

Von Mises statistics of measure preserving transformations: elements of limit theory

After a joint paper with Manfrd Denker
(Pennsylvania State University)

Mikhail Gordin

V.A. Steklov Mathematical Institute at Saint Petersburg

*Russian-Chinese Seminar on Asymptotic Methods in
Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics*

Saint Petersburg, June 10-14, 2013

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 HOEFFDING'S DECOMPOSITION
- 3 TWO THEOREMS
- 4 MARTINGALE-COBOUNDRY DECOMPOSITION
- 5 PROJECTIVE PRODUCTS AND RESTRICTIONS
- 6 ON THE PROOF OF THEOREM 4, EXAMPLE, QUESTIONS

(X, \mathcal{F}, μ) : a probability space

$T : X \rightarrow X$: a measure preserving transformation

Call random variables

$$x \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < n, \dots, 0 \leq i_d < n} f(T^{i_1}x, \dots, T^{i_d}x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1)$$

von Mises statistics (or **V-statistics**)

constructed against the **transformation** T and the **kernel** f .

The kernel f will be assumed **symmetric**.

Our task: investigate asymptotic behavior of (1)

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A particular case of the above definition:
random variables

$$x \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < n, \dots, 0 \leq i_d < n} F(\xi_{i_1}(x), \dots, \xi_{i_d}(x)), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

constructed after a stationary process $\xi = (\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$
and a function F .

If $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. variables one gets
the original definition of von Mises (1947).

Turn back to measure preserving transformations. For $d = 1$ von Mises statistics reduce to well-known **Birkhoff's sums**

$$\sum_{0 \leq i < n} f \circ T^i.$$

Classical ergodic theorems describe the behavior of **normalized Birkhoff's sums**

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{0 \leq i < n} f \circ T^i$$

for **arbitrary** measure preserving **transformation** T and **every function** $f \in L_1(\mu)$.

Additional structures: equivariant filtrations

Additional structures are needed for more precise asymptotical results (like the Central Limit Theorem) to specify a class of suitable functions and conduct proofs.

An important type of such structures:

T -equivariant **filtrations** (increasing or decreasing sequences of σ -subfields of the σ -field \mathcal{F} shifted by T).

A **decreasing equivariant filtration** for (X, \mathcal{F}, μ, T) is a sequence

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \supset T^{-1}\mathcal{F}_0 \supset T^{-2}\mathcal{F}_0 \dots$$

of σ -subfields of \mathcal{F} .

For an invertible T it is more natural to consider a **bilateral increasing equivariant filtration**

$$\dots \subset T^1\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_0 \subset T^{-1}\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \dots$$

In presence of such a filtration one can establish limit theorems for Birkhoff's sums by means of the **martingale-coboundary decomposition, its extensions and modifications**. This approach is widely applied to Birkhoff's sums (but not to von Mises statistics).

QUESTION: Is it possible to investigate von Mises statistics for $d > 1$ by means of the same additional structures (filtrations) as in case $d = 1$?

If so what kind of **changes** in earlier known methods or **additions** to them have to be made in this situation ?

ANSWER: **Multiparameter generalization of the martingale-coboundary decomposition**, applied to **suitable spaces of finctions** on $(X^d, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes d}, \mu^d)$ and supplemented by the analysis of the **restriction operator**, can be taken as a basis for the asymptotic study of V -statistics in presence of equivariant filtrations.

Initial assumptions, notation, facts and remarks I

Results we are going to discuss are established under the assumptions that T is an **exact transformation** that is

$$\bigcap_{k \geq 0} T^{-k} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{N},$$

where \mathcal{N} is the trivial σ -field of the space (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) . In the rest of the present talk we assume that T satisfies this condition.

An exact transformation T defines on an nonatomic (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) a **strictly decreasing filtration**

$$(T^{-k} \mathcal{F})_{k \geq 0} = \mathcal{F} \supset T^{-1} \mathcal{F} \supset \dots$$

Initial assumptions, notation, facts and remarks II

The **dynamical operator** $V: f \mapsto f \circ T$, $f \in L_p$, acts in every space $L_p = L_p(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ ($p \in [1, \infty]$).

The (pre)adjoint operator V^* acts in the same scale of the L_p -spaces.

The symbols $V^{(k_1, \dots, k_m)}$ ($V^{*(k_1, \dots, k_m)}$) denote operators acting coordinate-wise as V^{k_1}, \dots, V^{k_m} (or as $V^{*k_1}, \dots, V^{*k_m}$) in various spaces of functions on X^m (in particular, in m -th tensor powers of the spaces L_p).

Example.

$$(V^{(k_1, k_2)} f)(x_1, x_2) = f(T^{k_1} x_1, T^{k_2} x_2) = ((V^{k_1} \otimes V^{k_2}) f)(x_1, x_2).$$

Approach and tools used

1 Restriction to the principal diagonal

The function $x \mapsto f(T^{k_1}x, \dots, T^{k_d}x)$ is the restriction of the function $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \mapsto f(T^{k_1}x_1, \dots, T^{k_d}x_d)$ to the principal diagonal of the space $(X^d, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes d}, \mu^d)$.

Constructing a well-defined restriction looks impossible within the L_p spaces: the diagonal is of product measure zero.

However: for suitable p и q the restriction operator D_d has a finite norm as an operator whose domain is the d -th projective tensor degree $L_{p, \pi}(\mu^d)$ of the space L_p and the range is $L_q(\mu)$

2 Hoeffding's decomposition

3 d -parametric extension of the martingale-coboundary decomposition

Hoeffding's decomposition of symmetric kernels

Let

- $(X_l, \mathcal{F}_l, \mu_l)$, $l = 1, \dots, d$, be copies of the space (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) ,
- for every $m \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ $L_p^{sym}(\mu^m)$ be the subspace of symmetric elements of the space $L_p(\mu^m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L_p(X^m, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes m}, \mu^m)$,
- \mathcal{S}_d^m be the set of all m -subsets of the set $\{1, \dots, d\}$ and, for every $S \in \mathcal{S}_d^m$,

$$\pi_S : X^d \rightarrow X^m$$

be the projection map which only holds coordinates with indices in S .

Symmetric Hoeffding decomposition: there exist such operators $R_m : L_p^{sym}(\mu^d) \rightarrow L_p^{sym}(\mu^m)$ that every $f \in L_p^{sym}(\mu^d)$ can be represented in the form

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^d \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_d^m} (R_m f) \circ \pi_S$$

and, moreover, integrating out with respect to the measure μ any of m arguments of the function $R_m f$ returns 0 (such a function is called **canonical**). Analogous decomposition and the same notation will be applied later to the spaces $L_{p, \pi}(\mu^d)$.

Example. Let $d = 2$ and $f \in L_p^{sym}(\mu^2)$. Then

$$f(x_1, x_2) = f_0 + f_1(x_1) + f_1(x_2) + f_2(x_1, x_2)$$

where

$$f_0 = \int_{X^2} f(z_1, z_2) \mu(dz_1) \mu(dz_2),$$

$$f_1(x) = \int_X f(x, z) \mu(dz) - f_0 \left(= \int_X f(z, x) \mu(dz) - f_0 \right),$$

$$f_2(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2) - f_1(x_1) - f_1(x_2) - f_0.$$

Here $\int_X f_1(z) \mu(dz) = 0$, $f_2 \in L_p^{sym}(\mu^2)$ and for almost all $x \in X$

$$\int_X f_2(z, x) \mu(dz) = \int_X f_2(x, z) \mu(dz) = 0.$$

Limit distributions of "nondegenerate" V -statistics

Teopema 2. Let $f \in L_2^{\text{sym}}(\mu^d)$ be a real-valued kernel. Let for every $m=1, \dots, d$ $R_m f \in L_{2m, \pi}^{\text{sym}}(\mu^m)$ and the series

$$\sum_{0 \leq k_1, \dots, k_m < \infty} V^{*(k_1, \dots, k_m)} R_m f \left(\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 \leq k_1, \dots, k_m < n} V^{*(k_1, \dots, k_m)} R_m f \right)$$

converges in $L_{2m, \pi}(\mu^m)$. Then the sequence

$$V_n^{(d)} f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n^{d-1/2}} \sum_{0 \leq k_1, \dots, k_d < n} D_d V^{(k_1, \dots, k_d)} (f - R_0 f)$$

tends in distribution, along with its second moments, to the centered Gaussian random variable with the variance

$d^2 \sigma^2(f) \geq 0$ where

$$\sigma^2(f) = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V^{*k} R_1 f \right|_2^2 - \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V^{*k} R_1 f \right|_2^2 \geq 0.$$

Limit distributions of canonical kernels of degree 2

Theorem 4. Let $f \in L_{2,\pi}^{sym}(\mu^2)$ be such a real-valued canonical kernel that in $L_{2,\pi}(\mu^2)$ there exists the limit

$$\lim_{n_1, n_2 \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq n_1 - 1 \\ 0 \leq i_2 \leq n_2 - 1}} V^{*(i_1, i_2)} f.$$

Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the sequence of random variables

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n-1} D_2 V^{(i_1, i_2)} f, \quad n \geq 1,$$

converges in distribution, along with its first moments, to

$\xi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m \eta_m^2$ where $(\eta_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of independent standard Gaussian random variables and (λ_m) are the eigenvalues of the kernel g^\emptyset (see the next slide).

Martingale-coboundary decomposition (d=2)

Proposition. Let $f(= f_2) \in L_{2,\pi}^{\text{sym}}(\mu^2)$ be a canonical kernel of degree 2. If the limit

$$g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{n_1, n_2 \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq n_1 - 1 \\ 0 \leq i_2 \leq n_2 - 1}} V^{*(i_1, i_2)} f,$$

there exists in $L_{2,\pi}(\mu^2)$, then f admits a unique representation of the form

$$f = g^\emptyset + (V^{(1,0)} - I)g^{\{1\}} + (V^{(0,1)} - I)g^{\{2\}} + (V^{(1,0)} - I)(V^{(0,1)} - I)g^{\{1,2\}}$$

where

$$E(g^\emptyset | (T^{-1}\mathcal{F}^{(1)}) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(2)}) = 0, \quad E(g^\emptyset | \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \otimes T^{-1}\mathcal{F}^{(2)}) = 0,$$

$$E(g^{\{1\}} | \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \otimes T^{-1}\mathcal{F}^{(2)}) = 0, \quad E(g^{\{2\}} | (T^{-1}\mathcal{F}^{(1)}) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(2)}) = 0$$

and $g^\emptyset, g^{\{1\}}, g^{\{2\}}, g^{\{1,2\}}$ are canonical. Moreover,

$$g^\emptyset, g^{\{1,2\}} \in L_{2,\pi}^{\text{sym}}(\mu^2), \quad g^{\{1\}}, g^{\{2\}} \in L_{2,\pi}(\mu^2), \quad g^{\{1\}} \circ \theta = g^{\{2\}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$g^{\{2\}} \circ \theta = g^{\{1\}} \quad (\text{here } \theta(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, x_1), x_1, x_2 \in X).$$

Projective tensor products of Banach spaces

Let B_1, \dots, B_d be Banach spaces with norms $|\cdot|_{B_1}, \dots, |\cdot|_{B_d}$, and let $B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_d$ be their algebraic tensor product. Elements of $B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_d$ representable in the form $f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_d$ are called **elementary tensors**. The **projective tensor product** $B_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \hat{\otimes}_\pi B_d$ of Banach spaces B_1, \dots, B_d is defined as the completion of $B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_d$ relative to the **projective norm**. The latter is defined as the supremum of all norms on $B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_d$, which are equal to $\prod_{i=1}^d |f_i|_{B_i}$ for every elementary tensor $f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_d$.

Example. The space $L_{2,\pi}(\mu^2)$ can be identified with the space of nuclear operators from $L_2(\mu)^*$ to $L_2(\mu)$.

Embedding of the projective product $L_{p,\pi}(\mu^d)$ to $L_p(\mu^d)$

Let $(X_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mu_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, d$) be copies of (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) . Set for $p \in [1, \infty]$

$$L_{p,\pi}(\mu^d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L_p(X_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} \cdots \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} L_p(X_d, \mathcal{F}_d, \mu_d).$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_{p,d,\pi}$ be the norm of the space $L_{p,\pi}(\mu^d)$. It is shown in the following lemma that $L_{p,\pi}(\mu^d)$ can be regarded as a subspace of $L_p(\mu^d)$.

Lemma. *For every $p \in [1, \infty]$ there exists a unique linear mapping $J_d : L_{p,\pi}(\mu^d) \rightarrow L_p(\mu^d)$ of norm 1 which sends every elementary tensor $f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_d$ to the function $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \mapsto f_1(x_1) \cdots f_d(x_d)$. Furthermore, J_d maps $L_{p,\pi}(\mu^d)$ to $L_p(\mu^d)$ injectively. For every $p \in [1, \infty)$ the subspace $J_d(L_{p,\pi}(\mu^d))$ is dense in $L_p(\mu^d)$.*

Restriction to the diagonal

Proposition. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $r = p/d$. Then

- 1 the mapping \mathcal{D} which sends every d -tuple $(f_1, \dots, f_d) \in L_p(\mu) \times \dots \times L_p(\mu)$ to the function $x \mapsto f_1(x) \cdots f_d(x)$ is a d -linear mapping of norm 1 from $L_p(\mu) \times \dots \times L_p(\mu)$ to $L_r(\mu)$;
- 2 there exists such a unique linear mapping (of norm 1) $D_d : L_{p,\pi}(\mu^d) \rightarrow L_r(\mu)$ that for every d -tuple $(f_1, \dots, f_d) \in L_{p_1}(\mu) \times \dots \times L_{p_d}(\mu)$ $D_d(f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_d) = \mathcal{D}(f_1, \dots, f_d)$.

The existence of embedding of $L_{p,\pi}(\mu^d)$ to $L_p(\mu^d)$ allows us to interpret elements of $L_{p,\pi}(\mu^d)$ as functions defined on X^d . Then $D_d f$ plays the role of the restriction of f to the principal diagonal $\{(x_1, \dots, x_d) : x_1 = \dots = x_d\} \subset X^d$.

On the proof of Theorem 4. I

We will use the above martingale-coboundary decomposition (proposition following Theorem 4). It follows from inequalities

$$\left| \sum_{0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n-1} D_2 V^{(i_1, i_2)} \left((V^{(1,0)} - I)g^{\{1\}} + (V^{(0,1)} - I)g^{\{2\}} \right) \right|_1 \leq 2C_{2,2,1} \sqrt{n} \|g\|_{2,2,\pi},$$

$$\left| \sum_{0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n-1} D_2 V^{(i_1, i_2)} \left((V^{(1,0)} - I)(V^{(0,1)} - I)g^{\{1,2\}} \right) \right|_1 \leq C_{2,2,2} \|g\|_{2,2,\pi}.$$

and the proposition on martingale-coboundary decomposition that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n-1} D_2 V^{(i_1, i_2)} (f - g^\emptyset) \right|_1 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

This reduces the proof to the special case of the "orthomartingale kernel" g^\emptyset .

On the proof of Theorem 4. II

The real-valued symmetric function g^\emptyset is a kernel of an symmetric integral nuclear operator in $L_2(\mu)$. Hence, it expands over the eigenfunctions:

$$g^\emptyset(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m \varphi_m(x_1) \varphi_m(x_2) \quad (2)$$

where $(\varphi_m)_{m \geq 1}$ is a real-valued orthonormal sequence $L_2(\mu)$ (possibly incomplete) and $(\lambda_m)_{m \geq 1}$ is a sequence of reals for which $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_m| < \infty$. We assume that $\lambda_m \neq 0$ for all $m \geq 1$.

On the proof of Theorem 4. III

Let us show that for every $m \geq 1$

$$E(\varphi_m | T^{-1}\mathcal{F}) = 0.$$

We have $\mu \times \mu$ -almost surely

$$0 = E(g^\emptyset | T^{-(0,1)}\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2})(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda_l \varphi_l(x_1) E(\varphi_l | T^{-1}\mathcal{F})(x_2)$$

For every $m \geq 1$, multiplying this identity by $\varphi_m(x_1)$ and integrating it in x_1 with respect to μ , we obtain that

$$\lambda_m E(\varphi_m | T^{-1}\mathcal{F})(x_2) = 0$$

which implies the assertion.

On the proof of Theorem 4. IV

Let us set for $N \geq 1$

$$\xi_N = \sum_{m=1}^N \lambda_m \eta_m^2, \quad g_N^\emptyset(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m=1}^N \lambda_m \varphi_m(x_1) \varphi_m(x_2).$$

Notice that for every N the assertions of the theorem on the convergence in distribution and the convergence of the first moments will hold if we substitute f and ξ in these assertions with g_N^\emptyset and ξ_N , respectively. Indeed, by means of the Cramer–Wold device the Billingsley–Ibragimov theorem extends to \mathbb{R}^N -valued martingale-differences. Hence, the random vectors

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_1 \circ T^k, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_N \circ T^k \right)$$

converge in distribution to (η_1, \dots, η_N) as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

On the proof of Theorem 4. V

Therefore, random variables

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n-1} D_2 V^{(i_1, i_2)} g_{\emptyset}^{(N)} = \sum_{m=1}^N \lambda_m \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_m \circ T^k \right)^2$$

converge in distribution to $\sum_{m=1}^N \lambda_m \eta_m^2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Convergence of the first moments follows now from the convergence of the second moments in the CLT for martingale differences. Observe that

$$|\xi - \xi_N|_1 = \left| \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_m \eta_m^2 \right|_1 \leq \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_m| \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Consequently, $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges in distribution to ξ along with the first moments.

On the proof of Theorem 4. VI

This convergence and the fact that the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n-1} D_2 V^{(i_1, i_2)} g^\emptyset - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n-1} D_2 V^{(i_1, i_2)} g_N^\emptyset \right|_1 \\
 & \leq \left| \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_m \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \varphi_m \circ T^i \right) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \varphi_m \circ T^i \right) \right|_{2,2,\pi} \\
 & \leq \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_m| \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0
 \end{aligned}$$

holds uniformly in n (we use here that the functions $(\varphi_m \circ T^i)_{1 \leq m, 1 \leq i}$ are orthonormal) complete the proof.

Example: the doubling transformation

Let $X = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, μ be the normalized Haar measure on X , $Tz = z^2$ for $z \in X$. We have

$$(Vf)(x) = f(x^2), \quad (V^*f)(x) = 1/2 \sum_{\{u: u^2=x\}} f(u).$$

It is known that T is an exact transformation. If $f_1 \in L^2(\mu)$ and $\int_X f_1(x)\mu(dx) = 0$ then the series

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} V^{*k} f_1$$

converges in $L^2(\mu)$ if, for example,

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} w^{(2)}(f_1, 2^{-k}) < \infty.$$

Here $w^{(2)}(f_1, \cdot)$ is the continuity modulus of f_1 in $L^2(\mu)$.

Example (continued). Translation-invariant kernels

Let now $f \in L^2(\mu^2)$ be such that $f(x_1, x_2) = g(x_1 x_2^{-1})$ with some $g(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} g_k x^k \in L^2(\mu)$. Assume that $f = f_2$ (that is f is canonical), real-valued and symmetric. This means that $g_0 = 0$, g_k are real and such that $g_{-k} = g_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Next, let $f_2 \in L_{2,\pi}^{sym}(\mu^2)$ which is equivalent in our conditions to the relation

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |g_k| < \infty.$$

Furthermore, if $C > 0$ and $\delta > 0$

$$|g_k| \leq \frac{C}{|k|(\log |k|)^{1+\delta}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0,$$

then Theorem 4 applies to f .

Example (continued). General kernels

Consider now a general kernel $f \in L_2(X^2, \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2}, \mu^2)$ with the Fourier expansion

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{k_1, k_2} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in X.$$

Assume that the kernel f is real-valued and symmetric, that is $f_{-k_1, -k_2} = \overline{f_{k_1, k_2}}$ and $f_{k_2, k_1} = f_{k_1, k_2}$ for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The summands of Hoeffding's decomposition are $f_0 = f_{0,0}$, $f_1(x) =$

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f_{k,0} x^k, \quad f_2(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f_{k_1, k_2} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}.$$

The kernel f satisfies all conditions of Theorems 2 and 4 whenever

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} |f_{2^{n_1} k_1, 2^{n_2} k_2}| < \infty \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} |f_{2^n k, 0}|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty \text{ (for Theorem 1 only),}$$

$$f_0 = 0, f_1(\cdot) = 0 \text{ (for Theorem 4 only).}$$

How to verify our assumptions ?

A more general approach can be developed on the basis of the *transfer operator* (V^* in our setting) restricted to some spaces of nice (smooth, Hölder or Sobolev) functions.

We assume now that T acts on a compact smooth manifold as an expanding map preserving a measure μ so that some rate of convergence of $V^{*n}f$ for nice f is known. Expansion of a kernel into an **absolutely convergent series whose summands are products of nice functions in separate variables** is natural in the context of the limit theory of V -statistics. Neither uniqueness of the representation, nor linear independence of these functions is assumed.

Proposition. Let, for some $p \in [1, \infty]$, $(e_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of functions such that $e_0 \equiv 1$ and for every $k \geq 1$ $e_k \in L_p(\mu)$ with $\int_X e_k(x) \mu(dx) = 0$. Assume that for every $k \geq 1$

$$C_{p,k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n \geq 0} |V^{*n} e_k|_p < \infty.$$

Suppose that $f \in L_p(\mu^m)$ admits a representation

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{\mathbf{0} < \mathbf{k} < \infty} \lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(f) e_{k_1}(x_1) \cdots e_{k_m}(x_m) \quad (3)$$

where $(\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(f))_{\mathbf{0} < \mathbf{k} < \infty}$ is a family of constants satisfying

$$C_p(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\mathbf{0} < \mathbf{k} < \infty} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}(f)| C_{p,k_1} \cdots C_{p,k_m} < \infty. \quad (4)$$

Then f is a canonical kernel of degree m , $f \in L_{p, \pi}(\mu^m)$, the series in

$$g = \sum_{0 \leq k < \infty} V^{*k} f \left(\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\substack{n_1 \rightarrow \infty \\ \dots \\ n_m \rightarrow \infty}} \sum_{0 \leq k < n} V^{*k} f \right) \quad (5)$$

converges in $L_{p, \pi}(\mu^m)$ and its sum g satisfies the inequality

$$|g|_{p, m, \pi} \leq C_p(f). \quad (6)$$

QUESTIONS, PROBLEMS

- 1 Prove the Functional CLT (doable)
- 2 Develop a non-adapted version (doable)
- 3 Incorporate the development after 2000 in the field of martingale approximation (Maxwell-Woodroffe, Peligrad-Utev) (doable)
- 4 Investigate large deviations and local CLT (perturbation of some kind of transfer operator ?)
- 5 Substitute the nuclearity assumption by a weaker requirement (serious functional-theoretic problem)

The preprint

by M. Denker and the speaker

arXiv:1109.0635v2 [math.DS]

covers the present talk but contains some missprints.

A corrected version can be requested from
the speaker via E-mail:

gordin@pdmi.ras.ru

Thank you !