

# EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS FOR THE LAPLACIAN ON NONCOMPACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

Andrea Cianchi

Università di Firenze

St. Petersburg, July 2010

- 1 A.C. & V.Maz'ya Bounds for eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on noncompact Riemannian manifolds, preprint.
- 2 A.C. & V.Maz'ya On the discreteness of the spectrum of noncompact Riemannian manifolds, preprint

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional **Riemannian manifold** (of class  $C^1$ ) such that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(M) < \infty.$$

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional **Riemannian manifold** (of class  $C^1$ ) such that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(M) < \infty.$$

Here,  $\mathcal{H}^n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure on  $M$ , namely, the **volume measure** on  $M$  induced by its Riemannian metric.

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional **Riemannian manifold** (of class  $C^1$ ) such that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(M) < \infty.$$

Here,  $\mathcal{H}^n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure on  $M$ , namely, the **volume measure** on  $M$  induced by its Riemannian metric.

**Problem:** estimates for **eigenfunctions** of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional **Riemannian manifold** (of class  $C^1$ ) such that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(M) < \infty.$$

Here,  $\mathcal{H}^n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure on  $M$ , namely, the **volume measure** on  $M$  induced by its Riemannian metric.

**Problem:** estimates for **eigenfunctions** of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

**Weak formulation:** a function  $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$  is an **eigenfunction** of the Laplacian associated with the **eigenvalue**  $\gamma$  if

$$\int_M \nabla u \cdot \nabla \Phi \, d\mathcal{H}^n(x) = \gamma \int_M u \Phi \, d\mathcal{H}^n(x) \quad (1)$$

for every  $\Phi \in W^{1,2}(M)$ .

If  $M$  is complete, then (1) is equivalent to

$$-\Delta u = \gamma u \quad \text{on } M. \quad (2)$$

If  $M$  is complete, then (1) is equivalent to

$$-\Delta u = \gamma u \quad \text{on } M. \quad (2)$$

If  $M$  is an open subset of a Riemannian manifold, in particular of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then (1) is the weak formulation of the Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \gamma u & \text{on } M \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial M \end{cases} \quad (3)$$



Case  $M$  compact.

Case  $M$  compact.

The eigenvalue problem for the Laplacian has been extensively studied.

Case  $M$  **compact**.

The eigenvalue problem for the Laplacian has been extensively studied.

By the classical Rellich's Lemma , the **compactness** of the embedding

$$W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$$

is equivalent to the **discreteness of the spectrum** of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

Case  $M$  **compact**.

The eigenvalue problem for the Laplacian has been extensively studied.

By the classical Rellich's Lemma , the **compactness** of the embedding

$$W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$$

is equivalent to the **discreteness of the spectrum** of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

**Bounds** for eigenfunctions in  $L^q(M)$ ,  $q > 2$ , and  $L^\infty(M)$  follow via local bounds, owing to the compactness of  $M$ .

Pb.: **noncompact**  $M$ .

Pb.: **noncompact**  $M$ .

Much less seems to be known.

Pb.: **noncompact**  $M$ .

Much less seems to be known.

Not even the existence of eigenfunctions is guaranteed.

Pb.: **noncompact**  $M$ .

Much less seems to be known.

Not even the existence of eigenfunctions is guaranteed.

**Major problem:** the embedding  $W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$  **need not be compact**.



Example 1.

Example 1.

$$M = \Omega$$

an **open subset** of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with the Euclidean metric.

Example 1.

$$M = \Omega$$

an **open subset** of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with the Euclidean metric.

The eigenvalue problem (2) turns into the **Neumann problem**

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \gamma u & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Example 1.

$$M = \Omega$$

an **open subset** of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with the Euclidean metric.

The eigenvalue problem (2) turns into the **Neumann problem**

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \gamma u & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The point here is that no regularity on  $\partial\Omega$  is (a priori) assumed.

### Example 1.

$$M = \Omega$$

an **open subset** of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with the Euclidean metric.

The eigenvalue problem (2) turns into the **Neumann problem**

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \gamma u & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The point here is that no regularity on  $\partial\Omega$  is (a priori) assumed.  
Contributions in [\[B.Simon\]](#), [\[Burenkov-Davies\]](#).

## Example 2.

**Example 2.**

A noncompact manifold of revolution in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$M = \{(r, \omega) : r \in [0, \infty), \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\},$$

with metric (in polar coordinates) given by

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + \varphi(r)^2 d\omega^2. \quad (4)$$

**Example 2.**

A noncompact manifold of revolution in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$M = \{(r, \omega) : r \in [0, \infty), \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\},$$

with metric (in polar coordinates) given by

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + \varphi(r)^2 d\omega^2. \quad (4)$$

Here,  $d\omega^2$  stands for the standard metric on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and  $\varphi : [0, L) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a smooth function such that  $\varphi(r) > 0$  for  $r \in (0, L)$ , and

$$\varphi(0) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi'(0) = 1.$$






FIGURE: A manifold of revolution

Example 3.


Example 3.  
Manifolds of Courant-Hilbert type.

Example 3.

Manifolds of Courant-Hilbert type.

$M$  contains a sequence of mushroom-shaped submanifolds .

^



Qualitative and quantitative properties of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions depend on the **geometry of  $M$** .

Qualitative and quantitative properties of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions depend on the **geometry of  $M$** .

A possible description of the geometry of the manifold  $M$  is via the **isoperimetric function  $\lambda_M$**  of  $M$ .

Qualitative and quantitative properties of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions depend on the **geometry of  $M$** .

A possible description of the geometry of the manifold  $M$  is via the **isoperimetric function  $\lambda_M$**  of  $M$ .

The use of **isoperimetric inequalities** in the study of Dirichlet eigenvalue problems on domains of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is classical: [Faber, 1923], [Krahn, 1925], [Payne-Pólya-Weiberger, 1956], [Chiti, 1983], [Ashbaugh-Benguria, 1992], [Nadirashvili, 1995] ...



Qualitative and quantitative properties of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions depend on the **geometry of  $M$** .

A possible description of the geometry of the manifold  $M$  is via the **isoperimetric function  $\lambda_M$**  of  $M$ .

The use of **isoperimetric inequalities** in the study of Dirichlet eigenvalue problems on domains of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is classical: [Faber, 1923], [Krahn, 1925], [Payne-Pólya-Weiberger, 1956], [Chiti, 1983], [Ashbaugh-Benguria, 1992], [Nadirashvili, 1995] ...

An alternate approach, exploiting the

**isocapacitary function  $\nu_M$**  of  $M$ ,

is **more effective** in dealing with manifolds having an **irregular geometry** (in particular, Neumann eigenvalue problems on irregular domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

## Classical isoperimetric inequality [De Giorgi]

Classical isoperimetric inequality [De Giorgi]

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* E) \geq n\omega_n^{1/n} |E|^{1/n'} \quad \forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Classical** isoperimetric inequality [De Giorgi]

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* E) \geq n\omega_n^{1/n} |E|^{1/n'} \quad \forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here:

- $\partial^* E$  stands for the **essential boundary** of  $E$ ,

**Classical** isoperimetric inequality [De Giorgi]

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* E) \geq n\omega_n^{1/n} |E|^{1/n'} \quad \forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here:

- $\partial^* E$  stands for the **essential boundary** of  $E$ ,
- $|E| = \mathcal{H}^n(E)$ , the **Lebesgue measure** of  $E$ ,
- $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  is the  **$(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure** (the surface area).

**Classical** isoperimetric inequality [De Giorgi]

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* E) \geq n\omega_n^{1/n} |E|^{1/n'} \quad \forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here:

- $\partial^* E$  stands for the **essential boundary** of  $E$ ,
- $|E| = \mathcal{H}^n(E)$ , the **Lebesgue measure** of  $E$ ,
- $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  is the  **$(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure** (the surface area).

In other words,

**the ball has the least surface area among sets of fixed volume.**

In general the **isoperimetric function**  $\lambda_M : [0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  of  $M$  (introduced by V.G.Maz'ya) is defined as

$$\lambda_M(s) = \inf\{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* E) : s \leq \mathcal{H}^n(E) \leq \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2\}, \quad (5)$$

for  $s \in [0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2]$ .

In general the **isoperimetric function**  $\lambda_M : [0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  of  $M$  (introduced by V.G.Maz'ya) is defined as

$$\lambda_M(s) = \inf\{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* E) : s \leq \mathcal{H}^n(E) \leq \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2\}, \quad (5)$$

for  $s \in [0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2]$ .

**Isoperimetric inequality** on  $M$ :

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* E) \geq \lambda_M(\mathcal{H}^n(E)) \quad \forall E \subset M, \mathcal{H}^n(E) \leq \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2.$$



The **geometry of  $M$**  is related to  $\lambda_M$ , and, in particular, to its **asymptotic behavior at 0**.

The **geometry of  $M$**  is related to  $\lambda_M$ , and, in particular, to its **asymptotic behavior at 0**. For instance, if  **$M$  is compact**, then

$$\lambda_M(s) \approx s^{1/n'} \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0.$$

The **geometry of  $M$**  is related to  $\lambda_M$ , and, in particular, to its **asymptotic behavior at 0**. For instance, if  **$M$  is compact**, then

$$\lambda_M(s) \approx s^{1/n'} \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0.$$

Here,  $f \approx g$  means that  $\exists c, k > 0$  such that

$$cg(cs) \leq f(s) \leq kg(ks).$$

The **geometry of  $M$**  is related to  $\lambda_M$ , and, in particular, to its **asymptotic behavior at 0**. For instance, if  **$M$  is compact**, then

$$\lambda_M(s) \approx s^{1/n'} \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0.$$

Here,  $f \approx g$  means that  $\exists c, k > 0$  such that

$$cg(cs) \leq f(s) \leq kg(ks).$$

Moreover,  $n' = \frac{n}{n-1}$ .

Approach by **isocapacitary inequalities**.

Approach by **isocapacitary inequalities**.

**Standard capacity** of  $E \subset M$ :

$$C(E) = \inf \left\{ \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dx : u \in W^{1,2}(M), \right. \\ \left. "u \geq 1" \text{ in } E, \text{ and } u \text{ has compact support} \right\}.$$

Approach by **isocapacitary inequalities**.

**Standard capacity** of  $E \subset M$ :

$$C(E) = \inf \left\{ \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dx : u \in W^{1,2}(M), \right. \\ \left. "u \geq 1" \text{ in } E, \text{ and } u \text{ has compact support} \right\}.$$

**Capacity of a condenser**  $(E; G)$ ,  $E \subset G \subset M$ :

$$C(E; G) = \inf \left\{ \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dx : u \in W^{1,2}(M), \right. \\ \left. "u \geq 1" \text{ in } E \text{ " } u \leq 0" \text{ in } M \setminus G \right\}.$$

**Isocapacitary function** (introduced by V.G.Maz'ya)

$$\nu_M : [0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

$$\nu_M(s) = \inf\{C(E, G) : E \subset G \subset M, s \leq \mathcal{H}^n(E) \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^n(G) \leq \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2\}$$

for  $s \in [0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2]$ .



**Isocapacitary function** (introduced by V.G.Maz'ya)

$$\nu_M : [0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

$$\nu_M(s) = \inf \{ C(E, G) : E \subset G \subset M, s \leq \mathcal{H}^n(E) \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^n(G) \leq \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2 \}$$

for  $s \in [0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2]$ .

**Isocapacitary inequality:**

$$C(E, G) \geq \nu_M(\mathcal{H}^n(E)) \quad \forall E \subset G \subset M, \mathcal{H}^n(G) \leq \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2.$$

**Isocapacitary function** (introduced by V.G.Maz'ya)

$$\nu_M : [0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

$$\nu_M(s) = \inf \{ C(E, G) : E \subset G \subset M, s \leq \mathcal{H}^n(E) \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^n(G) \leq \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2 \}$$

for  $s \in [0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2]$ .

**Isocapacitary inequality:**

$$C(E, G) \geq \nu_M(\mathcal{H}^n(E)) \quad \forall E \subset G \subset M, \mathcal{H}^n(G) \leq \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2.$$

If  $M$  is compact and  $n \geq 3$ , then

$$\nu_M(s) \approx s^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0.$$

The isoperimetric function and the isocapacitary function of a manifold  $M$  are related by

$$\frac{1}{\nu_M(s)} \leq \int_s^{\mathcal{H}^n(M)/2} \frac{dr}{\lambda_M(r)^2} \quad \text{for } s \in (0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2). \quad (6)$$

The isoperimetric function and the isocapacitary function of a manifold  $M$  are related by

$$\frac{1}{\nu_M(s)} \leq \int_s^{\mathcal{H}^n(M)/2} \frac{dr}{\lambda_M(r)^2} \quad \text{for } s \in (0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2). \quad (6)$$

A **reverse estimate does not hold** in general.

The isoperimetric function and the isocapacitary function of a manifold  $M$  are related by

$$\frac{1}{\nu_M(s)} \leq \int_s^{\mathcal{H}^n(M)/2} \frac{dr}{\lambda_M(r)^2} \quad \text{for } s \in (0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2). \quad (6)$$

A **reverse estimate does not hold** in general.

Roughly speaking, a **reverse estimate** only holds when the geometry of  $M$  is **sufficiently regular**.

**Both** the conditions in terms of  $\nu_M$ , and those in terms of  $\lambda_M$ , for eigenfunction estimates in  $L^q(M)$  or  $L^\infty(M)$  to be presented are **sharp** in the class of manifolds  $M$  with **prescribed asymptotic behavior of  $\nu_M$  and  $\lambda_M$  at 0**.

**Both** the conditions in terms of  $\nu_M$ , and those in terms of  $\lambda_M$ , for eigenfunction estimates in  $L^q(M)$  or  $L^\infty(M)$  to be presented are **sharp** in the class of manifolds  $M$  with **prescribed asymptotic behavior of  $\nu_M$  and  $\lambda_M$  at 0**.

Each one of these approaches has its own advantages.

Both the conditions in terms of  $\nu_M$ , and those in terms of  $\lambda_M$ , for eigenfunction estimates in  $L^q(M)$  or  $L^\infty(M)$  to be presented are sharp in the class of manifolds  $M$  with prescribed asymptotic behavior of  $\nu_M$  and  $\lambda_M$  at 0.

Each one of these approaches has its own advantages.

The isoperimetric function  $\lambda_M$  has a transparent geometric character, and it is usually easier to investigate.



Both the conditions in terms of  $\nu_M$ , and those in terms of  $\lambda_M$ , for eigenfunction estimates in  $L^q(M)$  or  $L^\infty(M)$  to be presented are sharp in the class of manifolds  $M$  with prescribed asymptotic behavior of  $\nu_M$  and  $\lambda_M$  at 0.

Each one of these approaches has its own advantages.

The isoperimetric function  $\lambda_M$  has a transparent geometric character, and it is usually easier to investigate.

The isocapacitary function  $\nu_M$  is in a sense more appropriate: it not only implies the results involving  $\lambda_M$ , but leads to finer conclusions in general. Typically, this is the case when manifolds with complicated geometric configurations are taken into account.

Estimates for eigenfunctions.

### Estimates for eigenfunctions.

If  $u$  is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian, then, by definition,  $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$ .

### Estimates for eigenfunctions.

If  $u$  is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian, then, by definition,  $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$ . Hence, trivially,  $u \in L^2(M)$ .

### Estimates for eigenfunctions.

If  $u$  is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian, then, by definition,  $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$ . Hence, trivially,  $u \in L^2(M)$ .

**Problem:** given  $q \in (2, \infty]$ , find conditions on  $M$  ensuring that **any eigenfunction**  $u$  of the Laplacian on  $M$  belongs to  $L^q(M)$ .

Theorem 1:  $L^q$  bounds for eigenfunctions

Assume that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\nu_M(s)} = 0. \quad (7)$$

**Theorem 1:  $L^q$  bounds for eigenfunctions**

Assume that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\nu_M(s)} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Then for any  $q \in (2, \infty)$  there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \quad (8)$$

for every eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

The assumption

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\nu_M(s)} = 0 \quad (9)$$

is **essentially minimal** in Theorem 1.



The assumption

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\nu_M(s)} = 0 \quad (9)$$

is **essentially minimal** in Theorem 1.

### Theorem 2: Sharpness of condition (9)

For any  $n \geq 2$  and  $q \in (2, \infty]$ , there exists an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M$  such that

$$\nu_M(s) \approx s \quad \text{near } 0, \quad (10)$$

and the Laplacian on  $M$  has an eigenfunction  $u \notin L^q(M)$ .

Conditions in terms of the **isoperimetric function** for  $L^q$  bounds for eigenfunctions can be derived via Theorem 2.

Conditions in terms of the **isoperimetric function** for  $L^q$  bounds for eigenfunctions can be derived via Theorem 2.

### Corollary 2

Assume that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\lambda_M(s)} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Then for any  $q \in (2, \infty)$  there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(M)}$$

for every eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

Conditions in terms of the **isoperimetric function** for  $L^q$  bounds for eigenfunctions can be derived via Theorem 2.

### Corollary 2

Assume that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\lambda_M(s)} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Then for any  $q \in (2, \infty)$  there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(M)}$$

for every eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

Assumption (12) is **minimal** in the same sense as the analogous assumption in terms of  $\nu_M$ .

Estimate for the growth of constant in the  $L^q(M)$  bound for eigenfunctions in terms of the eigenvalue.

Estimate for the growth of constant in the  $L^q(M)$  bound for eigenfunctions in terms of the eigenvalue.

### Proposition

Assume that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\nu_M(s)} = 0. \quad (12)$$

Define

$$\Theta(s) = \sup_{r \in (0, s)} \frac{r}{\nu_M(r)} \quad \text{for } s \in (0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2].$$

Estimate for the growth of constant in the  $L^q(M)$  bound for eigenfunctions in terms of the eigenvalue.

### Proposition

Assume that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\nu_M(s)} = 0. \quad (12)$$

Define

$$\Theta(s) = \sup_{r \in (0, s)} \frac{r}{\nu_M(r)} \quad \text{for } s \in (0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2].$$

Then  $\|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(M)}$  for any  $q \in (2, \infty)$  and for every eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian on  $M$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\gamma$ , where

$$C(\nu_M, q, \gamma) = \frac{C_1}{(\Theta^{-1}(C_2/\gamma))^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}}},$$

and  $C_1 = C_1(q, \mathcal{H}^n(M))$  and  $C_2 = C_2(q, \mathcal{H}^n(M))$ .

**Example.**

Assume that there exists  $\beta \in [(n-2)/n, 1)$  such that

$$\nu_M(s) \geq Cs^\beta.$$

Then there exists a constant  $C = C(q, \mathcal{H}^n(M))$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C\gamma^{\frac{q-2}{2q(1-\beta)}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}$$

for every eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian on  $M$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\gamma$ .



Consider now the case when  $q = \infty$ , namely the problem of the **boundedness of the eigenfunctions**.

Consider now the case when  $q = \infty$ , namely the problem of the **boundedness of the eigenfunctions**.

**Theorem 3: boundedness of eigenfunctions**

Assume that

$$\int_0 \frac{ds}{\nu_M(s)} < \infty. \quad (13)$$

Consider now the case when  $q = \infty$ , namely the problem of the **boundedness of the eigenfunctions**.

### Theorem 3: boundedness of eigenfunctions

Assume that

$$\int_0 \frac{ds}{\nu_M(s)} < \infty. \quad (13)$$

Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \quad (14)$$

for every eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

The condition

$$\int_0 \frac{ds}{\nu_M(s)} < \infty \quad (15)$$

is essentially **sharp** in Theorem 4.

The condition

$$\int_0 \frac{ds}{\nu_M(s)} < \infty \quad (15)$$

is essentially **sharp** in Theorem 4.

This is the content of the next result.

The condition

$$\int_0 \frac{ds}{\nu_M(s)} < \infty \quad (15)$$

is essentially **sharp** in Theorem 4.

This is the content of the next result.

Recall that  $f \in \Delta_2$  near 0 if there exist constants  $c$  and  $s_0$  such that

$$f(2s) \leq cf(s) \quad \text{if } 0 < s \leq s_0. \quad (16)$$

#### Theorem 4: sharpness of condition (15)

Let  $\nu$  be a non-decreasing function, vanishing only at 0,

**Theorem 4: sharpness of condition (15)**

Let  $\nu$  be a non-decreasing function, vanishing only at 0, such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\nu(s)} = 0, \quad (17)$$



**Theorem 4: sharpness of condition (15)**

Let  $\nu$  be a non-decreasing function, vanishing only at 0, such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\nu(s)} = 0, \quad (17)$$

but

$$\int_0 \frac{ds}{\nu(s)} = \infty. \quad (18)$$

Assume in addition that  $\nu \in \Delta_2$  near 0 and

$$\frac{\nu(s)}{s^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} \text{ is equivalent to a non-decreasing function near 0,} \quad (19)$$

for some  $n \geq 3$ .

Assume in addition that  $\nu \in \Delta_2$  near 0 and

$$\frac{\nu(s)}{s^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} \quad \text{is equivalent to a non-decreasing function near 0,} \quad (19)$$

for some  $n \geq 3$ . Then, there exists an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M$  fulfilling

$$\nu_M(s) \approx \nu(s) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0, \quad (20)$$

and such that the Laplacian on  $M$  has an unbounded eigenfunction.

Assume in addition that  $\nu \in \Delta_2$  near 0 and

$$\frac{\nu(s)}{s^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} \quad \text{is equivalent to a non-decreasing function near 0,} \quad (19)$$

for some  $n \geq 3$ . Then, there exists an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M$  fulfilling

$$\nu_M(s) \approx \nu(s) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0, \quad (20)$$

and such that the Laplacian on  $M$  has an unbounded eigenfunction.

Assumption (19) is consistent with the fact that  $\nu_M(s) \approx s^{\frac{n-2}{n}}$  near 0 if the geometry of  $M$  is nice (e.g.  $M$  compact),

Assume in addition that  $\nu \in \Delta_2$  near 0 and

$$\frac{\nu(s)}{s^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} \quad \text{is equivalent to a non-decreasing function near 0,} \quad (19)$$

for some  $n \geq 3$ . Then, there exists an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M$  fulfilling

$$\nu_M(s) \approx \nu(s) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0, \quad (20)$$

and such that the Laplacian on  $M$  has an unbounded eigenfunction.

Assumption (19) is consistent with the fact that  $\nu_M(s) \approx s^{\frac{n-2}{n}}$  near 0 if the geometry of  $M$  is nice (e.g.  $M$  compact), and that  $\nu_M(s) \rightarrow 0$  faster than  $s^{\frac{n-2}{n}}$  otherwise.

Owing to the inequality

$$\frac{1}{\nu_M(s)} \leq \int_s^{\mathcal{H}^n(M)/2} \frac{dr}{\lambda_M(r)^2} \quad \text{for } s \in (0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2),$$

Theorem 4 has the following corollary in terms of **isoperimetric inequalities**.

### Corollary 3

Assume that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{s}{\lambda_M(s)^2} ds < \infty. \quad (21)$$

### Corollary 3

Assume that

$$\int_0^s \frac{s}{\lambda_M(s)^2} ds < \infty. \quad (21)$$

Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \quad (22)$$

for every eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian on  $M$ .



## Corollary 3

Assume that

$$\int_0 \frac{s}{\lambda_M(s)^2} ds < \infty. \quad (21)$$

Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \quad (22)$$

for every eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

Assumption (21) is **sharp** in the same sense as the analogous assumption in terms of  $\nu_M$ .

Estimate for the growth of constant in the  $L^\infty(M)$  bound for eigenfunctions in terms of the eigenvalue.

Estimate for the growth of constant in the  $L^\infty(M)$  bound for eigenfunctions in terms of the eigenvalue.

### Proposition

Assume that

$$\int_0^{\mathcal{H}^n(M)/2} \frac{ds}{\nu_M(s)} < \infty.$$

Define

$$\Xi(s) = \int_0^s \frac{dr}{\nu_M(r)} \quad \text{for } s \in (0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2].$$

Estimate for the growth of constant in the  $L^\infty(M)$  bound for eigenfunctions in terms of the eigenvalue.

### Proposition

Assume that

$$\int_0^{\mathcal{H}^n(M)/2} \frac{ds}{\nu_M(s)} < \infty.$$

Define

$$\Xi(s) = \int_0^s \frac{dr}{\nu_M(r)} \quad \text{for } s \in (0, \mathcal{H}^n(M)/2].$$

Then  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C\|u\|_{L^2(M)}$  for every eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian on  $M$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\gamma$ , where

$$C(\nu_M, \gamma) = \frac{C_1}{(\Xi^{-1}(C_2/\gamma))^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

and  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are absolute constants.

**Example.**

Assume that there exists  $\beta \in [(n-2)/n, 1)$  such that

$$\nu_M(s) \geq Cs^\beta.$$

Then there exists an absolute constant  $C$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C\gamma^{\frac{1}{2(1-\beta)}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}$$

for every eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian on  $M$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\gamma$ .

Pb.: Discreteness of the spectrum of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

Pb.: **Discreteness of the spectrum** of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

Consider the semi-definite self-adjoint **Laplace operator**  $\Delta$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2(M)$  associated with the bilinear form  $a : W^{1,2}(M) \times W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$a(u, v) = \int_M \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, d\mathcal{H}^n(x) \quad (23)$$

for  $u, v \in W^{1,2}(M)$ .

Pb.: **Discreteness of the spectrum** of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

Consider the semi-definite self-adjoint **Laplace operator**  $\Delta$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2(M)$  associated with the bilinear form  $a : W^{1,2}(M) \times W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$a(u, v) = \int_M \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, d\mathcal{H}^n(x) \quad (23)$$

for  $u, v \in W^{1,2}(M)$ .

- When  $\overline{C_0^\infty(M)} = W^{1,2}(M)$ , the operator  $\Delta$  agrees with the Friedrichs extension of the classical Laplace operator.



Pb.: **Discreteness of the spectrum** of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

Consider the semi-definite self-adjoint **Laplace operator**  $\Delta$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2(M)$  associated with the bilinear form

$a : W^{1,2}(M) \times W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$a(u, v) = \int_M \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, d\mathcal{H}^n(x) \quad (23)$$

for  $u, v \in W^{1,2}(M)$ .

- When  $\overline{C_0^\infty(M)} = W^{1,2}(M)$ , the operator  $\Delta$  agrees with the Friedrichs extension of the classical Laplace operator. This is the case, for instance, if  $M$  is **complete**, and, in particular, if  $M$  is **compact**.

Pb.: **Discreteness of the spectrum** of the Laplacian on  $M$ .

Consider the semi-definite self-adjoint **Laplace operator**  $\Delta$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2(M)$  associated with the bilinear form  $a : W^{1,2}(M) \times W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$a(u, v) = \int_M \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, d\mathcal{H}^n(x) \quad (23)$$

for  $u, v \in W^{1,2}(M)$ .

- When  $\overline{C_0^\infty(M)} = W^{1,2}(M)$ , the operator  $\Delta$  agrees with the Friedrichs extension of the classical Laplace operator. This is the case, for instance, if  $M$  is **complete**, and, in particular, if  $M$  is **compact**.
- When  $M$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , or, more generally, of a Riemannian manifold, then  $\Delta$  corresponds to the Neumann Laplacian on  $M$ .

A **necessary and sufficient** condition for the **discreteness** of the spectrum of  $\Delta$  can be given in terms of the **isocapacitary function** of  $M$ .

A **necessary and sufficient** condition for the **discreteness of the spectrum of  $\Delta$**  can be given in terms of the **isocapacitary function** of  $M$ .

**Theorem 5: Discreteness of the spectrum of  $\Delta$**

The spectrum of the Laplacian on  $M$  is discrete if and only if

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\nu_M(s)} = 0. \quad (24)$$

A **necessary and sufficient** condition for the **discreteness of the spectrum of  $\Delta$**  can be given in terms of the **isocapacitary function** of  $M$ .

**Theorem 5: Discreteness of the spectrum of  $\Delta$**

The spectrum of the Laplacian on  $M$  is discrete if and only if

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\nu_M(s)} = 0. \quad (24)$$

Condition (24) agrees with that ensuring  $L^q(M)$  bounds for eigenfunctions.

The proof of Theorem 5 relies upon the following characterization of the compactness of the embedding

$$W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow L^2(M). \quad (25)$$

The proof of Theorem 5 relies upon the following characterization of the compactness of the embedding

$$W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow L^2(M). \quad (25)$$

**Theorem 6: Compactness of the embedding (25)**

The embedding

$$W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$$

is compact if and only if

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\nu_M(s)} = 0.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 5, the following **sufficient** condition in terms of the **isoperimetric function** of  $M$  holds.



As a consequence of Theorem 5, the following **sufficient** condition in terms of the **isoperimetric function** of  $M$  holds.

#### Corollary 4

Assume that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\lambda_M(s)} = 0.$$

Then the spectrum of the Laplacian on  $M$  is discrete.

## Example 4

### Example 4

Manifold of **revolution**, with metric

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + \varphi(r)^2 d\omega^2 \quad (26)$$

and  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\varphi(r) = e^{-r^\alpha} \quad \text{for large } r. \quad (27)$$




FIGURE: A manifold of revolution




FIGURE: A manifold of revolution

The larger is  $\alpha$ , the better is  $M$ .




FIGURE: A manifold of revolution

The **larger** is  $\alpha$ , the **better** is  $M$ .

One can show that

$$\lambda_M(s) \approx s(\log(1/s))^{1-1/\alpha} \quad \text{near } 0,$$

and

$$\nu_M(s) \approx \left( \int_s^{\mathcal{H}^n(M)/2} \frac{dr}{\lambda_M(r)^2} \right)^{-1} \approx s(\log(1/s))^{2-2/\alpha} \quad \text{near } 0.$$

The criteria involving  $\lambda_M$  tell us that **all eigenfunctions** of the Laplacian on  $M$  belong to  $L^q(M)$  for  $q < \infty$  if

$$\alpha > 1, \tag{28}$$

The criteria involving  $\lambda_M$  tell us that **all eigenfunctions** of the Laplacian on  $M$  belong to  $L^q(M)$  for  $q < \infty$  if

$$\alpha > 1, \tag{28}$$

and to  $L^\infty(M)$  if

$$\alpha > 2. \tag{29}$$



The criteria involving  $\lambda_M$  tell us that **all eigenfunctions** of the Laplacian on  $M$  belong to  $L^q(M)$  for  $q < \infty$  if

$$\alpha > 1, \tag{28}$$

and to  $L^\infty(M)$  if

$$\alpha > 2. \tag{29}$$

The same conclusions follow via the criteria involving  $\nu_M$ .

Moreover, if  $\alpha > 1$ , then there exist constants  $C_1 = C_1(q)$  and  $C_2 = C_2(q)$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C_1 e^{C_2 \gamma^{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-2}}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}$$

for any eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian associated with the eigenvalue  $\gamma$ .

Moreover, if  $\alpha > 1$ , then there exist constants  $C_1 = C_1(q)$  and  $C_2 = C_2(q)$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C_1 e^{C_2 \gamma^{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-2}}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}$$

for any eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian associated with the eigenvalue  $\gamma$ .

If  $\alpha > 2$ , then there exist absolute constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C_1 e^{C_2 \gamma^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-2}}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}$$

for any eigenfunction  $u$  associated with  $\gamma$ .

Moreover, if  $\alpha > 1$ , then there exist constants  $C_1 = C_1(q)$  and  $C_2 = C_2(q)$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C_1 e^{C_2 \gamma^{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-2}}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}$$

for any eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian associated with the eigenvalue  $\gamma$ .

If  $\alpha > 2$ , then there exist absolute constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C_1 e^{C_2 \gamma^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-2}}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}$$

for any eigenfunction  $u$  associated with  $\gamma$ .

The spectrum of the Laplacian on  $M$  is **discrete** if and only if


$$\alpha > 1$$

## Example 5

## Example 5

Manifolds with **clustering submanifolds**.

**Example 5**  
 Manifolds with **clustering submanifolds**.



**FIGURE:** A manifold with a family of clustering submanifolds




FIGURE: An auxiliary submanifold






FIGURE: An auxiliary submanifold

In the sequence of mushrooms, the **width of the heads** and the **length of the necks** decay like  $2^{-k}$ , the **width of the neck** decays like  $\sigma(2^{-k})$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} = 0.$$

Assume, for instance, that  $b > 1$  and

$$\sigma(s) = s^b \quad \text{for } s > 0.$$

Assume, for instance, that  $b > 1$  and

$$\sigma(s) = s^b \quad \text{for } s > 0.$$

Then the criterion involving  $\lambda_M$  ensures that **all eigenfunctions** of the Laplacian on  $M$  are bounded provided that

$$b < 2.$$

Assume, for instance, that  $b > 1$  and

$$\sigma(s) = s^b \quad \text{for } s > 0.$$

Then the criterion involving  $\lambda_M$  ensures that **all eigenfunctions** of the Laplacian on  $M$  are bounded provided that

$$b < 2.$$

The criterion involving  $\nu_M$  yields the boundedness of eigenfunctions under the weaker assumption that

$$b < 3$$

.

By the use of  $\nu_M$  we also get that if  $b < 3$ , then there exists a constant  $C = C(q)$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C \gamma^{\frac{q-2}{q(3-b)}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}$$

for every  $q \in (2, \infty]$  and for any eigenfunction  $u$  of the Laplacian associated with the eigenvalue  $\gamma$ .

Moreover, the characterization via  $\nu_M$  implies that the spectrum of the Laplacian on  $M$  is discrete if and only if

$$b < 3$$

.

Moreover, the characterization via  $\nu_M$  implies that the spectrum of the Laplacian on  $M$  is discrete if and only if

$$b < 3$$

The use of  $\lambda_M$  tells us that spectrum of the Laplacian is discrete for

$$b < 2$$

only.

Moreover, the characterization via  $\nu_M$  implies that the spectrum of the Laplacian on  $M$  is discrete if and only if

$$b < 3$$

The use of  $\lambda_M$  tells us that spectrum of the Laplacian is discrete for

$$b < 2$$

only.

This example shows that the use of the **isocapacitary function** can actually lead to **sharper** conclusions than those obtained via the **isoperimetric function**.