

Ballistic Diffusion in One-Dimensional Lattice Schrödinger Equation

Zhiyan ZHAO

Institut de Mathématique de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche

June 7 2015 EIMI, St. Petersburg

Outline

Introduction

Central problem

Linear Schrödinger operator

Periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Idea of proof

Quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Classical spectral transformation

Bloch-waves

Modified spectral transformation

Further Problems

Further Problems

Central problem

For the lattice Schrödinger equation

$$i\dot{q}_n = -(q_{n+1} + q_{n-1}) + V_n q_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with the potential $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ independent of time,

what is the **growth rate** of the “diffusion norm”(Bourgain-Wang)

$$\|q(t)\|_D := \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n^2 |q_n(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

with $q(0) \neq 0$ and $\|q(0)\|_D < \infty$?

Physical motivation

l^2 —conservation law: $\sum_n |q(t)|^2$ is independent of time.

$\|q(0)\|_D < \infty$ —the concentration on q_n with $|n|$ not so large

$\|q(t)\|_D$ —measures the propagation into q_n , $|n| \gg 1$

Outline

Introduction

Central problem

Linear Schrödinger operator

Periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Idea of proof

Quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Classical spectral transformation

Bloch-waves

Modified spectral transformation

Further Problems

Further Problems

Linear Schrödinger operator

$$H : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$(q_n) \mapsto (Hq)_n = -(q_{n+1} + q_{n-1}) + V_n q_n$$

- Decomposition for the spectrum:

$$\sigma(H) = \sigma_{pp} \cup \sigma_{sc} \cup \sigma_{ac}$$

- Decomposition for the Hilbert space:

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) = \ell_{pp}^2 \oplus \ell_{sc}^2 \oplus \ell_{ac}^2$$

Dynamical localization

Definition

The operator H exhibits **dynamical localization** if for any $q(0)$ with $|q_n(0)| \leq ce^{|n|e}$, the solution of equation $i\dot{q} = Hq$ satisfies

$$\sup_t \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |n|^s |q_n(t)|^2 < \infty, \quad \forall s > 0.$$

D.L. \Rightarrow p.p. spectrum, but p.p. spectrum $\not\Rightarrow$ D.L.

Disordered potential

In general, for the Schrödinger operator H , if the potential $\{V_n\}_n$ is a disordered sequence, dynamical localization has been proven in many cases of pure point spectrum:

Theorem

H has dynamical localization, if

- (De Bièvre-Germinet 1998, Damanik-Stollmann 2001, etc.)

Anderson model:

$\{V_n\}_n$ is a family of random variables i.i.d., with prob.= 1

- (Germinet-Jitomirskaya 2001, Bourgain 2007)

Harper model:

$V_n = \lambda \cos 2\pi(\theta + n\alpha)$, α Diophantine, $|\lambda| > 2$, a.e. $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$.

- (Bellissard-Lima-Scoppola 1983, Craig 1983)

Maryland model:

$V_n = \tan \pi(\theta + n\alpha)$, α Diophantine, a.e. $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$.

Disordered potential

In general, for the Schrödinger operator H , if the potential $\{V_n\}_n$ is a disordered sequence, dynamical localization has been proven in many cases of pure point spectrum:

Theorem

H has dynamical localization, if

- (De Bièvre-Germinet 1998, Damanik-Stollmann 2001, etc.)

Anderson model:

$\{V_n\}_n$ is a family of random variables i.i.d., with prob.= 1

- (Germinet-Jitomirskaya 2001, Bourgain 2007)

Harper model:

$V_n = \lambda \cos 2\pi(\theta + n\alpha)$, α Diophantine, $|\lambda| > 2$, a.e. $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$.

- (Bellissard-Lima-Scoppola 1983, Craig 1983)

Maryland model:

$V_n = \tan \pi(\theta + n\alpha)$, α Diophantine, a.e. $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$.

Disordered potential

In general, for the Schrödinger operator H , if the potential $\{V_n\}_n$ is a disordered sequence, dynamical localization has been proven in many cases of pure point spectrum:

Theorem

H has dynamical localization, if

- (De Bièvre-Germinet 1998, Damanik-Stollmann 2001, etc.)

Anderson model:

$\{V_n\}_n$ is a family of random variables i.i.d., with prob.= 1

- (Germinet-Jitomirskaya 2001, Bourgain 2007)

Harper model:

$V_n = \lambda \cos 2\pi(\theta + n\alpha)$, α Diophantine, $|\lambda| > 2$, a.e. $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$.

- (Bellissard-Lima-Scoppola 1983, Craig 1983)

Maryland model:

$V_n = \tan \pi(\theta + n\alpha)$, α Diophantine, a.e. $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$.

Well-ordered potential

If the potential is well ordered (for example, periodic or quasi-periodic but sufficiently small), normally there is the absolutely continuous spectrum.

For the equation $i\dot{q} = Hq$, how about the diffusion norm of its solution?

A numerical result (Hiramoto-Abe 1988): “ballistic regime”

$$V_n = \lambda \cos 2\pi n\alpha, \quad \alpha = \left(\frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2} \right)^{-1}, \quad q_n(0) = \delta_{n,0},$$

if $|\lambda| < \lambda_c$, $\|q(t)\|_D \sim t$.

Outline

Introduction

Central problem

Linear Schrödinger operator

Periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Idea of proof

Quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Classical spectral transformation

Bloch-waves

Modified spectral transformation

Further Problems

Further Problems

The theorem

$$i\dot{q}_n = -(q_{n+1} + q_{n-1}) + V_n q_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ p -periodic, $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$: $V_{n+p} = V_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Theorem

There exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$, depending on $q(0)$ and $\{V_n\}_{n=1}^p$, such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \|q(t)\|_D = C.$$

Outline

Introduction

Central problem

Linear Schrödinger operator

Periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Idea of proof

Quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Classical spectral transformation

Bloch-waves

Modified spectral transformation

Further Problems

Further Problems

Case $p = 1$

Fourier transform: $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto g(\theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n e^{in\theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$.

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t g(\theta, t) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} [-(q_{n+1}(t) + q_{n-1}(t)) + Vq_n(t)] e^{in\theta} \\ &= [-(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) + V]g(\theta, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow g(\theta, t) = g(\theta, 0)e^{-i(2 \cos \theta + V)t}.$$

Parseval's equality:

$$\sum_n n^2 |q_n(t)|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\partial_\theta g(\theta, t)|^2 d\theta \sim t^2.$$

Case $p \geq 2$

- Fourier transform: $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto g(\theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n e^{in\theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$
- Decompose $g(\theta, t) = \sum_{j=1}^p g_j(\theta, t)$, with

$$g_j(\theta, t) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q_{kp+j}(t) e^{i(kp+j)\theta} \quad \text{---} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\theta, t) = (g_j(\theta, t))_{j=1}^p$$

- $i\partial_t \mathcal{G}(\theta, t) = A(\theta) \mathcal{G}(\theta, t)$ with

$$A(\theta) := \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & -e^{-i\theta} & & -e^{i\theta} \\ -e^{i\theta} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & -e^{-i\theta} \\ -e^{-i\theta} & & -e^{i\theta} & V_N \end{pmatrix},$$

- $A(\theta)$ is Hermitian $\Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\theta, t) = e^{-iA(\theta)t} \mathcal{G}(\theta, 0)$.

Case $p \geq 2$

- Fourier transform: $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto g(\theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n e^{in\theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$
- Decompose $g(\theta, t) = \sum_{j=1}^p g_j(\theta, t)$, with

$$g_j(\theta, t) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q_{kp+j}(t) e^{i(kp+j)\theta} \quad \text{---} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\theta, t) = (g_j(\theta, t))_{j=1}^p$$

- $i\partial_t \mathcal{G}(\theta, t) = A(\theta) \mathcal{G}(\theta, t)$ with

$$A(\theta) := \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & -e^{-i\theta} & & -e^{i\theta} \\ -e^{i\theta} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & -e^{-i\theta} \\ -e^{-i\theta} & & -e^{i\theta} & V_N \end{pmatrix},$$

- $A(\theta)$ is Hermitian $\Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\theta, t) = e^{-iA(\theta)t} \mathcal{G}(\theta, 0)$.

Case $p \geq 2$

- Fourier transform: $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto g(\theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n e^{in\theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$
- Decompose $g(\theta, t) = \sum_{j=1}^p g_j(\theta, t)$, with

$$g_j(\theta, t) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q_{kp+j}(t) e^{i(kp+j)\theta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(\theta, t) = (g_j(\theta, t))_{j=1}^p$$

- $i\partial_t \mathcal{G}(\theta, t) = A(\theta) \mathcal{G}(\theta, t)$ with

$$A(\theta) := \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & -e^{-i\theta} & & -e^{i\theta} \\ -e^{i\theta} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & -e^{-i\theta} \\ -e^{-i\theta} & & -e^{i\theta} & V_N \end{pmatrix},$$

- $A(\theta)$ is Hermitian $\Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\theta, t) = e^{-iA(\theta)t} \mathcal{G}(\theta, 0)$.

Case $p \geq 2$

- Fourier transform: $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto g(\theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n e^{in\theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$
- Decompose $g(\theta, t) = \sum_{j=1}^p g_j(\theta, t)$, with

$$g_j(\theta, t) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q_{kp+j}(t) e^{i(kp+j)\theta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(\theta, t) = (g_j(\theta, t))_{j=1}^p$$

- $i\partial_t \mathcal{G}(\theta, t) = A(\theta) \mathcal{G}(\theta, t)$ with

$$A(\theta) := \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & -e^{-i\theta} & & -e^{i\theta} \\ -e^{i\theta} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & -e^{-i\theta} \\ -e^{-i\theta} & & -e^{i\theta} & V_N \end{pmatrix},$$

- $A(\theta)$ is Hermitian $\Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\theta, t) = e^{-iA(\theta)t} \mathcal{G}(\theta, 0)$.

Case $p \geq 2$

Parseval's equality:

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \sum_k (kp + j)^2 |q_{kp+j}(t)|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^p \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\partial_\theta g_j(\theta, t)|^2 d\theta \sim t^2.$$

Outline

Introduction

Central problem

Linear Schrödinger operator

Periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Idea of proof

Quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Classical spectral transformation

Bloch-waves

Modified spectral transformation

Further Problems

Further Problems

$$i\dot{q}_n = -(q_{n+1} + q_{n-1}) + V(\theta + n\omega)q_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- $V : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ analytic in $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^d : |\operatorname{Im}z| < r \leq 1\}$,
- $\omega \in DC(\gamma, \tau) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $\gamma > 0$, $\tau > d - 1$, i.e.,

$$\inf_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{\langle k, \omega \rangle}{2} - j\pi \right| > \frac{\gamma}{|k|^\tau}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

Theorem (Eliasson 1992; Hadj Amor 2009; Avila 2008)

There exists $\varepsilon_* = \varepsilon_*(\gamma, \tau, r)$ such that, if $|V|_r < \varepsilon_*$ then for all $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, the spectrum of H is *purely absolutely continuous*.

$$i\dot{q}_n = -(q_{n+1} + q_{n-1}) + V(\theta + n\omega)q_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (with H. Eliasson)

If $|V|_r < \varepsilon_*$, then for any $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, there exist two constants depending on $|V|_r$, θ and $q(0)$, with $0 < C_1 \leq C_2 < 2\|q(0)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}$ and $C_2 - C_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $|V|_r \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \|q(t)\|_D \geq C_1, \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \|q(t)\|_D \leq C_2.$$

Rough idea

$\psi(E) = (\psi_n(E))$, $E \in \sigma(H)$, — generalized eigenvector of H

For $g(E, t) := \sum_n q_n(t)\psi_n(E)$,

$$i\partial_t g(E, t) := Eg(E, t) \Rightarrow g(E, t) = e^{-iEt}g(E, 0).$$

- if $\psi_n(E)$ is well derived and well estimated, then

$$\sum_n q_n(t)\psi'_n(E) = \partial_E g(E, t) \sim t.$$

- if $\psi'_n(E) = n \cdot (bdd)$, as $(e^{in\theta})' = n \cdot (ie^{in\theta})$ for the Fourier transform, then

$$\left\| \sum_n q_n(t)\psi'_n(E) \right\|^2 \sim \sum_n n^2 |q_n(t)|^2.$$

Rough idea

$\psi(E) = (\psi_n(E))$, $E \in \sigma(H)$, — generalized eigenvector of H

For $g(E, t) := \sum_n q_n(t)\psi_n(E)$,

$$i\partial_t g(E, t) := Eg(E, t) \Rightarrow g(E, t) = e^{-iEt}g(E, 0).$$

- if $\psi_n(E)$ is **well derived** and **well estimated**, then

$$\sum_n q_n(t)\psi'_n(E) = \partial_E g(E, t) \sim t.$$

- if $\psi'_n(E) = n \cdot (bdd)$, as $(e^{in\theta})' = n \cdot (ie^{in\theta})$ for the Fourier transform, then

$$\left\| \sum_n q_n(t)\psi'_n(E) \right\|^2 \sim \sum_n n^2 |q_n(t)|^2.$$

Outline

Introduction

Central problem

Linear Schrödinger operator

Periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Idea of proof

Quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Classical spectral transformation

Bloch-waves

Modified spectral transformation

Further Problems

Further Problems

Classical spectral transformation

$Hq = Eq$ corresponds to the Schrödinger co-cycle

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_{n+1} \\ q_n \end{pmatrix} = (A_0(E) + F_0(\theta + n\omega)) \begin{pmatrix} q_n \\ q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $A_0(E) = \begin{pmatrix} -E & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $F_0(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} V(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

\Rightarrow two sequence $(u_n(E, \theta))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(v_n(E, \theta))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ generated by Schrödinger co-cycle $(\omega, A_0(E) + F_0(\theta))$ with

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & v_1 \\ u_0 & v_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, let $(g_1, g_2) := (\sum_n q_n u_n, \sum_n q_n v_n)$.

Theorem (Pastur-Figotin 1992)

There is a Hermitian matrix of measure $d\mu = (d\mu_{jk})_{j,k=1,2}$, supported on $\sigma(H)$, such that

$$\int_{\sigma(H)} \sum_{j,k=1,2} g_j \bar{g}_k d\mu_{jk} = \|q\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2,$$

and $d\mu_{11}, d\mu_{22}$ are a.c. if $\sigma(H)$ is a.c..

Define $\mathcal{L}^2(\mu) := \left\{ (g_1, g_2) : \sum_{j,k=1,2} \int_{\sigma(H)} g_j \bar{g}_k d\mu_{jk} \right\}$

Classical spectral transformation for H :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\mu) \\ (q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} &\mapsto \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n u_n, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n v_n \right) \end{aligned} \quad \text{----- unitary}$$

Outline

Introduction

Central problem

Linear Schrödinger operator

Periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Idea of proof

Quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Classical spectral transformation

Bloch-waves

Modified spectral transformation

Further Problems

Further Problems

Rotation number of QP Schrödinger cocycle

Bloch-wave: $H\psi(E) = E\psi(E)$, $E \in \sigma(H)$, with

$$\psi_n(E) = e^{in\rho(E)} f(\theta + n\omega, E),$$

- $f : \mathbb{T}^d \times \sigma(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ analytic on \mathbb{T}^d
- $\rho = \rho(\omega, A_0 + F_0)$ is a function of $E \in \mathbb{R}$
 - continuous
 - increasing between $[0, \pi]$ in the spectrum of H
(On the gaps of spectrum, ρ is a constant.)
 - $2 \sin \rho \cdot \rho' \geq 1$.

Rotation number of QP Schrödinger cocycle

Bloch-wave: $H\psi(E) = E\psi(E)$, $E \in \sigma(H)$, with

$$\psi_n(E) = e^{in\rho(E)} f(\theta + n\omega, E),$$

- $f : \mathbb{T}^d \times \sigma(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ analytic on \mathbb{T}^d
- $\rho = \rho(\omega, A_0 + F_0)$ is a function of $E \in \mathbb{R}$
 - continuous
 - increasing between $[0, \pi]$ in the spectrum of H
(On the gaps of spectrum, ρ is a constant.)
 - $2 \sin \rho \cdot \rho' \geq 1$.

Rotation number of QP Schrödinger cocycle

Bloch-wave: $H\psi(E) = E\psi(E)$, $E \in \sigma(H)$, with

$$\psi_n(E) = e^{in\rho(E)} f(\theta + n\omega, E),$$

- $f : \mathbb{T}^d \times \sigma(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ analytic on \mathbb{T}^d
- $\rho = \rho(\omega, A_0 + F_0)$ is a function of $E \in \mathbb{R}$
 - continuous
 - increasing between $[0, \pi]$ in the spectrum of H
(On the gaps of spectrum, ρ is a constant.)
 - $2 \sin \rho \cdot \rho' \geq 1$.

Rotation number of QP Schrödinger cocycle

Bloch-wave: $H\psi(E) = E\psi(E)$, $E \in \sigma(H)$, with

$$\psi_n(E) = e^{in\rho(E)} f(\theta + n\omega, E),$$

- $f : \mathbb{T}^d \times \sigma(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ analytic on \mathbb{T}^d
- $\rho = \rho(\omega, A_0 + F_0)$ is a function of $E \in \mathbb{R}$
 - continuous
 - increasing between $[0, \pi]$ in the spectrum of H
(On the gaps of spectrum, ρ is a constant.)
 - $2 \sin \rho \cdot \rho' \geq 1$.

Rotation number of QP Schrödinger cocycle

Bloch-wave: $H\psi(E) = E\psi(E)$, $E \in \sigma(H)$, with

$$\psi_n(E) = e^{in\rho(E)} f(\theta + n\omega, E),$$

- $f : \mathbb{T}^d \times \sigma(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ analytic on \mathbb{T}^d
- $\rho = \rho(\omega, A_0 + F_0)$ is a function of $E \in \mathbb{R}$
 - continuous
 - increasing between $[0, \pi]$ in the spectrum of H
(On the gaps of spectrum, ρ is a constant.)
 - $2 \sin \rho \cdot \rho' \geq 1$.

Reducibility of Schrödinger co-cycle

Theorem (Eliasson 1992; Hadj Amor 2008)

If $|V|_r < \varepsilon_*$, then there exists a subset $\Sigma \subset \sigma(H)$ with $\text{Leb}(\sigma(H) \setminus \Sigma) = 0$, such that there are

- $Z : (2\mathbb{T})^d \times \Sigma \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ analytic on $(2\mathbb{T})^d$
- $B : \Sigma \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with eigenvalues $e^{\pm i\rho}$ ($Bv_{\pm} = e^{\pm i\rho}v_{\pm}$),

with $Z(\theta + \omega, E) B(E) Z^{-1}(\theta, E) = A_0(E) + F_0(\theta)$.

Construction of Bloch-wave

Choose $\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_0 \end{pmatrix} = Z(\theta)v_+$, then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{n+1} \\ \psi_n \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{j=1}^n (A_0 + F_0(\theta + j\omega)) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_0 \end{pmatrix} = e^{in\rho} Z(\theta + n\omega) v_+$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_n = e^{in\rho} f(\theta + n\omega)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} u_n = \frac{\operatorname{Im} \left[e^{in\rho} f(\theta + n\omega) \overline{f(\theta)} \right]}{\operatorname{Im} \left[e^{i\rho} f(\theta + \omega) \overline{f(\theta)} \right]}, \\ v_n = -\frac{\operatorname{Im} \left[e^{i(n-1)\rho} f(\theta + n\omega) \overline{f(\theta + \omega)} \right]}{\operatorname{Im} \left[e^{i\rho} f(\theta + \omega) \overline{f(\theta)} \right]} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } E \in \Sigma$$

Singularities of Bloch-waves

Disadvantage: u_n and v_n are **not well differentiated**

For free Schrödinger operator $(Hq)_n = -(q_{n+1} + q_{n-1})$,

$$u_n = \frac{\sin n\rho}{\sin \rho}, \quad \rho(E) = \cos^{-1}\left(-\frac{E}{2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow u'_n = \rho' \left(\frac{n \cos n\rho}{\sin \rho} - \frac{\sin n\rho \cdot \cos \rho}{\sin^2 \rho} \right), \quad \rho' = \frac{1}{2 \sin \rho}$$

When ρ approaches 0 and π ,

Outline

Introduction

Central problem

Linear Schrödinger operator

Periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Idea of proof

Quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Classical spectral transformation

Bloch-waves

Modified spectral transformation

Further Problems

Further Problems

Details for the reducibility

There exists a decomposition $\Sigma = \bigcup_{j \geq 0} \Sigma_j$ with $|\rho(\Sigma_{j+1})| \leq \varepsilon_j^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$, and a sequence $\{k_j\}_{j \geq 0} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $k_l = 0$ on Σ_j if $l \geq j$, and

$$0 < \left| \rho - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \geq 0} \langle k_l, \omega \rangle \right| < \varepsilon_j^{\sigma} \quad \text{on } \Sigma_{j+1} \quad \left(\Rightarrow \xi := \rho - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \geq 0} \langle k_l, \omega \rangle \right)$$

such that

- B, Z are C_W^1 on Σ_0 , and

$$|Z - Id|_{C_W^1(\Sigma_0), (2\mathbb{T})^d}, |B - A_0|_{C_W^1(\Sigma_0)} \leq \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

- $\sin^4 \xi \cdot B, \sin^4 \xi \cdot Z$ are C_W^1 on each $\Sigma_{j+1}, j \geq 0$, and

$$|\sin^{2+2\nu} \xi \cdot Z|_{C_W^\nu(\Sigma_{j+1}), (2\mathbb{T})^d}, |\sin^{2+2\nu} \xi \cdot B|_{C_W^\nu(\Sigma_{j+1})} \leq \varepsilon_j^{\frac{2\sigma}{3}}, \quad \nu = 0, 1.$$

Details for the reducibility

There exists a decomposition $\Sigma = \bigcup_{j \geq 0} \Sigma_j$ with $|\rho(\Sigma_{j+1})| \leq \varepsilon_j^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$, and a sequence $\{k_j\}_{j \geq 0} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $k_l = 0$ on Σ_j if $l \geq j$, and

$$0 < \left| \rho - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \geq 0} \langle k_l, \omega \rangle \right| < \varepsilon_j^{\sigma} \quad \text{on } \Sigma_{j+1} \quad \left(\Rightarrow \xi := \rho - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \geq 0} \langle k_l, \omega \rangle \right)$$

such that

- B, Z are C_W^1 on Σ_0 , and

$$|Z - Id|_{C_W^1(\Sigma_0), (2\mathbb{T})^d}, |B - A_0|_{C_W^1(\Sigma_0)} \leq \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

- $\sin^4 \xi \cdot B, \sin^4 \xi \cdot Z$ are C_W^1 on each $\Sigma_{j+1}, j \geq 0$, and

$$|\sin^{2+2\nu} \xi \cdot Z|_{C_W^\nu(\Sigma_{j+1}), (2\mathbb{T})^d}, |\sin^{2+2\nu} \xi \cdot B|_{C_W^\nu(\Sigma_{j+1})} \leq \varepsilon_j^{\frac{2\sigma}{3}}, \quad \nu = 0, 1.$$

Modified spectral transformation

On Σ , define

- $K_n(E) := \text{Im}(e^{in\rho} h_n \bar{h}_0)$, $J_n(E) := \text{Re}(e^{in\rho} h_n \bar{h}_0)$ with

$$h_n := \begin{cases} f_n, & E \in \Sigma_0 \\ \sin^5 \xi \cdot f_n, & E \in \Sigma_{j+1} \end{cases},$$

and

$$G(E) = (G_1(E), G_2(E)) := \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n K_n(E), \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n J_n(E) \right)$$

- the matrix of measure

$$d\varphi := \frac{1}{\pi} \begin{pmatrix} (\partial\rho)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (\partial\rho)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} dE$$

Lemma

For any $q \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\|q\|_D < \infty$,

$$1 - \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}} \leq \frac{\|(\sum_n q_n K'_n(E), \sum_n q_n J'_n(E))\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(d\varphi)}^2}{\sum_n (n^2 + 1) |q_n|^2} \leq 1 + \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}$$

$(q_n(t))$ —solution of $i\dot{q} = Hq$. Then

$$G(E, t) = (\sum_n q_n(t) K_n(E), \sum_n q_n(t) J_n(E))$$

$$\Rightarrow i\partial_t G(E, t) = E \cdot G(E, t) \Rightarrow G(E, t) = e^{-iEt} G(E, 0)$$

Lemma

For any $q(0)$ with the support finite,

$$\left(\sum_n q_n(t) K'_n(E), \sum_n q_n(t) J'_n(E) \right) = \partial_E G(E, t) \text{ a.e. on } \Sigma$$

So for all $q(0)$ with the support finite, we have

$$\frac{\|G(E, 0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\varphi)}^2}{1 + \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}} \leq \frac{\sum_n n^2 |q(t)|^2}{t^2} \leq \frac{\|G(E, 0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\varphi)}^2}{1 - \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\sigma^2}{16}}}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here, $\|G(E, 0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\varphi)}^2$ is almost $\sum_n |q_{n+2} - q_n|^2$.

For any $q(0)$ such that $\sum_n n^2 |q_n(0)|^2 < \infty$, it can be approximated by the initial datum with finite support.

Outline

Introduction

Central problem

Linear Schrödinger operator

Periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Idea of proof

Quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation

Main result

Classical spectral transformation

Bloch-waves

Modified spectral transformation

Further Problems

Further Problems

Question

Purely absolutely continuous spectrum \Rightarrow Ballistic motion?

Question

For QP Schrödinger operator

$$H : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$(q_n) \mapsto (Hq)_n = -(q_{n+1} + q_{n-1}) + \lambda \cos 2\pi(\theta + n\omega)q_n$$

and the corresponding equation $i\dot{q} = Hq$,

Operator H	Equation $i\dot{q} = Hq$, $q_n(0) = \delta_{n,0}$
$ \lambda > 2$, $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) = \ell_{pp}^2$	$ \lambda > \lambda_c$, $\sup_t \ q(t)\ _D < \infty$
$ \lambda = 2$, $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) = \ell_{sc}^2$	$ \lambda = \lambda_c$, $\ q(t)\ _D \sim t^\alpha$, $\alpha \approx \frac{1}{2}$?
$ \lambda < 2$, $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) = \ell_{ac}^2$	$ \lambda < \lambda_c$, $\ q(t)\ _D \sim t?$