

LIMIT THEOREMS FOR QUANTILE EMPIRICAL PROCESSES

Igor Borisov

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, RUSSIA

Saint Petersburg, June 10–14, 2013

The problem

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of identically distributed random variables (r.v-s). Denote by $F(x)$ the distribution function of X_1 . Let $X_{1:n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n:n}$ be the corresponding order statistics based on the sample X_1, \dots, X_n . Consider the following two empirical distribution functions (d.f.-s):

$$F_n(t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(X_i < t),$$

$$E_n(t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{E}X_{i:n} < t) \text{ if } \mathbf{E}|X_1| < \infty,$$

where $\mathbb{I}(\cdot)$ is the indicator of an event (including the nonrandom case). The **nonrandom empirical d.f.** $E_n(t)$ was introduced by W. Hoeffding (1953). He proved that, **in the iid case**,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g(x) dE_n(x) = \mathbf{E}g(X_1) \quad (1)$$

for any continuous function g such that the modulus $|g|$ has a **convex majorant** integrable w.r.t. F .

The main goal is to extend (1) to the case of dependent r.v-s $\{X_i\}$.

For an arbitrary distribution function $G(x)$ we introduce the quantile transform (generalized inverse function)

$$G^{-1}(t) := \inf\{x : G(x) \geq t\}, \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

The values $G^{-1}(0)$ and $G^{-1}(1)$ may be defined as the corresponding limits.

Recall the main property of the quantile transforms. Hereinafter we denote by ω a random variable having the $(0, 1)$ -uniform distribution.

Then

- 1) The random variable $G^{-1}(\omega)$ has the distribution function $G(x)$.
- 2) If $G_n \xrightarrow{w} G$ then $G_n^{-1}(\omega) \rightarrow G^{-1}(\omega)$ a.s.

We study limit behavior of the quantile empirical process $F_n^{-1}(t)$, $t \in (0, 1)$, and its mean function $\mathbf{E}F_n^{-1}(t) \equiv E_n^{-1}(t)$ as well as of some functionals of the processes under consideration.

Introduce the following notation:

$$\|g\|_p := \left(\int_0^1 |g(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} = (\mathbf{E}|g(\omega)|^p)^{1/p}, \quad p \geq 1.$$

Lemma 1 (I.S.Borisov and A.V.Shadrin, 1996).

Given arbitrary d.f.-s G_1 and G_2 ,

$$\|G_1^{-1} - G_2^{-1}\|_p^p \leq C(p) \int_R |G_1(t) - G_2(t)| |t|^{p-1} dt, \quad (2)$$

where

- 1) $C(p) = p2^{p-1}$ for any $p \geq 1$ (in the case of odd numbers p , inequality (2) with the same constant was obtained by Sh. Ebralidze, 1970);
- 2) The value $C(p) = p2^{p-1}$ is unimprovable in the class of centered distributions for every $p \geq 1$;
- 3) If $p = 1$ then the sign “ \leq ” in (2) can be replaced by “ $=$ ” (Yu. V. Prohorov, 1956);
- 4) $C(p) = p$ if there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $G_1^{-1}(t) = G_2^{-1}(t) = 0$ (the case of zero medians, i.e., $t = 1/2$, has been studied by Sh. Ebralidze, 1970).

Corollary 1. Let $\{X_i\}$ be a stationary ergodic sequence and $\mathbf{E}|X_1|^p < \infty$, $p \geq 1$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\|F_n^{-1} - F^{-1}\|_p \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Corollary 2. Let $\{X_i\}$ be a sequence of identically distributed random variables such that $\mathbf{E}|F_n(t) - F(t)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $t \in R$. Then, under the restriction $\mathbf{E}|X_1|^p < \infty$, $p \geq 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_n^{-1} - F^{-1}\|_p^p &\leq \int_0^1 \mathbf{E}|F_n^{-1}(t) - F^{-1}(t)| dt \\ &\leq C(p) \int_R \mathbf{E}|F_n(t) - F(t)| |t|^{p-1} dt \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Corollary 3. *Under the restrictions of Corollary 2 for $p = 2$,*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Var} X_{i:n} = \|F^{-1}\|_2^2 - \|E_n^{-1}\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (4)$$

*since $\|F^{-1}\|_2^2 = \mathbf{E}X_1^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}X_{i:n}^2$ and $\|E_n^{-1}\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{E}X_{i:n})^2$;
moreover, in view of (3) and the triangle inequality,*

$$\left| \|E_n^{-1}\|_2 - \|F^{-1}\|_2 \right| \leq \|E_n^{-1} - F^{-1}\|_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Corollary 4. Under the restrictions of Corollary 2 for $p = 1$, the convergence $E_n \xrightarrow{w} F$ is valid, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g(x) dE_n(x) = \mathbf{E}g(X_1)$$

for all **continuous bounded** functions g .

It remains only to verify the uniform integrability condition.

Lemma 2. For any sequence of identically distributed r.v-s $\{X_i\}$ with finite mean, and for any nonnegative convex function h such that $\mathbf{E}h(X_1) < \infty$, the following upper bound is valid:

$$\Delta_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\mathbf{E}X_{i:n}) \mathbb{I}(h(\mathbf{E}X_{i:n}) > K) \leq 3\mathbf{E}h(X_1) \mathbb{I}(h(X_1) > K/2)$$

for all $K > 0$.

Proof. Denote

$$h_K(x) := (h(x) - K) \mathbb{I}(h(x) > K) = \max\{h(x) - K, 0\}.$$

The function $h_K(x)$ is convex. Applying Jensen's inequality twice, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_K(\mathbf{E}X_{i:n}) + \frac{K}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(h(\mathbf{E}X_{i:n}) > K) \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}h_K(X_1) + \frac{K}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{E}h(X_{i:n}) > K). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{K}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{E}h(X_{i:n}) > K) &\leq \frac{K}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{E}h(X_{i:n})\mathbb{I}(h(X_{i:n}) > K/2) > K/2) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}h(X_{i:n})\mathbb{I}(h(X_{i:n}) > K/2) = 2\mathbf{E}h(X_1)\mathbb{I}(h(X_1) > K/2) \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathbb{I}(\zeta > N) \leq \zeta/N$ for all $N > 0$ and any nonnegative r.v. ζ (including the case $\zeta \equiv \text{const}$).

Thus,

$$\Delta_n \leq \mathbf{E}h_K(X_1) + 2\mathbf{E}h(X_1)\mathbb{I}(h(X_1) > K/2) \leq 3\mathbf{E}h(X_1)\mathbb{I}(h(X_1) > K/2).$$

The lemma is proved.

So, we have proved the Hoeffding's result under very mild restrictions.

Theorem 1. *Let $\{X_i\}$ be a sequence of identically distributed r.v-s (arbitrarily correlated) with finite mean. Let h be a nonnegative convex function and g be a continuous function such that $|g(x)| \leq h(x)$ and $\mathbf{E}h(X_1) < \infty$. If $\mathbf{E}|F_n(t) - F(t)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $t \in R$ then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g(x) dE_n(x) = \mathbf{E}g(X_1). \quad (5)$$

Remark. It is clear that

$$\mathbf{E}|F_n(t) - F(t)| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n [\mathbf{P}(\max\{X_i, X_j\} < t) - F^2(t)] \right)^{1/2}. \quad (6)$$

In particular, if $\mathbf{P}(\max\{X_i, X_j\} < t) \rightarrow F^2(t)$ as $|i - j| \rightarrow \infty$ for all $t \in R$ then the main restriction of the theorem is fulfilled.

We now study the rate of convergence in the relation (5).

Denote

$$\Delta_n(g) := |Eg(X_1) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(EX_{i:n})|.$$

We study the case of locally Lipschitz functions $g \in Lip(K_\alpha)$, i.e.,

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq K(x, y)|x - y|,$$

with the following restriction on the constant:

$$K(x, y) \leq B(1 + (|x| \vee |y|)^\alpha), \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

In the sequel, we assume that $B = 1$ (without loss of generality).

Theorem 2. Let $\{X_i\}$ be a sequence of identically distributed r.v-s (arbitrarily correlated) and $|X_1| \leq c$ with probability 1. Let $g \in Lip(K_\alpha)$ and $\mathbf{E}|g(X_1)| < \infty$. Then

$$\Delta_n(g) \leq (1 + c^\alpha) \int_{-c}^c \mathbf{E}|F_n(t) - F(t)| dt. \quad (7)$$

Remark. For pairwise independent $\{X_i\}$, we deduce from (6) that

$$\mathbf{E}|F_n(t) - F(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{F(t)(1 - F(t))}.$$

So, in this case, we can specify the upper bound in (7):

$$\Delta_n(g) \leq \frac{1 + c^\alpha}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{-c}^c \sqrt{F(t)(1 - F(t))} dt. \quad (8)$$

Put $\delta(c) := \mathbf{E}|X_1|^{1+\alpha}\mathbb{I}(|X_1| > c)$.

Theorem 3. Let $g \in Lip(K_\alpha)$ and $\mathbf{E}|X_1|^{1+\alpha} < \infty$. Then, for pairwise independent $\{X_i\}$,

$$\Delta_n(g) = O(\delta(c_n))$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where c_n is a solution to one of the following equations:

$$c^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} = \delta(c)n^{1/2} \quad \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

$$c \log c = \delta(c)n^{1/2} \quad \text{if } \alpha = 1,$$

$$c^\alpha = \delta(c)n^{1/2} \quad \text{if } \alpha > 1.$$

Corollary. Under the conditions of Theorem 3, let $E|X_1|^{1+\alpha+r} < \infty$, $r > 0$ and $\alpha + r > 1$. Then

$$\Delta_n(g) \leq Cn^{-\frac{r}{2(\alpha+r)}}.$$

In particular, if $g_0(x) = x^2$ (the case $\alpha = 1$) and $E|X_1|^{2+r} < \infty$, $r > 0$, then

$$n\Delta_n(g_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Var}X_{i:n} \leq Cn^{1-\frac{r}{2(1+r)}}.$$

Remark. In the iid case, there is a sufficiently large class of marginal distributions such that the value $n\Delta_n(g)$ is bounded uniformly on n .

THANK YOU SO MUCH!