

APPLICATION OF CUSUM METHOD FOR DETECTION OF DoS ATTACKS

Vladimir Mazalov and Natalia Nikitina

Institute of Applied Mathematical Research,
Karelian Research Center of RAS
Petrozavodsk, Russia
E-mail: vmazalov@krc.karelia.ru

Introduction. DoS (Denial of Service) - attack is regular traffic + artificial traffic.

Page [1954] introduced CUSUM method.

Let $\{x_n\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, \theta_0 - 1$ are iid with CDF $F(x, \alpha_0)$ and after $\theta \geq 0$ $x_n \sim F(x, \alpha)$, where $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$.

$$S_n = (S_{n-1} + q(x_n))^+, \quad (1)$$

where $z^+ = \max(0, z)$, $q(x) = \log \frac{dF(x, \alpha)}{dF(x, \alpha_0)}$, $S_0 = s \geq 0$.

$$\tau_b = \inf\{n > 0 : S_n \geq b\} \quad (2)$$

Two main characteristics:

ARL (Average Run Length): $(\theta = \infty)$;

AD (Average Delay): $\theta = 0$.

For initial condition $S_0 = s$:

$$ARL = j_\infty(s) = E_s\{\tau_b | \theta = \infty\} \quad (3)$$

$$AD = j_0(s) = E_s\{\tau_b | \theta = 0\} \quad (4)$$

For some distributions:

$S_n = (S_{n-1} + x_n - a)^+$ where $a = \text{const}$, ARL is determined by

$$j(s) = 1 + E_s\{I(0 < S_1 < b)j(S_1)\} + P_s\{S_1 = 0\}j(0), \quad s < b. \quad (5)$$

For AD that is analogous with condition $\theta = 0$.

Shiryaev [1996] showed minimax optimality of the method.

Bernoulli distribution.

Let $x_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ are Bernoulli with parameter α_0 :

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0 \\ 1 - \alpha_0, & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 1, & x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Suppose that after change point x_n are Bernoulli with $\alpha > \alpha_0$,

$$S_n = \left(S_{n-1} + \ln \frac{\alpha^{x_n} (1-\alpha)^{1-x_n}}{\alpha_0^{x_n} (1-\alpha_0)^{1-x_n}} \right)^+.$$

If $\alpha < \alpha_0$ then we change $\alpha'_0 = 1 - \alpha_0, \alpha' = 1 - \alpha$.

\Rightarrow

$$q(x_n) = \ln \frac{\alpha^{x_n}(1-\alpha)^{1-x_n}}{\alpha_0^{x_n}(1-\alpha_0)^{1-x_n}} = \left(\ln \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0} - \ln \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha_0} \right) x_n + \ln \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha_0} = \gamma x_n + \beta.$$

$\alpha > \alpha_0$, $\Rightarrow \gamma > 0$, $\beta < 0$ and $\gamma + \beta > 0$.

For ARL:

$$S_1 = \begin{cases} 0, & x_1 = 0 \quad s + \beta \leq 0 \\ s + \beta > 0, & x_1 = 0 \quad s + \beta > 0 \\ s + \gamma + \beta > 0, & x_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

$$E_s\{I(0 < S_1 < b)j(S_1)\} = \alpha_0 I(s + \gamma + \beta < b)j(s + \gamma + \beta) + (1 - \alpha_0)I(0 < s + \beta < b)j(s + \beta)$$

$$P_s(S_1 = 0) = (1 - \alpha_0)I(s \leq -\beta)$$

\Rightarrow

$$j(s) = \begin{cases} 1 + \alpha_0 j(s + \gamma + \beta) + (1 - \alpha_0)j(s + \beta)^+, & 0 \leq s < b \\ 0, & s = b \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

For AD we change α_0 on α .

Depending on α_0 and α there are different equation forms.

1. **Let** $[\gamma + \beta] = [-\beta]$. Without loss of generality

$$-\beta = 1, b^* = \frac{b}{-\beta}, z = \frac{\gamma + \beta}{-\beta}, [z] = 1.$$

$$j(s) = \begin{cases} 1 + \alpha_0 j(s+1) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(s-1)^+, & 0 \leq s < b^* \\ 0, & s \geq b^* \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

For $0 \leq s \leq b^*$:

$$\begin{cases} j(0) & = 1 + \alpha_0 j(1) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(0) \\ \dots \\ j(n) & = 1 + \alpha_0 j(n+1) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(n-1) \\ \dots \\ j(b^* - 1) & = 1 + \alpha_0 j(b^*) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(b^* - 2) \\ j(b^*) & = 0 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

(a) Let $\alpha_0 = 0.5$. Denote $j(0) = t$. Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} j(0) = t \\ j(1) = t - 2 \\ j(2) = t - 6 \\ \dots \\ j(n) = t - 2(1 + 2 + \dots + n) = t - n(n + 1) \\ \dots \\ j(b) = t - b(b + 1) \end{array} \right.$$

$j(b) = 0 \rightarrow t = b(b + 1) \rightarrow$

$$j(s) = b^*(b^* + 1) - s(s + 1)$$

(b) Let $\alpha_0 \neq 0.5$.

Proposition 1. The solution for $\alpha_0 \neq 0.5$ is

$$j(n) = \frac{(2\alpha_0 - 1)(b - n) + \frac{(1-\alpha_0)^{b+1}}{\alpha_0^b} - \frac{(1-\alpha_0)^{n+1}}{\alpha_0^n}}{(2\alpha_0 - 1)^2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq b^*.$$

2. Let $\gamma + \beta < -\beta$, i.e. $\begin{cases} 0 < \alpha_0 \leq 0.5, \\ \alpha > 1 - \alpha_0, \end{cases}$ or $0.5 < \alpha_0 < 1$.

Changing arguments

$$\gamma + \beta = 1, b^* = \frac{b}{\gamma + \beta}, z = \frac{-\beta}{\gamma + \beta}.$$

$$j(s) = \begin{cases} 1 + \alpha_0 j(s+1) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(s-m)^+, & 0 \leq s < b^* \\ 0, & s \geq b^* \end{cases}$$

Where $m = [z] > 1$.

$$\text{For } 0 \leq s \leq b^*: \begin{cases} j(0) & = 1 + \alpha_0 j(1) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(0) \\ \dots \\ j(m) & = 1 + \alpha_0 j(m+1) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(0) \\ j(m+1) & = 1 + \alpha_0 j(m+2) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(1) \\ \dots \\ j(b^* - 1) & = 1 + \alpha_0 j(b^*) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(b^* - m - 1) \\ j(b^*) & = 0 \end{cases}$$

Generating function $\phi(z)$.

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} j_n z^n = \frac{j_0 \alpha_0 (z - 1) - j_0 (1 - \alpha_0) (z^{m+1} - z) + z}{(\alpha_0 - z + (1 - \alpha_0) z^{m+1}) (z - 1)}$$

Lemma. The equation $(1 - \alpha_0)z^{m+1} + \alpha_0 - z = p(z)$ has no multiple roots for $\alpha_0 \neq m/(m+1)$, otherwise, there are double roots 1.

\Rightarrow For $\alpha_0 \neq \frac{m}{m+1}$:

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha_0} \times \frac{z}{(z-1)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m z^i - \frac{\alpha_0}{1-\alpha_0} \right)} + j_0 \frac{1}{1-z} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha_0} \times$$

$$\times \left(\frac{A}{(z-1)^2} + \frac{B}{z-1} + \frac{C_1}{z-z_1} + \dots + \frac{C_m}{z-z_m} \right) + j_0 \frac{1}{1-z},$$

where z_1, \dots, z_m are roots of $\sum_{i=1}^m z^i - \frac{\alpha_0}{1-\alpha_0} = 0$, and constants A, B, C_1, \dots, C_m are determined by the equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 B + \sum_{i=1}^m C_i = 0 \\
 A + \sum_{i=1}^m C_i(z_i - 1) = 0 \\
 A + \sum_{i=1}^m C_i z_i(z_i - 1) = 0 \\
 \dots \\
 A + \sum_{i=1}^m C_i z_i^{m-2}(z_i - 1) = 0 \\
 A - \frac{B}{1-\alpha_0} + \sum_{i=1}^m C_i \left(\frac{-2\alpha_0}{(1-\alpha_0)z_i} + \frac{z_i^{m-1}-1}{z_i-1} \right) = 1 \\
 A \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_0-1} + B \frac{\alpha_0}{1-\alpha_0} + \sum_{i=1}^m C_i \frac{\alpha_0}{(1-\alpha_0)z_i} = 0
 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{A(n+1)}{1-\alpha_0} + j_0 - \frac{B}{1-\alpha_0} - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{C_i}{1-\alpha_0} \frac{1}{z_i^{n+1}} \right) z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} j_n z^n$$

Find j_0 from condition $j(b^*) = 0$:

$$j_0 = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{C_i}{1-\alpha_0} \frac{1}{z_i^{b^*+1}} - \frac{A}{1-\alpha_0} (b^* + 1) + \frac{B}{1-\alpha_0}$$

Thus, for $\alpha_0 \neq \frac{m}{m+1}$

$$j(n) = \frac{A}{1-\alpha_0} (n - b^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{C_i (1 - z_i^{b^*-n})}{(1-\alpha_0) z_i^{b^*+1}} \quad (9)$$

For $\alpha_0 = \frac{m}{m+1}$ the same arguments:

3. Let $\gamma + \beta > -\beta$, i.e. $\begin{cases} 0 < \alpha_0 < 0.5, \\ \alpha_0 < \alpha < 1 - \alpha_0. \end{cases}$

Change arguments $\beta = -1, b^* = \frac{b}{-\beta}, z = \frac{\gamma + \beta}{-\beta}$.

Then

$$j(s) = \begin{cases} 1 + \alpha_0 j(s + m) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(s - 1)^+, & 0 \leq s < b^* \\ 0, & s \geq b^* \end{cases}$$

where $m = [z] > 1$.

For $0 \leq s \leq b^*$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
j(0) \quad = 1 + \alpha_0 j(m) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(0) \\
j(1) \quad = 1 + \alpha_0 j(m + 1) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(0) \\
j(2) \quad = 1 + \alpha_0 j(m + 2) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(1) \\
\dots \\
j(b^* - m) \quad = 1 + \alpha_0 j(b^*) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(b^* - m - 1) \\
\dots \\
j(b^* - 1) \quad = 1 + \alpha_0 j(b^* - 1 + m) + (1 - \alpha_0) j(b^* - 2) \\
j(b^*) \quad = 0
\end{array} \right.$$

Changing

$$j_n = J_n + \frac{n}{1-\alpha_0(m+1)} \text{ for } \alpha_0 \neq \frac{1}{m+1}$$

$$j_n = J_n - \frac{n^2}{m} \text{ for } \alpha_0 = \frac{1}{m+1}:$$

$$J_n = \alpha_0 J_{n+m} + (1 - \alpha_0) J_{n-1}$$

Characteristic equation:

$$\alpha_0 \lambda^{m+1} - \lambda + 1 - \alpha_0 = 0. \quad (9)$$

From Lemma changing $\alpha_0 = 1 - \alpha'_0$ it follows that the (9) has no multiple roots if $\alpha_0 \neq \frac{1}{m+1}$. Then

$$j(n) = \frac{n}{1-\alpha_0(m+1)} + \sum_{i=0}^m C_i \lambda_i^n,$$

where $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m$ are roots of (9) ($\lambda_0 = 1$), and constants C_0, \dots, C_m are determined by equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^m C_i (1 - z_i^m) = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} + \frac{m}{1 - \alpha_0(m+1)} \\ \alpha_0 C_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m C_i (z_i^{b^*-1} - (1 - \alpha_0) z_i^{b^*-2}) = \frac{\alpha_0(b^*-1+m)}{\alpha_0 - 1 + \alpha_0 m} \\ \dots \\ \alpha_0 C_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m C_i (z_i^{b^*-m} - (1 - \alpha_0) z_i^{b^*-m-1}) = \frac{\alpha_0 b^*}{\alpha_0 - 1 + \alpha_0 m} \end{array} \right.$$

$j(0) = j_0(b, \alpha_0)$. $j_0(b, \alpha_0)$ is increasing in b .

So, minimum of $AD = j_0(b)$ in condition that the false alarm is not large ($ARL \geq a$) yields $\mathbf{b} = \min\{b : j_\infty(b) \geq a\}$.

The mean delay is $j_0(\mathbf{b})$.

Change of protocol

Attacker doesn't know α_0 .

Denote z_n are the jobs in the traffic, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let in regular traffic z_n are distributed with some CDF F_z with median m .

Introduce x_n taking 0, if $z_n < m$, and 1, if $z_n \geq m$.

Then for regular case the frequency of 0 and 1 are equal, so $\alpha_0 = 0.5$.

After intrusion α is changing in respect of $\alpha_0 = 0.5$. If $\alpha > 0.5$, then number of 1 is larger than 0.

Change the FIFO protocol.

Collect the jobs in some buffer.

Generate random variable z with CDF F_z and choose the job in buffer which size is larger than z and lies closer to z than other jobs.

Numerical experiments for normal distribution: regular 1000 jobs and additional 10000 intrusions.

ξ_0	ξ_1	M	Rate of mistakes	Mean delay
$N(0.7, 0.1)$	$N(0.3, 0.1)$	1000	0.21	-0.04
$N(0.7, 0.1)$	$N(0.3, 0.1)$	2000	0.02	0.13
$N(0.7, 0.1)$	$N(0.3, 0.1)$	3000	0.004	0.15
$N(0.7, 0.1)$	$N(0.4, 0.1)$	1000	0.40	-0.04
$N(0.7, 0.1)$	$N(0.4, 0.1)$	2000	0.14	0.25
$N(0.7, 0.1)$	$N(0.4, 0.1)$	3000	0.057	0.39
$N(0.7, 0.25)$	$N(0.2, 0.25)$	1000	0.69	-0.04
$N(0.7, 0.25)$	$N(0.2, 0.25)$	2000	0.49	0.37
$N(0.7, 0.25)$	$N(0.2, 0.25)$	3000	0.35	0.71

Page, E. S. Continuous Inspection Schemes. *Biometrika*, 41, 100-114. 1954.

Shiryaev, A.N. Minimax optimality of CUSUM method in case of continuous time // *Uspehi Math. Nauk.* 1996. V.51. No.4. p. 173-174.

Lucas, J.M., Crosier, R.B. Fast Initial Response for CUSUM Quality Control Schemes: Give Your CUSUM a Head Start. *Technometrics*, 199-205. 1982.

Gan, F.F. Exact Run Length Distributions for One-Sided Exponential CUSUM Schemes. Vol. 2, no. 1, 297-312. 1992.

Busaba, J., Sukparungsee, S., Areepong, Y. and Mititelu, G. Analysis of Average Run Length for CUSUM Procedure with Negative Exponential Data. *Chiang Mai J. Sci.* 2012; 39(2), 200-208.

Vardeman, S., Ray, D. Average Run Lengths for CUSUM Schemes When Observations Are Exponentially Distributed. Technometrics, 3 Vol. 27, No.2, 145-150. 1985.

Mazalov V.V., Zhuravlev D.N. On a CUSUM method in detecting of changing of traffic // Programming and Computer Software, Iss. 6. 2002. P. 156-162.