

ALEXANDER SHEN

## **Universal statements and Kolmogorov complexity**

LIRMM CNRS and University of Montpellier, France

*E-mail:* alexander.shen@lirmm.fr

The idea to classify statements of some logical form according to their “complexity” was suggested by Cristian and Elena Calude. It can be clarified as follows. Consider a universal ( $\Pi_1$ ) arithmetical statement  $A(n)$  with one integer variable  $n$ . Then, for every numeral  $N$ , we get a closed universal statement  $A(N)$ . The complexity  $C_A(T)$  of a universal statement  $T$  with respect to  $A$  can be defined as the logarithm of a minimal  $N$  such that  $A(N)$  is provably equivalent to  $A$ . The usual Solomonoff–Kolmogorov argument proves that there exist a universal statement  $A(n)$  that makes the function  $C_A$  minimal up to  $O(1)$  additive term.

An interesting special case of universal statements are statements of the form  $C(x) > y$  where  $C$  is usual Kolmogorov complexity function and  $x$  and  $y$  are numerals. Some of them are quite strong: there exist an incompressible  $x$  such that the claim of its incompressibility implies (in PA) all true universal statements of the same or smaller complexity (up to  $O(1)$  term). We will discuss the properties of these statements: for example, not every universal statement is provable equivalent to one of them, though a similar question for conditional complexity seems to be open. In this way we see some interesting interplay between recursion theory and (elementary) proof theory.