $E^s$  as center and stable curves, respectively.

Let  $\tilde{f}$  be a lift of  $f$  to the universal cover  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of  $T^2$ . We use  $\tilde{\cdot}$  to denote the lifts to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of  $E^c, E^s, v^c$  and  $v^s$ . Suppose that all eigenvalues of  $f_*$  are  $\geq 1$  in absolute value. Then the images of any vector under the iterates of  $f_*^{-1}$ , and hence the diameter and volume of the images of a ball under the iterates of  $f^{-1}$ , grow sub-exponentially. On the other hand, the length of the images of a segment  $s$  of a stable curve under the iterates of  $\tilde{f}^{-1}$  grows exponentially. Then the same argument as in Step 2 above applied to  $f^{-n}(s)$  shows that there exists a simple closed curve  $\sigma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which is almost tangent to  $\tilde{E}^s$  and hence is transverse to  $\tilde{E}^c$ . The curve  $\sigma$  bounds a disc in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Since  $\tilde{v}^c$  is transverse to  $\sigma$ , its index is 1. Hence  $\tilde{v}^c$  must vanish at some point, a contradiction.  $\square$

Assume by contradiction that  $T$  is a closed leaf of  $W^{cs}$  which bounds a solid torus  $\mathcal{T}$ . Since  $E^{cs}$  is continuous and orientable, the volume of such a solid torus is bounded away from 0. Therefore, by switching to another closed leaf and a power of  $f$  we may assume that  $T$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are invariant under  $f$ .

By Proposition 2.1, the action of  $f$  on  $\pi_1(T)$  is partially hyperbolic. It cannot be extended to a diffeomorphism of  $\mathcal{T}$  because the homomorphism  $i_*$ , induced by the embedding  $i: T \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ , sends one generator of  $\pi_1(T) = \mathbb{Z}^2$  to 0, and hence the image of the corresponding curve in  $T$  must be homotopic to its multiple. This contradiction finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

### 3. WEAK INTEGRABILITY OF THE CENTER DISTRIBUTION

We assume as before that all three distributions  $E^s$ ,  $E^u$  and  $E^c$  are non-trivial. As we already mentioned, the stable  $E^s$  and unstable  $E^u$  distributions are uniquely integrable; the integral foliations are the stable and unstable foliations  $W^s$  and  $W^u$ .

Recall that, in general, the center distribution  $E^c$  fails to be integrable (see [Sma67], [Wil98] for a counterexample). However, in several situations described below, due to their dynamical nature, the distributions  $E^c$ ,  $E^{cs}$  and  $E^{cu}$  are weakly integrable.

Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 imply that, given a connected component  $\mathcal{C}$  of the space of  $C^1$  partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms of a compact manifold  $M$ , either each  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  is weakly dynamically coherent or none of them are.

For a unit vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and a linear subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ , set  $d(v, L) = \min_{w \in L} \|v - w\|$ . The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the inequalities governing the contraction and expansion of the tangent vectors by the derivative of a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $f$  be a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with hyperbolicity constants  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 < \gamma_1 \leq 1 \leq \gamma_2 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_1$ .*

*Then for every  $\alpha > 0$  there is  $C(\alpha) > 0$  such that*

$$\|d(df^n v, E^{cu}(f^n(x)))\| \leq C(\alpha) \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\gamma_1}\right)^n \|v\|$$

*for every  $n > 0$  and every tangent vector  $v \in T_x M$  with  $d(v/\|v\|, E^s(x)) \geq \alpha$ .*

The lemma implies that if  $L$  is a linear subspace of  $T_x M$  which is transverse to  $E^s(x)$  and of complementary dimension, then  $df^n L$  is exponentially close to  $E^{cu}(f^n(x))$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $f$  be a partially hyperbolic  $C^1$  diffeomorphism of a compact  $C^1$  manifold  $M$ . If  $f$  is weakly dynamically coherent, then there is a  $C^1$  neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $f$  such that every  $g \in \mathcal{U}$  is weakly dynamically coherent.*

*Proof.* We use sub-indices  $f$  and  $g$  to denote the invariant distributions of  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively. We will prove the weak integrability of  $E_g^{cu}$ . The weak integrability of  $E_g^{cs}$  follows by reversing the time. The integral manifolds of  $E_g^c$  can be constructed by intersecting the integral manifolds of  $E_g^{cu}$  with the integral manifolds of  $E_g^{cs}$ .

Let  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_1$  be the hyperbolicity constants of  $f$ . To show the weak integrability of  $E_g^{cu}$  fix  $x \in M$ . Denote by  $W_f^{cu}(y)$  the integral manifold of  $E_f^{cu}$  passing through  $y \in M$ . For each positive integer  $n$ , let  $W_n = g^n(W_f^{cu}(g^{-n}(x)))$ . It follows from the hyperbolicity inequalities that the invariant distributions  $E_g^s$ ,  $E_g^u$  and  $E_g^c$  depend continuously on  $g$  in the  $C^1$  topology. Observe that if  $g$  is sufficiently  $C^1$  close to  $f$ , then the hyperbolicity constants of  $g$  are  $\delta$  close to those of  $f$ , and  $E_f^{cu}(y)$  is uniformly close to  $E_g^{cu}(y)$  and hence is uniformly transverse to  $E_g^s(y)$ . Lemma 3.1 implies that the tangent space to  $W_n$  is exponentially close to  $E_g^{cu}$ , i.e.,

$$T_{g^n(y)}(g^n(W_f^{cu}(g^{-n}(x)))) \rightarrow E_g^{cu}(g^n(y))$$

uniformly in  $y \in W_f^{cu}(g^{-n}(x))$  and exponentially in  $n$  with rate  $(\lambda_2 + \delta)/(\gamma_1 - \delta)$ . The sequence  $W_n$  is precompact in the compact–open  $C^1$  topology and therefore has a convergent subsequence. The limit is an integral manifold of  $E_g^{cu}$  passing through  $x$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Let  $f_n$  be a sequence of partially hyperbolic  $C^1$  diffeomorphisms of a compact manifold  $M$ . Suppose that*

- (1)  $f_n \rightarrow g$  in the  $C^1$  topology,
- (2) each  $f_n$  is weakly dynamically coherent,
- (3) all  $f_n$  have the same hyperbolicity constants  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_1$ .

*Then  $g$  is partially hyperbolic and weakly dynamically coherent.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in M$  and let  $v_n \in E_{f_n}^u(x)$  be a sequence of unit vectors and assume that  $v_n \rightarrow v$ . Then  $\mu_2^n \leq \|df^n v\| \leq \mu_1^n$ , and hence  $v \in E_g^u(x)$ . Similar arguments apply to  $E^c$  and  $E^s$ . It follows that  $\lim E_{f_n}^\alpha(x) = E_g^\alpha(x)$  with  $\alpha = u, c, s$ , and, in particular,  $g$  is partially hyperbolic.

As in the previous proposition we prove the weak integrability of  $E_g^{cu}$ . The other two integrability properties follow by reversing the time and taking intersections.

Fix  $x \in M$ , and let  $W_n(x)$  be an integral manifold of  $E_{f_n}^{cu}$  passing through  $x$ . Since the center-unstable distribution  $E_g^{cu}$  depends continuously on  $g$  in the  $C^1$  topology, the sequence  $W_n$  is precompact in

the compact–open  $C^1$  topology and therefore has a convergent subsequence. The limit is an integral manifold of  $E_g^{cu}$  passing through  $x$ .  $\square$

As we mentioned in the proofs of Propositions 3.2 and 3.3, the weak integrability of  $E_f^{cu}$  and of  $E_f^{cs}$  imply the weak integrability of  $E_f^c$  by taking intersections of the integral manifolds. It is not clear whether in general the weak integrability of  $E_f^c$  implies weak dynamical coherence. If the center distribution of a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism is 1-dimensional, it is weakly integrable by the existence theorem of ordinary differential equations.

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Let  $f$  be a  $C^1$  partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism of a compact manifold  $M$ . Suppose the center distribution  $E^c$  of  $f$  is 1-dimensional. Then  $f$  is weakly dynamically coherent.*

*Proof.* We will show that  $E^{cu}$  is weakly integrable. The weak integrability of  $E^{cs}$  follows by reversing the time. Let  $\sigma$  be a complete integral curve of  $E^c$ . Let  $W_\epsilon(\sigma)$  be an immersed  $C^1$  submanifold of  $M$  obtained by  $\epsilon$ -thickening of  $\sigma$  approximately in the direction of  $E^u$ . If  $E^u$  is 1-dimensional, such an immersed submanifold  $W_\epsilon(\sigma)$  can be constructed by approximating the unstable foliation  $W^u$  with a smooth foliation  $\widetilde{W}^u$  and drawing the leaf of  $\widetilde{W}^u$  of length  $2\epsilon$  through each point  $x \in \sigma$  so that  $x$  is the middle point of the leaf. In the general case such an immersed submanifold  $W_\epsilon(\sigma)$  can be constructed by approximating  $E^u$  with a smooth distribution  $\widetilde{E}^u$  and drawing through each point  $x \in \sigma$  all geodesics  $\sigma : [0, \epsilon] \rightarrow M$  with  $\dot{\sigma}(0) \in \widetilde{E}^u$ .

Fix  $x \in M$  and a complete integral curve  $\sigma \ni x$  of  $E^c$ . For each  $n > 0$  consider the complete integral curve  $\sigma_n = f^{-n}(\sigma)$  of  $E^c$ , its  $\epsilon$ -thickening  $W_\epsilon(\sigma_n)$  in the unstable direction and its image  $V_n = f^n(W_\epsilon(\sigma_n))$  under  $f^n$ . The  $C^1$  submanifolds  $V_n$  contain  $\sigma$ . Denote by  $d_s$  the distance along the stable leaves. Let  $y \in \sigma$  and let  $z_n \in V_n$  and  $z_{n+1} \in V_{n+1}$  be the intersections of a local unstable leaf of  $W^s$  in a neighborhood of  $y$  with  $V_n$  and  $V_{n+1}$ . Then  $w_n = f^{-n}(z_n)$  and  $w_{n+1} = f^{-n}(z_{n+1})$  lie on  $W^s(f^{-n}(z_n))$  and  $d_s(w_n, w_{n+1}) \leq C\epsilon$  by the construction of  $W_\epsilon(\sigma_k)$ . It follows that  $d_s(z_n, z_{n+1}) \leq C\epsilon\lambda_2^n$ , and therefore the submanifolds  $V_n$  converge in the  $C^0$  topology. If  $\epsilon$  is small enough, then, by Lemma 3.1, the tangent planes of  $W_\epsilon(\sigma_n)$  are close to  $E^{cu}$ , and the tangent planes to  $V_n$  are exponentially close to  $E^{cu}$ . Therefore the submanifolds  $V_n$  converge in the  $C^1$  topology. The limit  $W^{cu}(\sigma)$  is an integral manifold of  $E^{cu}$  containing  $\sigma$ . It follows immediately from the uniform contraction in the stable direction that  $W^{cu}(x, \sigma) = \bigcup_{y \in \sigma} W^u(y)$ .



The integral manifold  $W^{cu}(\sigma)$  need not be complete. Its boundary consists of unstable leaves. However, it follows from the previous argument that for each integral curve  $\sigma$  of  $E^c$  there is a unique integral manifold  $W^{cu}(\sigma)$  of  $E^{cu}$  containing  $\sigma$ . Let  $y$  be a boundary point of  $W^{cu}(\sigma)$  and let  $\sigma_y : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow M$  be a complete integral curve of  $E^c$  such that  $\sigma_y(0) = y$  and  $\sigma_y(t) \in W^{cu}(\sigma)$  for  $t \in (-\infty, 0)$ . The union  $W^{cu}(\sigma) \cup W^{cu}(\sigma_y)$  is an integral manifold of  $E^{cu}$ . A countable repetition of this construction produces a complete integral manifold of  $E^{cu}$ .

The above argument is reminiscent of the corresponding constructions in [HPS77]. There is an alternative and more direct (but longer) argument which proves that for every center curve  $\sigma$  the union  $\bigcup_{y \in \sigma} W^u(y)$  is a  $C^1$  submanifold of  $M$ . It is based on a  $C^0$  interpretation of the commutator of the vector fields tangent to  $E^c$  and  $E^s$ . If the corresponding center-stable-center-stable rectangle  $R$  is closed by a short unstable curve  $\alpha_u$ , one gets a contradiction between the growth rate of the area of  $f^n(R)$  (which is  $\leq (\lambda_2 \gamma_2)^n$ ) and the growth rate of the length of  $f^n(\alpha_u)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Ano67] D. V. Anosov. Tangential fields of transversal foliations in  $y$ -systems. *Mat. Zametki*, 2:539–548, 1967.
- [BPSW01] Keith Burns, Charles Pugh, Michael Shub, and Amie Wilkinson. Recent results about stable ergodicity. In *Smooth ergodic theory and its applications (Seattle, WA, 1999)*, volume 69 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 327–366. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [CLN85] César Camacho and Alcides Lins Neto. *Geometric theory of foliations*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1985. Translated from the Portuguese by Sue E. Goodman.
- [DPU99] Lorenzo J. Díaz, Enrique R. Pujals, and Raúl Ures. Partial hyperbolicity and robust transitivity. *Acta Math.*, 183(1):1–43, 1999.
- [HPS77] M. W. Hirsch, C. C. Pugh, and M. Shub. *Invariant manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 583.
- [Nov65] S. P. Novikov. The topology of foliations. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.*, 14:248–278, 1965.
- [PS97] Charles Pugh and Michael Shub. Stably ergodic dynamical systems and partial hyperbolicity. *J. Complexity*, 13(1):125–179, 1997.
- [Sma67] S. Smale. Differentiable dynamical systems. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73:747–817, 1967.
- [Sol82] V. V. Solodov. Components of topological foliations. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 119(161)(3):340–354, 447, 1982.
- [Wil98] Amie Wilkinson. Stable ergodicity of the time-one map of a geodesic flow. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 18(6):1545–1587, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK,  
MD 20742

*E-mail address:* `mib@math.umd.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY,  
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802

*E-mail address:* `burago@math.psu.edu`

STEKLOV MATH. INSTITUTE, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* `svivanov@pdmi.ras.ru`