Looking beyond Majorana zero modes: Engineering non-Abelian anyons

Kirill Shtengel, UC Riverside

Joint work with:

Jason Alicea, Caltech **David Clarke**, UC Riverside \rightarrow Caltech



Support: DARPA QuEST

Euler Symposium, St. Petersburg 2013

1D quantum Ising chain:

$$H = -J\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \sigma_{j}^{z} \sigma_{j+1}^{z} - h\sum_{j=1}^{L} \sigma_{j}^{x}$$

Jordan–Wigner transformation:

$$\gamma_{2j-1} = \sigma_j^z \prod_{i < j} \sigma_i^x, \qquad \gamma_{2j} = \sigma_j^y \prod_{i < j} \sigma_i^x$$

 γ 's are *Majorana* operators:

$$\gamma_j^2 = 1, \quad \gamma_j^{\dagger} = \gamma_j, \quad \gamma_j \gamma_k = -\gamma_k \gamma_j$$

1D quantum Ising chain:

$$H = -J\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \sigma_{j}^{z} \sigma_{j+1}^{z} - h\sum_{j=1}^{L} \sigma_{j}^{x}$$

Hamiltonian after Jordan-Wigner transformation:

$$H = -J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} i\gamma_{2j}\gamma_{2j+1} - h \sum_{j=1}^{L} i\gamma_{2j-1}\gamma_{2j}$$

 γ 's are *Majorana* operators:

$$\gamma_j^2 = 1, \quad \gamma_j^{\dagger} = \gamma_j, \quad \gamma_j \gamma_k = -\gamma_k \gamma_j$$

1D quantum Ising chain:

$$H = -J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \sigma_j^z \sigma_{j+1}^z - h \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sigma_j^x$$

$$H = -J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} i\gamma_{2j}\gamma_{2j+1} - h \sum_{j=1}^{L} i\gamma_{2j-1}\gamma_{2j}$$

1D quantum Ising chain:

$$H = -J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \sigma_j^z \sigma_{j+1}^z - h \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sigma_j^x$$

$$H = -J \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} i\gamma_{2j}\gamma_{2j+1} - h \sum_{j=1}^{N} i\gamma_{2j-1}\gamma_{2j}$$

From Ising to Majorana wires

1D spinless p-wave superconductor(Kitaev 2001):

$$H = \mu \sum_{x=1}^{N} c_x^{\dagger} c_x - \sum_{x=1}^{N-1} (t c_x^{\dagger} c_{x+1} + |\Delta| e^{i\phi} c_x c_{x+1} + h.c.)$$

$$egin{aligned} \mu &= 0 \ t &= |\Delta| \ \end{aligned} \quad c_x &= rac{1}{2} e^{-irac{\phi}{2}} (\gamma_{B,x} + i \gamma_{A,x}) \ \end{aligned}$$

From Ising to Majorana wires

1D spinless p-wave superconductor(Kitaev 2001):

$$H = \mu \sum_{x=1}^{N} c_x^{\dagger} c_x - \sum_{x=1}^{N-1} (t c_x^{\dagger} c_{x+1} + |\Delta| e^{i\phi} c_x c_{x+1} + h.c.)$$

$$egin{pmatrix} \mu = 0 \ t = |\Delta| \ \end{array} \ c_x = rac{1}{2} e^{-irac{\phi}{2}}(\gamma_{B,x} + i\gamma_{A,x}) \ \end{split}$$

 $H = -it \sum_{A,x}^{N-1} \gamma_{B,x} \gamma_{A,x+1}$

 $\gamma_{A,3} \gamma_{B,3}$

x = 1

 $\gamma_{A,2} \gamma_{B,2}$

 $\gamma_{A,1} \gamma_{B,1}$

Unpaired Majorana fermions at the ends!

 $\gamma_{A,N} \gamma_{B,N}$



Kane & Mele, 2005; Bernevig, Hughes, Zhang, 2006; Fu & Kane, 2008



Kane & Mele, 2005; Bernevig, Hughes, Zhang, 2006; Fu & Kane, 2008



$$H_{\rm edge} = \int dx [-\mu(\psi_R^{\dagger}\psi_R + \psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_L) - i\hbar v(\psi_R^{\dagger}\partial_x\psi_R - \psi_L^{\dagger}\partial_x\psi_L)]$$

1D and effectively 'spinless'! Just need superconductivity...



$$egin{aligned} H_{ ext{edge}} &= \int dx [-\mu(\psi_R^\dagger \psi_R + \psi_L^\dagger \psi_L) - i\hbar v(\psi_R^\dagger \partial_x \psi_R - \psi_L^\dagger \partial_x \psi_L)] \ &+ [\Delta \psi_R \psi_L + h.c.] \end{aligned}$$



"Terminating" the SC wire by a magnetic gap: Majorana zero modes localised at the ends

Realization in 1D wires



$$H = \int dx \psi^{\dagger} \left[-\frac{\partial_x^2}{2m} - \mu - i\hbar v \partial_x \sigma^y \right] \psi$$

(Lutchyn, Sau, Das Sarma 2010; Oreg, Refael, von Oppen 2010)

Realization in 1D wires



$$H = \int dx \psi^{\dagger} \left[-\frac{\partial_x^2}{2m} - \mu - i\hbar v \partial_x \sigma^y - \frac{g\mu_B B}{2} \sigma^z \right] \psi$$

(Lutchyn, Sau, Das Sarma 2010; Oreg, Refael, von Oppen 2010)

Realization in 1D wires



$$\begin{split} H &= \int dx \psi^{\dagger} \left[-\frac{\partial_x^2}{2m} - \mu - i\hbar v \partial_x \sigma^y - \frac{g\mu_B B}{2} \sigma^z \right] \psi \\ &+ (\Delta \psi_{\uparrow} \psi_{\downarrow} + h.c.) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Generates a1D 'spinless' SC state} \\ \text{with Majorana fermions!} \end{split}$$

(Lutchyn, Sau, Das Sarma 2010; Oreg, Refael, von Oppen 2010)

First possible experimental realization



Mourik et al., Science 2012 (Kouwenhoven's group, Delft) following proposals by Lutchyn, Sau & Das Sarma, 2010; Oreg, Refael & von Oppen, 2010.

First possible experimental realization



Mourik et al., Science 2012 (Kouwenhoven's group, Delft) following proposals by Lutchyn, Sau & Das Sarma, 2010; Oreg, Refael & von Oppen, 2010.

Great! Anything else?

Can this idea be generalised to other types of exotic (non-Abelian) zero modes?

At first glance, this is very doubtful:

- \mathbb{Z}_8 classification of phases of interacting fermions in 1D (Fidkowski & Kitaev, 2009; Turner, Pollmann & Berg, 2010)

Nevertheless, it is possible!

1D quantum clock model (Fendley, arXiv:1209.0472):

$$H = -J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} (\sigma_j^{\dagger} \sigma_{j+1} + H.c.) - h \sum_{j=1}^{L} (\tau_j^{\dagger} + \tau_j)$$

$$\sigma_j^N = 1 \quad \sigma_j^{\dagger} = \sigma_j^{N-1} \qquad \sigma_j \tau_j = \tau_j \sigma_j e^{2\pi i/N}$$

$$\tau_j^N = 1 \quad \tau_j^{\dagger} = \tau_j^{N-1} \qquad \sigma_j \tau_j = \tau_j \sigma_j e^{2\pi i/N}$$

N=2: quantum Ising chain

$$\sigma \equiv \sigma^z$$
$$\tau \equiv \sigma^x$$

1D quantum clock model (Fendley, arXiv:1209.0472):

$$H = -J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} (\sigma_j^{\dagger} \sigma_{j+1} + H.c.) - h \sum_{j=1}^{L} (\tau_j^{\dagger} + \tau_j)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma_j^N = 1 & \sigma_j^\dagger = \sigma_j^{N-1} \\ \\ \tau_j^N = 1 & \tau_j^\dagger = \tau_j^{N-1} \end{array} \right] \sigma_j \tau_j = \tau_j \sigma_j e^{2\pi i/N}$$

 $N \neq 2$: quantum clock

$$\sigma|q\rangle = e^{2\pi i q/N}|q\rangle$$

 $\tau^{\dagger}|q\rangle = |q+1\rangle$



1D quantum clock model (Fendley, arXiv:1209.0472):

$$H = -J\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} (\sigma_j^{\dagger} \sigma_{j+1} + H.c.) - h\sum_{j=1}^{L} (\tau_j^{\dagger} + \tau_j)$$

Jordan-Wigner transformation:

$$\alpha_{2j-1} = \sigma_j \prod_{i < j} \tau_i, \qquad \alpha_{2j} = -e^{i\pi/N} \tau_j \sigma_j \prod_{i < j} \tau_i$$

 α 's are *parafermionic* operators:

$$\alpha_j^N = 1, \quad \alpha_j^{\dagger} = \alpha_j^{N-1}, \quad \alpha_j \alpha_k = \alpha_k \alpha_j e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} \operatorname{sgn}(k-j)}$$

N=2: these are Majorana fermions $\left(\alpha_j^2 = 1, \ \alpha_j^{\dagger} = \alpha_j\right)$

1D quantum clock model (Fendley, arXiv:1209.0472):

$$H = -J\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} (\sigma_j^{\dagger} \sigma_{j+1} + H.c.) - h\sum_{j=1}^{L} (\tau_j^{\dagger} + \tau_j)$$

$$\begin{split} H &= J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \left(e^{-i\frac{\pi}{N}} \alpha_{2j}^{\dagger} \alpha_{2j+1} + H.c. \right) \\ &+ h \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{N}} \alpha_{2j-1}^{\dagger} \alpha_{2j} + H.c. \right) \\ \alpha_{j}^{N} &= 1, \quad \alpha_{j}^{\dagger} = \alpha_{j}^{N-1}, \quad \alpha_{j} \alpha_{k} = \alpha_{k} \alpha_{j} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N} \operatorname{sgn}(k-j)} \\ N &= 2: \text{ these are Majorana fermions } \left(\alpha_{j}^{2} = 1, \ \alpha_{j}^{\dagger} = \alpha_{j} \right) \end{split}$$

1D quantum clock model (Fendley, arXiv:1209.0472):

$$H = -J\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} (\sigma_j^{\dagger} \sigma_{j+1} + H.c.) - h\sum_{j=1}^{L} (\tau_j^{\dagger} + \tau_j)$$

$$\begin{split} H &= J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \left(e^{-i\frac{\pi}{N}} \alpha_{2j}^{\dagger} \alpha_{2j+1} + H.c. \right) \\ &+ h \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{N}} \alpha_{2j-1}^{\dagger} \alpha_{2j} + H.c. \right) \\ \alpha_{j}^{N} &= 1, \quad \alpha_{j}^{\dagger} = \alpha_{j}^{N-1}, \quad \alpha_{j} \alpha_{k} = \alpha_{k} \alpha_{j} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N} \operatorname{sgn}(k-j)} \\ N &= 2: \text{ these are Majorana fermions } \left(\alpha_{j}^{2} = 1, \ \alpha_{j}^{\dagger} = \alpha_{j} \right) \end{split}$$

1D quantum clock model (Fendley, arXiv:1209.0472):

$$H = -J\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} (\sigma_j^{\dagger} \sigma_{j+1} + H.c.) - h\sum_{j=1}^{L} (\tau_j^{\dagger} + \tau_j)$$

1D quantum clock model (Fendley, arXiv:1209.0472):

$$H = -J\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} (\sigma_j^{\dagger} \sigma_{j+1} + H.c.) - h\sum_{j=1}^{L} (\tau_j^{\dagger} + \tau_j)$$

Recall topological insulator edges



What about fractional TI edges?



We could envision playing the same game with 2D fractional topological insulators (à la Levin & Stern, 2009), but...

What about fractional TI edges?



There are no known fractional topological insulators (yet). But could we 'fake' the same physics elsewhere?

Realization in quantum Hall edges



Counter-propagating edge modes at the boundary between g > 0 and g < 0. The sign of g can be changed by stress.

Realization in quantum Hall edges



Counter-propagating edge modes at the boundary between g > 0 and g < 0. The sign of g can be changed by stress.

Realization in quantum Hall edges



Counter-propagating edge modes at the boundary between g > 0 and g < 0. The sign of g can be changed by stress.

What about fractional quantum Hall edges?



Counter-propagating *fractionalized* edge modes at the boundary between g > 0 and g < 0. The sign of g can be changed by stress.

What about fractional quantum Hall edges?

Counter-propagating *fractionalized* edge modes at the boundary between g > 0 and g < 0. The sign of g can be changed by stress.

Parafermions vs Majoranas

Upshot:

Majorana Fermions:

$$\gamma^2 = 1$$

$$\gamma_y \gamma_x = -\gamma_x \gamma_y$$

Parafermions:

$$\alpha^N = 1$$

$$\alpha_y \alpha_x = \alpha_x \alpha_y e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N} \operatorname{sgn}(x-y)}$$

Majoranas <-> 1D quantum Ising model Parafermions <-> 1D quantum Clock/Potts model Paul Fendley, arXiv:1209.0472

Parafermions from quantum Hall edges

A Laughlin edge state at $\nu = 1/m$ is a natural starting point since $[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = i \frac{\pi}{m} \operatorname{sgn}(x - y)$

and hence

$$e^{i\phi(x)}e^{i\phi(y)} = e^{i\phi(y)}e^{i\phi(x)}e^{i\frac{\pi}{m}\operatorname{sgn}(y-x)}$$

for chiral edge excitations of charge $e\!/\!m.$ Now, we have two counter-propagating modes, $\phi_{R/L}$, which obey

$$[\phi_{R/L}(x), \phi_{R/L}(y)] = \pm i \frac{\pi}{m} \operatorname{sgn}(x - y)$$

The electron fields are $\psi_{R/L} \sim e^{i m \phi_{R/L}}$

Parafermions from quantum Hall edges

Change of variables: $\phi_{R/L} = \varphi \pm \theta$

Free Hamiltonian:
$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \frac{mv}{2\pi} \int dx \left[(\partial_x \varphi)^2 + (\partial_x \theta)^2 \right]$$

Just need to show that a zero mode is bound at a domain wall between

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm s}'(x) = \Delta(x) \psi_R \psi_L + H.c. \sim -\Delta(x) \cos(2m\varphi)$$
 and

$$\mathcal{H}'_{\rm m}(x) = \mathcal{M}(x)\psi_R^{\dagger}\psi_L + H.c. \sim -\mathcal{M}(x)\cos(2m\theta)$$

where $\psi_{R/L} \sim e^{i m \phi_{R/L}}$
Parafermionic zero mode

Assuming strong tunnelling and pairing,

$$\varphi = \frac{\pi n_{\varphi}}{m}$$
 under the superconductors

$$\theta = \frac{\pi n_{\theta}}{m}$$
 under the SO coupled insulators



$$\alpha_{j} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{m}(\hat{n}_{\varphi}^{(j)} + \hat{n}_{\theta})} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j}+\ell} dx \left[e^{-i\frac{\pi}{m}(\hat{n}_{\varphi}^{(j)} + \hat{n}_{\theta})} e^{i(\varphi+\theta)} + e^{-i\frac{\pi}{m}(\hat{n}_{\varphi}^{(j)} - \hat{n}_{\theta})} e^{i(\varphi-\theta)} + H.c. \right]$$

Majorana zero mode



Parafermionic zero mode



Quantum Dimension

Reminder: in the strong backscattering and pairing limit,

$$\varphi = \frac{\pi n_{\varphi}}{m} \text{ in the SC regions,}$$

$$\theta = \frac{\pi n_{\theta}}{m} \text{ in the 'magnetic' regions}$$

$$\varphi_1 \qquad \theta_1 \qquad \varphi_2 \qquad \theta_2 \qquad \varphi_3$$

Each *n* has eignenvalues 0,... 2m-1, but they don't commute! $[\varphi(x), \theta(y)] = i \frac{\pi}{m} \Theta(x - y) \implies \text{One can fix } n_{\varphi} \text{'s or } n_{\theta} \text{'s}$ $(2m)^N$ degenerate states for 2N modes $\Rightarrow d = \sqrt{2m}$

Majorana vs. parafermion zero-modes



Majorana vs. parafermion zero-modes



Kitaev (2001)

Is this zero-mode a (non-Abelian) anyon?

"It depends upon what the meaning of the word 'is' is" Bill Clinton

- First and foremost, it is not a low-energy quasiparticle! It is a zero mode bound to a very high-energy topological defect - c.f. Majorana mode bound to a SC vortex or 'genons' (M. Barkeshli, X-L. Qi, arXiv:1112.3311, arXiv:1208.4834)
- Instead of the usual braiding statistics, one should think of projective braiding statistics (statistics up to a phase)

"Metaplectic anyons" - M. Hastings, C. Nayak, Z. Wang, arXiv:1210.5477

What are braiding statistics in 1D anyway?

Braiding statistics in 1D?



well defined...

...because particles inevitably "collide"



























Apparent problem:

We cannot have Y-junctions: our modes live on the domain walls..

We can still exchange them:









$$H_{a \to b} = (t_J \alpha_2^{\dagger} \alpha_1' + H.c.) + (t \alpha_1'^{\dagger} \alpha_2' + H.c.)$$

= $-|t_J| \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{m} \left(\hat{n}_{\varphi}^{(2)} + \hat{n}_{\theta}^{(3)} - \hat{n}_{\varphi}^{(1)} - \hat{n}_{\theta}^{(2)} \right) + \beta \right]$
 $-|t| \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{m} \left(\hat{n}_{\theta}^{(2)} - \hat{n}_{\theta}^{(3)} \right) \right]$



Integral of motion:

$$\chi \equiv e^{i\frac{\pi}{2m}}\alpha_2 \alpha_2^{\prime\dagger} \alpha_1^{\prime} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{m}(\hat{n}_{\varphi}^{(1)} + \hat{n}_{\theta}^{(3)})}$$

Energy-minimizing condition:

$$\hat{n}_{\varphi}^{(2)} + \hat{n}_{\theta}^{(3)} - \hat{n}_{\varphi}^{(1)} - \hat{n}_{\theta}^{(2)} = k(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Parafermion ZM Braiding

Upshot:

$$\alpha_1 \to e^{-i\frac{\pi}{m}k}\alpha_2$$

$$\alpha_2 \to e^{i\frac{\pi}{m}(1-k)}\alpha_1^{\dagger}\alpha_2^2$$

m=1 (Majorana zero modes):

$$\gamma_1 \rightarrow \gamma_2$$

 $\gamma_2 \rightarrow -\gamma_1$

Parafermion ZM Braiding

Important observation:

If quasiparticles of both chiralities are allowed to tunnel, the braiding is not universal \Rightarrow Potential problem for fractional TI!



Experimental realizations?

Is this feasible?



Experimental realizations?

Bending the Quantum Hall Effect (Matt Grayson's group, TU München)



Which Parts are Really Necessary?

Do we need opposite g-factors (or exotic materials)?

No! Use spin-unpolarized states, like 2/3 or 2/5

D. Clarke, J. Alicea, KS, in preparation



Which Parts are Really Necessary?

So do we really need the insulator at all?

Not in this case!



Which Parts are Really Necessary?

Ok, but we need the superconductor, right?

Not if we use a bilayer system, then particle<-> hole is replaced by layer<->layer

Barkeshli, Jian, Qi, arxiv:1208.4834



Topological Quantum Computation (Kitaev, Preskill, Freedman, Larsen, Wang)

Things we need:

- Multidimensional Hilbert space where we can encode information → Qubits
- Ability to initialise and read-out a qubit
- Unitary operations \rightarrow Quantum gates

Topological Quantum Computation





(Hormozi, Bonesteel, et. al.)

Or, perhaps use measurements to generate brading! (Bonderson, Freedman, Nayak, 2009)

$| \longrightarrow | \leftrightarrow |$ Interferometer

Bonderson, KS & Slingerland, PRL 2006, PRL 2007, Ann. Phys. 2008

Topological Quantum Computation





(Hormozi, Bonesteel, et. al.)

Or, perhaps use measurements to generate brading! (Bonderson, Freedman, Nayak, 2009)

- Majorana zero modes are not universal!
 - No entangling gates with braiding alone
 - 🕨 No phase gate
Topological Quantum Computation





(Hormozi, Bonesteel, et. al.)

Or, perhaps use measurements to generate brading! (Bonderson, Freedman, Nayak, 2009)

Parafermionic zero modes are still not universal...

Can do entangling gates!

No phase gate?

Conclusions

- Parafermionic zero modes can be localised in systems with counter-propagating fractionalised edge modes (FQHE, or fractional topological insulators)
 - Fractional Josephson effect with periodicity $4m\pi$
 - Zero-bias anomaly similar to the Majorana case, but with fractionalised charge tunnelling
- Potential utility for quantum computing?



- D. Clarke, J. Alicea & KS, <u>arXiv:1204.5479</u>
- Parallel work:
 - N. Lindner, E. Berg,
 G. Refael & A. Stern, arXiv:1204.5733
 - M. Cheng, <u>arXiv:1204.6084</u>

