



Tunneling Into a Luttinger Liquid Revisited V.Yu. Kachorovskii

Ioffe Physico-Technical Institute, St.Petersburg, Russia

Co-authors: Alexander Dmitriev (loffe) Igor Gornyi (KIT/loffe) Dmitry Polyakov (KIT)

Dmitry Aristov (*KIT*/*Petersburg Nucl. Phys. Inst.*) **Peter Wölfle** (*KIT*)

Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 266404 (2010)

Outline

• Introduction:

experimental motivation, why 1D is special

- Simplest model of the tunneling junction: point contact, tunneling Hamiltonian
- Infinitesimally weak tunneling coupling: suppression of tunneling density of states (conventional zero bias anomaly)
- Coupling is not infinitesimally weak → new physics: non-trivial zero bias anomaly, intermediatecoupling fixed point → suppression vs enhancement
- Fermionic S-matrix RG: renormalization of the generic contact

Single-channel quantum wires (aka Nanowires)





- Carbon nanotubes
- Semiconductor quantum wires
- Quantum Hall edges
- Polymer nanofibers
- Metallic nanowires
- ...

Single-wall carbon nanotube = cylindrical roll of graphene



$$R \sim \underline{1 \, \mathrm{nm}}$$
, $L \sim 1 \, \mu \mathrm{m} - \underline{1 \, \mathrm{mm}}$

Metallic nanotubes: Mean free path $\, l \sim 1 \, \mu {\rm m}$



From Purewal et al., PRL '07

Single-channel quantum wires (aka Nanowires)



- Carbon nanotubes
- Semiconductor quantum wires
- Quantum Hall edges
- Polymer nanofibers
- Metallic nanowires
- ...

Atomic-precision "cleaved-edge" single-channel GaAs wires at the intersection of two quantum wells



From Levy et al., Luttinger-liquid behavior in weakly disordered quantum wires, PRL '06



From Auslaender et al., Spin-charge separation and localization in one dimension, Science '05

Semiconductor nanowires : Mean free path $l \sim 10 \,\mu{ m m}$

Single-channel quantum wires (aka Nanowires)



- Carbon nanotubes
- Semiconductor quantum wires
- Quantum Hall edges
- Polymer nanofibers
- Metallic nanowires
- • •

Quantum-Hall line junctions : longest $(L \sim \underline{1 \text{ cm}})$ single-channel GaAs quantum wires

backscattering disorder = random interedge tunneling

1D barrier in 2D : Kang et al., Nature '00; Yang et al., PRL '04 L-shaped quantum wells : Grayson et al., APL '05, PRB '07

Scaling of the tunneling conductance



Interacting electrons in 1D -> Luttinger liquid

exact excitations: plasmons (+spinons)

Fermionic description: $H_F = \sum_{\mu} \int dx \left(iv\mu \psi_{\mu}^{\dagger} \partial_x \psi_{\mu} + \frac{V_0}{2} n_{\mu} n_{-\mu} \right)$ $\mu = (+,-)$ right/left movers, $n_{\mu} = \psi_{\mu}^{+} \psi_{\mu}$ linear dispersion relation, short-range interaction V_0 , spinlessdimensionless
interaction
strength $g = \frac{V_0}{2\pi v} \ll 1$ Luttinger
parameter $K = \left(\frac{1-g}{1+g}\right)^{1/2}$

Bosonic description:

$$H_B = \frac{u}{2} \int dx \left[\frac{1}{K} (\partial_x \phi)^2 + K (\partial_x \theta)^2 \right]$$

Electron $\psi_{\pm} \rightarrow e^{i(\theta \pm \phi)}$ operators:

 $\partial_x heta = \pi \Pi, \quad [\phi(x), \Pi(x')] = i \delta(x - x')$ $u = v_F / K$ -plasmon velocity

Zero bias anomaly

"

Dzyaloshinskii& Larkin (1973)

Luther & Peschel; Luther & Emery (1974)

Kane & Fisher (1992)

bulk" tunneling
$$\rho(\epsilon) \propto |\epsilon|^{\alpha_b}$$
 $\alpha_b = \frac{(1-K)^2}{2K}$

Scaling of the tunneling conductance $G_t \propto \begin{cases} |t_0|^2 \left(\frac{eV}{\Lambda}\right)^{\alpha_b}, & \text{for } eV \gg T \\ |t_0|^2 \left(\frac{T}{\Lambda}\right)^{\alpha_b}, & \text{for } eV \ll T. \end{cases}$

usual assumption: tunneling matrix element t_0 is infinitesimally small !!!

tunneling into the end of a semi-infinite wire

$$\alpha_b \rightarrow \alpha_e$$

$$\alpha_e = \frac{1-K}{K}$$

$$g \ll 1$$
: $\alpha_b \approx g^2/2 \ll \alpha_e \approx g$

Setup

tunnel electrode: noninteracting, single channel



quantum wire:

single channel, spinless, ballistic, interaction is described by LL model

Contact: 3x3 scattering matrix



for finite
tunneling $t_b \neq 0$!!!Symmetry:
2 1) time-reversal
2) 2 \leftrightarrow 3

$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{c} \text{Most general parametrization} \\ t_b = -e^{i\beta}\gamma, \quad t = t_{out} = e^{i(\chi - \beta - \varphi)/2}\sqrt{2\gamma(\cos\varphi - \gamma)}, \\ t_{in} = e^{i\beta}\left(e^{i\varphi} - \gamma\right), \quad r = e^{i(\chi - 2\beta - \varphi)}(2\gamma - e^{-i\varphi}), \end{array}$

 $-\pi/2 < \varphi < \pi/2, \quad 0 < \gamma < \cos \varphi, \quad -2\pi < \beta, \chi < 2\pi.$

Hamiltonian

$H = H_{\rm w} + H_{\rm e} + H_{\rm tun},$

$$H_{\rm w} = \sum_{\mu} \int dx \left(-i\mu v \psi_{\mu}^{\dagger} \partial_x \psi_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} V_0 n_{\mu} n_{-\mu} \right)$$
$$H_{\rm e} = -iv_{\rm e} \sum_{\mu} \mu \int_{-\infty}^{0} dy \, \psi_{\mu}^{\dagger} \partial_y \psi_{\mu}$$

Simplest model of the tunneling contact

$$H_{\text{tun}} = t_0 \psi^{\dagger} (y = -0) \psi(x = 0) + h.c.$$

point-like contact described by the tunneling Hamiltonian

Tunneling Hamiltonian: noninteracting case

Specific choice of the S-matrix

$$t = t_{out} = -i\sqrt{2\gamma(1-\gamma)}$$
$$r = 1 - 2\gamma$$
$$t_b = -\gamma, \quad t_{in} = 1 - \gamma$$

 $t_0 \to \infty \quad \gamma \to 1, \quad G_t \to 0$ $t \sim 1/t_0, \ t_b \to 1$

tunneling transparency of the contact

Tunneling Hamiltonian:
noninteracting case
Specific choice of the S-matrix

$$t = t_{out} = -i\sqrt{2\gamma(1-\gamma)}$$

 $r = 1-2\gamma$
 $t_b = -\gamma$, $t_{in} = 1-\gamma$
Unneling transparency
of the contact
 $t_0 \rightarrow 0$
 $\gamma \approx 2t_0^2 \frac{1}{v} \frac{1}{v_e} \rightarrow 0$, $G_t \rightarrow 0$
 $t \sim t_0, t_b \sim t_0^2 \Rightarrow |t_b| \ll |t|$
 $\gamma = \frac{2t_0^2}{vv_e + 2t_0^2} \Leftrightarrow single$
 $Tunnel conductance$
 $G_t = 2|t|^2 = 4\gamma(1-\gamma)$
 $\tau = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{v} \frac{1}{v} \Rightarrow 0$, $G_t \rightarrow 0$
 $\tau = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{v} \frac{1}{v} \Rightarrow 0$

all three channels decouple

Tunneling Hamiltonian (point contact): small γ

 $g \ll 1, t_0 \rightarrow 0, \gamma \approx 2t_0^2/vv_e \ll 1$

Lowest-order processes leading to the renormalization of the reflection amplitude



RG in the weak-tunneling limit

$$\frac{d\gamma}{d\mathcal{L}} = g\gamma^2 - \frac{g^2\gamma}{2}$$

'- tunneling transparency of the contact

$$\mathcal{L} = \ln \left(\Lambda / |\epsilon| \right)$$

renormalization of the bulk

tunneling density of states

non-trivial geometry, junction of 3 wires Das, Rao, Sen '02, '04



renormalization of the density of states dominates

enhancement of the tunneling

Physics behind: scattering off Friedel oscillations vs. bulk ZBA

Friedel oscillations in 1D



$$\delta t_b \propto \int dx e^{-2ikx} V_H(x) \propto g\mathcal{L} = g \ln \left(\Lambda / |\epsilon| \right)$$

$$\epsilon = E - E_F$$

Exchange contribution — similar

Leading-log summation:

sums up the most divergent terms, $[g \ln(\Lambda/|\epsilon|)]^n$, of the perturbation theory

Split the important interval, $[v_F/\Lambda, v_F/|\epsilon|]$, on smaller pieces,

so that $l_{n+1}/l_n = const \gg 1$ but $g \ln (l_{n+1}/l_n) \ll 1$

$$0 \quad v_F/\Lambda \quad \cdots \quad l_n \qquad \qquad l_{n+1} \quad \cdots \quad v_F/|\epsilon| = 1/|k-k_F| \quad \mathcal{X}$$

Single impurity: fermionic RG for the backscattering and transmission

$$\delta t_b = t_b^{n+1} - t_b^n = gt_b^n (1 - |t_b^n|^2) \ln(l_{n+1}/l_n)$$

$$\frac{dt_b}{d\mathcal{L}} = gt_b(1 - |t_b|^2)$$

 $|t_b| = 0 \quad |t_b| = 1$ two fixed points

 β -function in the first order in g

Fermionic RG: explicit connection between fixed points for weak interaction, Matveev, Yue, Glazman '93 **Bosonic RG:** exact exponents at fixed points for arbitrary interaction, Kane, Fisher '92 16

Single impurity

$$dt_b/d\mathcal{L} = gt_b(1 - |t_b|^2) \Longrightarrow \quad \beta(t_b) \simeq gt_b, \quad |t_b| \ll 1$$

Tunneling contact $t_b = -\gamma$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \beta(\gamma)\simeq g\gamma^2 - g^2\gamma/2, & \gamma\ll 1 \\ & \uparrow & \\ gt_b^2 \longrightarrow & \begin{array}{c} {\rm Key\,difference:} \\ gt_b & absent \end{array} \end{array}$$

Phase of the Friedel osc's is important

Weak impurity:
$$\phi_b=\pi/2$$

Point tunnel contact: $\phi_b=0$

Renormalization of backscattering



Generic tunnel contact

fermionic S-matrix RG: exact in S-matrix and perturbative in g



thick lines: exact noninteracting GF (fully dressed by the tunneling vertices)

One-loop skeletons (a),(b) contribute to the exact-in- γ β -function, diagrams (c)-(e) do not

For tunneling problem the quadratic in g terms has to be included into β -function

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} t_{\rm b} \\ t_{\rm in} \\ t \\ r \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\partial \mathbf{A} / \partial \mathcal{L} = \beta(\mathbf{A})$

2

$$\delta \mathbf{A} = \left[g \vec{\beta}_1(\mathbf{A}_0) + g^2 \vec{\beta}_2(\mathbf{A}_0) \right] \mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{2} g^2 \vec{\eta}(\mathbf{A}_0) \mathcal{L}^2$$

$$\rightarrow [g\vec{eta}_1(\mathbf{A}) + g^2\vec{eta}_2(\mathbf{A})]\mathcal{L}$$

S-matrix of the tunnel contact is renormalizable to order g^2

Four (two)-parameter scaling for the tunnel contact

$$\begin{aligned} \partial t_{\rm b} / \partial \mathcal{L} &= (g/2) \left[t_{\rm b} - t_{\rm b}^* (t_{\rm in}^2 + t_{\rm b}^2) \right] - (g^2/4) \left[t_{\rm b}^* |t_{\rm b}|^2 (t_{\rm in}^2 + t_{\rm b}^2) + 2t_{\rm b} |t_{\rm in}|^4 - t_{\rm b} |t_{\rm b}|^2 \right] \\ \partial t_{\rm in} / \partial \mathcal{L} &= -gt_{\rm in} |t_{\rm b}|^2 + (g^2/4) t_{\rm in} \left[|t_{\rm in}|^2 - (t_{\rm in}^*)^2 (t_{\rm in}^2 + t_{\rm b}^2) - 2|t_{\rm b}|^4 \right] \\ \partial t / \partial \mathcal{L} &= -(g/2) t t_{\rm b}^* (t_{\rm in} + t_{\rm b}) - (g^2/4) t (t_{\rm in} + t_{\rm b}) (t_{\rm b}^* |t_{\rm b}|^2 + t_{\rm in}^* |t_{\rm in}|^2) \\ \partial r / \partial \mathcal{L} &= -gt^2 t_{\rm b}^* - (g^2/2) t^2 (t_{\rm in}^* |t_{\rm in}|^2 + t_{\rm b}^* |t_{\rm b}|^2) \end{aligned}$$

Equations for $t_{\rm b}$ and $t_{\rm in}$ are closed \longrightarrow two "leading equations"

$$t_{b} = -e^{i\beta}\gamma, \quad t = t_{out} = e^{i(\chi - \beta - \varphi)/2}\sqrt{2\gamma(\cos\varphi - \gamma)},$$

$$t_{in} = e^{i\beta}(e^{i\varphi} - \gamma), \quad r = e^{i(\chi - 2\beta - \varphi)}(2\gamma - e^{-i\varphi}),$$

$$egin{array}{lll} rac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \mathcal{L}} &=& eta_\gamma(\gamma, arphi) \ rac{\partial arphi}{\partial \mathcal{L}} &=& eta_arphi(\gamma, arphi) \end{array}$$

Point tunnel contact: $\beta_{\varphi} \equiv 0$, four RG equations "collapse" onto a single one

$$d\gamma/d\mathcal{L} = eta(\gamma), \quad \varphi = eta = 0, \ \chi = -\pi$$

Tunneling Hamiltonian (point contact): arbitrary γ

2 .

But! In fact, $\gamma = 0$ is not a *stable* point, it is a *saddle* point \rightarrow no phase transition!!





time reversal and $2 \leftrightarrow 3$ symmetries $\rightarrow 2$ independent conductances:

$$G_{t} = 2|t|^{2}, \qquad G_{w} = |t_{in}|^{2} + |t|^{2}/2$$
tunneling
conductance
$$Current in the wire if
no current flows in
the tunnel electrode$$

$$egin{aligned} I_1 &= G_{
m t} V_{
m t} \ I_2 &= -G_{
m t} V_{
m t}/2 - G_{
m w} V \ I_3 &= -G_{
m t} V_{
m t}/2 + G_{
m w} V \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{
m t} = \mu_1 - (\mu_2 + \mu_3)/2
onumber \ V = \mu_3 - \mu_2$$



Fixed points → bosonic RG Kane, Fisher'92 1) $G_{\rm t} = 4G_{\rm w}(1-G_{\rm w})$ - point tunnel contact, 2) $G_{\rm t} = 0$ - impurity in the isolated wire

Scaling of the tunneling conductance



2) Generic contact



generic tunnel contact $\varphi \neq 0$ point tunnel contact $\varphi = 0$ I - enhancement of ZBA II,III- interaction first make the tunneling contact more transparent IV- conventional (bulk) ZBA

Summary

- Tunneling into a Luttinger liquid is described by RG flow of 3x3 S-matrix
- Conventional fixed point has a finite basin of attraction only in the point contact model
- Bulk ZBA generically unstable to the breakup of the liquid into two parts
- Interaction-induced enhancement of tunneling
- Nonmonotonic behavior of the tunneling current with temperature or bias voltage

Stability analysis:

point-contact fixed point $\gamma = 0, \varphi = 0$ is a saddle point in (γ, φ) space

RG equations for small γ :

$$\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \mathcal{L}} \simeq g \gamma^2 \cos \varphi - \frac{g^2 \gamma}{2} + g \gamma \sin^2 \varphi$$
$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mathcal{L}} \simeq (g/2) \sin 2\varphi \longrightarrow \text{instability}$$

Compare to point contact (tunneling ham.)

$$\frac{d\gamma}{d\mathcal{L}} = g\gamma^2 - \frac{g^2\gamma}{2}$$
$$\varphi \equiv 0$$

Linear in $g\gamma$ term

$$g \operatorname{Re}(t_{\rm b} - t_{\rm b}^* t_{\rm in}^2) \propto g \gamma \sin^2 \varphi + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2)$$

no exact cancelation at order $O(g\gamma)$ of the contributions to β -function from the Friedel osc's that screen the junction at x < 0 and x > 0

Impurity in the isolated wire

$$t_b = -e^{i\beta}\gamma, \quad t = t_{out} = e^{i(\chi - \beta - \varphi)/2}\sqrt{2\gamma(\cos\varphi - \gamma)},$$

$$t_{in} = e^{i\beta}(e^{i\varphi} - \gamma), \quad r = e^{i(\chi - 2\beta - \varphi)}(2\gamma - e^{-i\varphi}),$$

$$\gamma = \cos \varphi \implies t = 0, G_t = 0, \ t_b = -e^{i\beta} \cos \varphi, \ t_{in} = ie^{i\beta} \sin \varphi$$

$$\begin{split} d\beta/d\mathcal{L} &= 0 & \text{RG equations} \\ d\varphi/d\mathcal{L} &= -(g/2)\sin 2\varphi \left[1 + (g/2)\cos 2\varphi\right] \\ & & & \\ \mathbf{t} \\ d\gamma/d\mathcal{L} &= g\gamma(1 - \gamma^2) \left[1 + (g/2)(2\gamma^2 - 1)\right] \\ &\simeq g\gamma(1 - \gamma^2) & \qquad \uparrow \text{ beyond} \\ & & \text{Matyeev, Yue, Glazman '93} \end{split}$$

RG equations for the conductances

$$G_{\rm t} = 2|t|^2, \ G_{\rm w} = |t_{\rm in}|^2 + |t|^2/2$$

$$\partial G_{\rm t} / \partial \mathcal{L} = g G_{\rm t} (f_1 + g f_2)$$

 $\partial G_{\rm w} / \partial \mathcal{L} = g (f_3 + g f_4)$

$$f_{1} = -1 + G_{t}/2 + G_{w}$$

$$f_{2} = -1/2 + G_{t}(3 - G_{t})/8 + G_{w}(1 - G_{w})$$

$$f_{3} = G_{t}(1 + G_{w})/4 - 2G_{w}(1 - G_{w})$$

$$f_{4} = (1 - 2G_{w})[G_{t}(4 + G_{t})/32 - G_{w}(1 - G_{w})]$$

Tunneling DOS at the site of a weak impurity



Linearizing the RG equations in G_t around the stable fixed point tunneling DOS $\rho(\varepsilon)$ at $\varepsilon \to 0$ for an arbitrary bare strength of impurity U_0

$$ho(\epsilon) \propto |\epsilon|^{lpha_e} \quad lpha_e = g + g^2/2 + \dots$$