

KAM for gravity capillary water waves

Riccardo Montalto
University of Zürich

June 5, 2015

Water waves:

Euler's equations for an irrotational, incompressible fluid, ∞ depth, with gravity and capillarity.

Unknowns:

- Free surface $\mathcal{S}(t) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} : y = \eta(t, x)\}$
- Velocity potential $\Phi(t, x, y)$ on the domain $\Omega(t) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} : y < \eta(t, x)\}$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Phi + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \Phi|^2 + g\eta = \kappa \partial_x \left(\frac{\eta_x}{\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2}} \right) & \text{on } \mathcal{S}(t) \\ \Delta \Phi = 0 & \text{in } \Omega(t) \\ \nabla \Phi \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } y \rightarrow -\infty \\ \partial_t \eta = \partial_y \Phi - \partial_x \eta \cdot \partial_x \Phi & \text{on } \mathcal{S}(t) \end{cases}$$

$u = \nabla \Phi = \text{velocity field, } \text{rot } u = 0 \text{ (irrotational),}$
 $\text{div } u = \Delta \Phi = 0 \text{ (incompressible)}$
 $g = \text{gravity, } \kappa = \text{surface tension coefficient}$

Zakharov-Craig-Sulem formulation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta = G(\eta)\psi = \nabla_\psi H(\eta, \psi) \\ \partial_t \psi = -g\eta - \frac{\psi_x^2}{2} + \frac{(G(\eta)\psi + \eta_x \psi_x)^2}{2(1 + \eta_x^2)} + \frac{\kappa \eta_{xx}}{(1 + \eta_x^2)^{3/2}} = -\nabla_\eta H(\eta, \psi) \end{cases}$$

Hamiltonian: *kinetic energy + potential energy + area surface int.*

$$H(\eta, \psi) := \frac{1}{2}(\psi, G(\eta)\psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_x)} + \int_{\mathbb{T}} g \frac{\eta^2}{2} + \kappa \sqrt{1 + \eta_x^2} dx$$
$$G(\eta)\psi(x) := \sqrt{1 + \eta_x^2} \partial_n \Phi|_{y=\eta(x)} = \{\Phi_y - \eta_x \Phi_x\}|_{y=\eta(x)}$$

Reversible solutions

$$H(\eta, \psi) = H(\eta, -\psi),$$

$$\{(\eta, \psi) : \eta = \text{even}(t)\text{even}(x), \quad \psi = \text{odd}(t)\text{even}(x)\}$$

Theorem (KAM for capillary-gravity water waves. Berti, M.)

For every choice of the tangential sites $S \subset \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $\bar{s} > \frac{|S|+1}{2}$, $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that: for all $\xi_j \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, $j \in S$, \exists a **Cantor like set** $\mathcal{G} \subset [\kappa_1, \kappa_2]$ **with asymptotically full measure as $\xi \rightarrow 0$, i.e.** $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} |\mathcal{G}| = (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)$, such that, for any surface tension coefficient $\kappa \in \mathcal{G}$, the CAPILLARY-GRAVITY WATER WAVES EQUATIONS have a reversible, quasi-periodic standing wave solution $(\eta, \psi) \in H^{\bar{s}}$, even in x , of the form

$$\eta(t, x) = \sum_{j \in S} \sqrt{\xi_j} \cos(\omega_j t) \cos(jx) + o(\sqrt{\xi})$$

$$\psi(t, x) = -\sum_{j \in S} \sqrt{\xi_j} j^{-1} \omega_j \sin(\omega_j t) \cos(jx) + o(\sqrt{\xi})$$

with frequency vector $\omega = (\omega_j)_{j \in S} \in \mathbb{R}^S$ satisfying

$$\omega_j \rightarrow \sqrt{j(1 + \kappa j^2)} \quad \text{as } \xi \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall j \in S$$

The solutions are linearly **stable**.

Ideas of the proof

- 1 **Degenerate KAM Theory for PDEs:** (Bambusi, Berti, Magistrelli) Use the surface tension parameter κ to verify the non-resonance conditions
- 2 **Nash-Moser implicit function iterative scheme**
- 3 **Spectral analysis of the linearized equation** at any approximate solution. This requires
 - Theory of Pseudo differential operators and Fourier integral operators (the linearized equation is a Pseudo PDE)
 - KAM reducibility scheme

Analysis of the linearized operator

WW equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta - G(\eta)\psi = 0 \\ \partial_t \psi + g\eta + \frac{\psi_x^2}{2} - \frac{(G(\eta)\psi + \eta_x \psi_x)^2}{2(1+\eta_x^2)} - \frac{\kappa \eta_{xx}}{(1+\eta_x^2)^{3/2}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Linearization on $u = \varepsilon(\eta, \psi)$ in the direction $h = (\hat{\eta}, \hat{\psi})$

$$\mathcal{L}h := \begin{pmatrix} \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + \partial_x V + G(\eta)B & -G(\eta) \\ 1 + BV_x + BG(\eta)B - \kappa \partial_x c \partial_x & \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + V \partial_x - BG(\eta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\eta} \\ \hat{\psi} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\|c - 1\|_{H^s}, \|V\|_{H^s}, \|B\|_{H^s} = O(\varepsilon)$$

Conjugacy of \mathcal{L} to constant coefficients

The conjugacy procedure is splitted in two parts:

① ∂_x -reduction in decreasing symbols

$$\mathcal{L}_1 := \Phi^{-1} \mathcal{L} \Phi = \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{R}_0$$

- $\mathcal{D} := \text{diag}_j \mu_j$, $\mu_j := \lambda_3 \sqrt{j(1 + \kappa_j^2)} + \lambda_1 \sqrt{j}$, $\lambda_3 - 1, \lambda_1 = O(\varepsilon)$,
- $\mathcal{R}_0(\varphi) : H_x^s \rightarrow H_x^s$, $\partial_\varphi^\beta \mathcal{R}_0(\varphi) : H_x^s \rightarrow H_x^s$, for some $\beta = \beta(\nu) > 0$

Use changes of variables, Egorov Theorem (FIO), pseudo-differential operators

② ε -reduction, reducibility scheme

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu := \Phi_\nu^{-1} \mathcal{L}_1 \Phi_\nu = \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + \mathcal{D} + r^{(\nu)} + \mathcal{R}_\nu$$

- $\mathcal{R}_\nu = \mathcal{R}_\nu(\varphi) = O(\mathcal{R}_0^{2^\nu}) = O(\varepsilon^{2^\nu})$
- $r^{(\nu)} = \text{diag}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}(r_j^{(\nu)})$, $\sup_j |r_j^{(\nu)}| = O(\varepsilon)$,

KAM-type scheme, now transformations of $H_x^s \rightarrow H_x^s$

Preliminary steps (Alazard-Baldi 2014, periodic case)

We introduce the good unknown of Alhinaç

$$\mathcal{Z} : (\hat{\eta}, \hat{\psi}) \mapsto (\hat{\eta}, \hat{\psi} - B\hat{\eta})$$

and the linearized operator simplifies as

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{Z}^{-1} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + \partial_x V & -G(\eta) \\ a - \kappa \partial_x c \partial_x & \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + V \partial_x \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $G(\eta) = |D| + \mathcal{R}_G(\eta)$, $\mathcal{R}_G(\eta) \in OPS^{-\infty}$, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + \partial_x V & -|D| \\ a - \kappa \partial_x c \partial_x & \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + V \partial_x \end{pmatrix} + \text{smoothing terms}$$

Changes of variables

To reduce to constant coefficients the highest order $c(\varphi, x)\partial_{xx}$ we perform

change of variables

$$h(\varphi, x) \mapsto h(\varphi, x + \beta(\varphi, x))$$

Quasi-periodic reparametrization of time

$$h(\varphi, x) \mapsto h(\varphi + \omega\alpha(\varphi), x)$$

and then

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + a_1 \partial_x & -\lambda_3 |D| \\ \lambda_3 (1 - \kappa \partial_{xx}) + a_2 \partial_x & \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + a_1 \partial_x \end{pmatrix} + O(\varepsilon |D|^0),$$

$$\lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda_3 = 1 + O(\varepsilon), \|a_1\|_{H^s}, \|a_2\|_{H^s} = O(\varepsilon).$$

Symmetrization of the highest order

In \mathcal{L}_1 symmetrize

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & -\lambda_3|D| + \dots \\ \lambda_3(1 - \kappa\partial_{xx}) + \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda := \langle D \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 - \kappa\partial_{xx})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

then

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + a_1\partial_x & -\lambda_3 T(D) + a_3\mathcal{H}|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \lambda_3 T(D) + a_4\mathcal{H}|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} & \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + a_1\partial_x \end{pmatrix} + O(\varepsilon|D|^0)$$

$T(D) := \sqrt{|D|(1 - \kappa\partial_{xx})}$, $\|a_3\|_{H^s}, \|a_4\|_{H^s} = O(\varepsilon)$, \mathcal{H} (Hilbert transform)

New steps: Decoupling of h, \bar{h}

We write \mathcal{L}_1 as an operator acting on the variables $z = \hat{\eta} + i\hat{\psi}$ and then

$$\mathcal{L}_1[h, \bar{h}] = \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi h + i\lambda_3 T(D)h + a_1 \partial_x h + ia_0 \mathcal{H}|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} h + \mathcal{R}_0 h + \mathcal{Q}_0 \bar{h},$$

where $a_1(\varphi, x), a_0(\varphi, x) \in H^s$, $\mathcal{R}_0 \in OPS^0$, $\mathcal{Q}_0 \in OPS^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Goal

Conjugate to

$$\mathcal{L}_N[h, \bar{h}] = \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi h + i\lambda_3 T(D)h + a_1 \partial_x h + a_0 \mathcal{H}|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} h + \mathcal{R}_N h + \mathcal{Q}_N \bar{h},$$

$\mathcal{R}_N \in OPS^0$, $\mathcal{Q}_N \in OPS^{-N}$ ($\mathcal{Q}_N \simeq |D|^{-N}$)

Decoupling

By induction: At the n -th step we have

$$\mathcal{L}_n[h, \bar{h}] = \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi h + i\lambda_3 T(D)h + a_1 \partial_x h + ia_0 \mathcal{H}|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} h + \mathcal{R}_n h + \mathcal{Q}_n \bar{h},$$

where $\mathcal{R}_n \in OPS^0$ and $\mathcal{Q}_n \in OPS^{-n}$. We look for

$$\Phi_n h = h + \Psi_n \bar{h}, \quad \Psi_n = \text{Op}(\psi_n(x, \xi)) \in OPS^{-n-\frac{3}{2}}$$

In the conjugation we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+1}[h, \bar{h}] = \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi h + i\lambda_3 T(D)h + a_1 \partial_x h + ia_0 \mathcal{H}|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} h + \mathcal{R}_{n+1} h + \mathcal{Q}_{n+1} \bar{h}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{n+1} \in OPS^0, \quad \mathcal{Q}_{n+1} = i\lambda_3 (T(D)\Psi_n + \Psi_n T(D)) + \mathcal{Q}_n + O(|D|^{-n-1})$$

Then, using that

$T(D)\Psi_n + \Psi_n T(D) = 2\text{Op}\left(T(\xi)\psi_n(x, \xi)\right) + O(|D|^{-n-1})$, to solve

$$i\lambda_3\left(T(D)\Psi_n + \Psi_n T(D)\right) + \mathcal{Q}_n = O(|D|^{-n-1}).$$

it is enough to choose

$$\psi_n(x, \xi) = \frac{q_n(x, \xi)}{i\lambda_3 T(\xi)}$$

and then

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+1}[h, \bar{h}] = \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi h + iT(D)h + a_1 \partial_x h + ia_0 \mathcal{H}|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}h + \mathcal{R}_{n+1}h + \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}\bar{h},$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{n+1} \simeq \varepsilon |D|^{-n-1}.$$

New steps: Egorov method

- GOAL: ELIMINATION OF $O(\partial_x)$. Now we deal with

$$\mathcal{L}_N[h, \bar{h}] = \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi h + P_0(\varphi, x, D)h + \mathcal{Q}_N \bar{h},$$

where

$$P_0(\varphi, x, D) := i\lambda_3 T(D) + a_1(\varphi, x)\partial_x + \dots, \quad \mathcal{Q}_N = O(|D|^{-N}).$$

We conjugate the operator \mathcal{L} by means of the map $\Phi(\varphi, t)$, which is the flow of the Pseudo PDE

$$\partial_t u = ia(\varphi, x)|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} u.$$

Egorov method: diagonal terms

The operator $P(\varphi, t) = \Phi(\varphi, t) \circ P_0 \circ \Phi(\varphi, t)^{-1}$ obeys to

Heisenberg equation

$$\partial_t P(\varphi, t) = [ia|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}, P(\varphi, t)]$$

The equation can be solved in *decreasing symbols* finding

$$P = \text{Op}(p) \in OPS^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad p \sim \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 + \dots, \quad \sigma_k \in S^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{k}{2}}.$$

It turns out that

$$p = i\lambda_3 T(\xi) + i\left(a_1(x) - \frac{3}{4}\lambda_3(\partial_x a)(x)\right)\xi + O(|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

To remove the order $O(\partial_x)$ it is enough to look for $a(x)$ so that

$$a_1(x) - \frac{3}{4}\lambda_3(\partial_x a)(x) = 0.$$

Egorov method: off-diagonal terms

The off diagonal term $Q(\varphi, t) = \Phi(\varphi, t) \circ Q_N \circ \bar{\Phi}(\varphi, t)^{-1}$ obeys to

$$\partial_t Q(\varphi, t) = i \left(a |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ Q(\varphi, t) + Q(\varphi, t) \circ a |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

which has a solution

$$Q(\varphi, t) = \text{Op}(e^{2ia(x)|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}} v(t, \varphi, x, \xi)), \quad v \in S^{-N}.$$

We have $Q(\varphi, t) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}^{-N}$, then

$$\partial_\varphi^\beta Q \simeq \text{Op}(\partial_\varphi^\beta (e^{2ia(\varphi, x)|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}}) v) \simeq |\xi|^{\frac{\beta}{2} - N},$$

thus $\partial_\varphi^\beta Q(\varphi, t) : H_x^s \rightarrow H_x^s$, if $N \sim \beta/2$.

Further steps:

Now we deal with

$$\mathcal{L} = \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + i\lambda_3 T(D) + O(|D|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- **The term** $O(|D|^{\frac{1}{2}})$ We conjugate by means of a pseudo-differential operator $c(x, D) \in OPS^0$ of order 0, then

$$\mathcal{L} = \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + i\lambda_3 T(D) + i\lambda_1 |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{R}_0, \quad \mathcal{R}_0 \sim \varepsilon |D|^0.$$

- **Superquadratic KAM reducibility scheme.** II order Melnikov conditions to complete the diagonalization by perturbative arguments.

ITERATIVE SCHEME:

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu = \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + \mathcal{D}_\nu + \mathcal{R}_\nu, \quad \mathcal{D}_\nu := \text{diag}_j \mu_j^\nu$$

$$\mu_j^\nu = \lambda_3 \sqrt{|j|(1 + \kappa j^2)} + \lambda_1 |j|^{\frac{1}{2}} + r_j^\nu, \quad \sup_j |r_j^\nu| = O(\varepsilon)$$

and \mathcal{R}_ν satisfies tame estimates

$$\|\mathcal{R}_\nu h\|_s \leq M_\nu(s) \|h\|_{s_0} + M_\nu(s_0) \|h\|_s, \quad \forall s \geq s_0,$$

$$\|(\partial_\varphi^\beta \mathcal{R})h\|_s \leq K_\nu(s, \beta) \|h\|_{s_0} + K_\nu(s_0, \beta) \|h\|_s, \quad \forall s \geq s_0,$$

Imposing the second order Melnikov conditions

$$|\omega \cdot \ell + \mu_j^\nu - \mu_{j'}^\nu| \geq \frac{\gamma |j^{\frac{3}{2}} - j'^{\frac{3}{2}}|}{|\ell|^\tau}, \quad \forall (\ell, j, j') \neq (0, j, j), \quad |\ell| \leq N_\nu$$

$$|\omega \cdot \ell + \mu_j^\nu + \mu_{j'}^\nu| \geq \frac{\gamma |j^{\frac{3}{2}} + j'^{\frac{3}{2}}|}{|\ell|^\tau}, \quad \forall (\ell, j, j') \quad |\ell| \leq N_\nu$$

($N_\nu = N_0^{\chi_\nu}$, $\chi \in (1, 2)$), we find $\Phi_\nu = \text{Id} + \Psi_\nu$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu+1} = \omega \cdot \partial_\varphi + \mathcal{D}_{\nu+1} + \mathcal{R}_{\nu+1}$$

and $\mathcal{R}_{\nu+1}$, $\partial_\varphi^\beta \mathcal{R}_{\nu+1}$ satisfy tame estimates with tame constants

$$M_{\nu+1}(s) \lesssim N_\nu^{-\beta} K_\nu(s, \beta) + N_\nu^C \gamma^{-1} M_\nu(s) M_\nu(s_0),$$

$$K_{\nu+1}(s, \beta) \lesssim N_\nu^C K_\nu(s, \beta)$$

CONVERGENCE provided $M_0(s_0) \gamma^{-1} \ll 1$

Thanks for the attention!