

Solutions of the 1D hyperbolic quasilinear gas dynamics system have the same typical germs of catastrophes as the general solution of 1D linear wave equation. We have obtained the canonical form of the section of the hyperbolic umbilical catastrophe  $D_{4+}$ :

$$F = \frac{y_1^3 + y_2^3}{3} + k_3(k_1, k_2)y_1y_2 + k_2y_1 + k_1y_2.$$

We state that a similar "inheritance" should take place for typical singularities of solutions to systems of equations of an isentropic gas flow in spatially non-1D cases as well.

The classification of catastrophes of solutions to the more general quasilinear system

 $w_t + \lambda(w, z, x, t)w_x = g(w, z, x, t), \quad z_t + \mu(w, z, x, t)z_x = h(w, z, x, t), \\ \lambda_w \lambda_z \mu_w \mu_z \neq 0$ was made by A. Kh. Rakhimov [2]:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} A_2 & x_1 + y_1^2 = 0, \quad x_2 + y_2 = 0\\ \hline A_3 & x_1 + y_1^3 + y_1 y_2 = 0, \quad x_2 + y_2 = 0\\ \hline C_{2,2} & y_1^2 + x_1 + x_2 y_2 = 0, \quad y_2^2 + x_2 + x_1 y_1 = 0 \end{array}$$

Knowing the catastrophes of solutions, we can understand the asymptotics in the neigbourhood of the gradient catastrophe point. It is the finite point where all first derivatives of the solution tend to infinity.

The study was made in collaboration with B. I. Suleimanov. The paper on which this report is based on will be published in the Mathematical Notes. This work was funded by a grant from the Russian Science Foundation No. 21-11-00006, https://rscf.ru/project/21-11-00006/

## Gas dynamics

One-dimensional gas dynamics equations

$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x + \alpha(\rho)\rho_x = 0, \\ \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha(\rho) = \frac{p_{\rho}}{\rho} > 0$  is a smooth function such that  $\alpha(\rho) = 4 + \sum_{i>1} \frac{\alpha_i}{i!} \Delta \rho^i$  and  $\rho_* \alpha_1 + 12 \neq 0$  (otherwise it's not the general situation, it's the very special case).  $\Delta \rho = \rho = \rho_*, \rho_* > 0$ .  $p(\rho)$  is an equation of the gas state. The flow is isentropic, so  $dS \equiv 0$ .

Riemann invariants

$$r = u + \int_0^{\rho} \frac{c}{\rho} \mathrm{d}\rho, \quad l = u - \int_0^{\rho} \frac{c}{\rho} \mathrm{d}\rho, \quad c^2 = p_{\rho} = \rho \alpha(\rho)$$

(where c > 0 is the sonic speed,  $r \neq l$ , otherwise  $\rho = 0$ ) diagonalize (1):

$$\begin{cases} r_t + (\frac{r+l}{2} + c)r_x = 0\\ l_t + (\frac{r+l}{2} - c)l_x = 0 \end{cases}$$

The hodograph transformation

 $r_x = Jt_l, \quad r_t = -Jx_l, \quad l_x = -Jt_r, \quad l_t = Jx_r, \quad J = r_x l_t - r_t l_x, \quad j = x_r t_l - t_r t_r$ linearises (3):

$$\begin{cases} x_l = t_l(\frac{r+l}{2} + c), \\ x_r = t_r(\frac{r+l}{2} - c), \end{cases}$$

so we have the hodograph transformation's jacobian

$$j = -2ct_r t_l$$

Our goal is to study the catastrophe of solution to system (3) in case  $j(r_*, l_*; t_*, x_*)$  $(t,x) \rightarrow (r,l)$  is no longer a diffeomorphism. All of derivatives of solutions to (3) a the finite point  $(r_*, l_*; t_*, x_*)$ . The gradient catastrophe occurs.

The hodograph transformation and substitutions

$$= B_u, \quad x = uB_u - B - \rho B_\rho$$

reduce (1) to the 2nd order hyperbolic equation  $\alpha(\rho)B_{uu} = \rho B_{\rho\rho} + 2B_{\rho}$  or

$$8\alpha B_{rl} = \left(\alpha_{\rho} + 3\frac{\alpha}{\rho}\right)\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(B_r - B_l),$$

where  $B = \sum_{i+j>0} b_{ij} \Delta r^i \Delta l^j$  is a formal power series defining the solution. hyperbolic and it means that any formal solution corresponds to true solution.

### O.A. Ladyzhenskaya centennial conference on PDEs. The 21st of July, 2022

# Singularities of solutions to the equations of an isentropic gas flow and singularities of solutions to the linear wave equation

Azamat Shavlukov<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Mathematics with Computing Centre, Ufa, Russia

 $j(r_*, l_*; t_*, x_*) = -2ct_r t_l(r_*, l_*; t_*, x_*) = 0$  if  $r \neq l$  (otherwise  $\rho = 0$ ) means  $b_{11} = -2b_{20}$  or  $b_{21} = -2b_{20}$  $-2b_{02}$ . We can impose no more than two restrictions on coefficients  $b_{ij}$  as governing parameters of the catastrophe perturbation can depend only on t, x. So we demand  $b_{11} = -2b_{20} = -2b_{02} \Rightarrow b_{20} = b_{02}$ . From the equation and (5) we have

$$b_{20} = -\frac{(b_{10} - b_{01})(\alpha_1 \rho_* + 12)}{128\sqrt{\rho_*}}, \quad b_{21} = -b_{12} = \frac{(b_{10} - b_{01})(2\rho_*^2 \alpha_2 - 8\rho_* \alpha_1 - \rho_*^2 \alpha_1^2 - 48)}{1024\rho_*},$$
  

$$t_* = b_{10} + b_{01}, \quad x_* = \frac{r_* + l_*}{2}(b_{10} + b_{01}) - b_{00} - 2\sqrt{\rho_*}(b_{10} - b_{01}), \dots$$

Relations (5) are equivalent to zeroes of derivatives of the function

$$F = \rho(ut - x - B)$$

of arguments  $u, \rho$  and parameters t, x and  $j(r_*, l_*, t_*, x_*) = 0$  corresponds to  $F_u(u_*, \rho_*, t_*, x_*) = 0$ ,  $F_{\rho}(u_*, \rho_*, t_*, x_*) = 0$ . There is no such "potential" function in the Rakhimov's study. The description of typical degenerate critical points of locally smooth fuctions suchlike (7) is the typical problem of the catastrophe theory.

Considering all relations between  $b_{ij}$  we can write the expansion of F:

$$F = 2\rho_*^{3/2}(b_{10} - b_{01}) + \rho_* z + \frac{\rho_* \Delta t}{2}(\Delta r + \Delta l) + \frac{\sqrt{\rho_*}}{4}z(\Delta r - \Delta l) + \frac{\sqrt{\rho_*}}{4}z(\Delta r)^2 - (\Delta l)^2 + z(\Delta r - \Delta l)^2 + \frac{4 - \alpha_1 \rho_*}{512}\Delta t(\Delta r - \Delta l)^2 \frac{\Delta r + \Delta l}{2} + h_3 z(\Delta r - \Delta l)^3 + A_+(\Delta r)^3 + A_-(\Delta l)^3 + \sum_{i+j \ge 4} (f_{ij}^0 + f_{ij}^1 \Delta t + f_{ij}^2 z)(\Delta r)^i (\Delta l)^j,$$
(8)

where

$$\Delta t = t - t_*, \quad z = \frac{(r_* + l_*)\Delta t}{2} - x + x_*, \quad h_2 = \frac{(4 - \rho_* \alpha_1)}{256}, \quad h_3 = \frac{(\rho_*^{3/2}(\alpha_1^2 - 2\alpha_2) - 4\sqrt{\rho_*}\alpha_1)}{6144}, \quad f_{ij}^0 = const, \quad A_+ = \frac{(b_{10} - b_{01})[8\rho_*\alpha_1 + 48 - 2\rho_*^2\alpha_2 + \rho_*^2\alpha_1^2]}{3072} - \rho_*b_{30}, \quad A_- = -\frac{(b_{10} - b_{01})[8\rho_*\alpha_1 + 48 - 2\rho_*^2\alpha_2 + \rho_*^2\alpha_1^2]}{3072} - \rho_*b_{03}.$$

According to the form of (8) function F(r, l; t, x) is the 2-deformation of the function  $F(r_*, l_*; t, x)$ and can be induced from the versal deformation defined by the three-parameter function family  $G_{k_1,k_2,k_3}(y_1,y_2) = \frac{(y_1)^3 + (y_2)^3}{3} - k_3 y_1 y_2 - k_2 y_1 - k_1 y_2$ , which means F(r,l;t,x) can be defined in the

$$F(r,l;t,x) = \frac{(y_1)^3 + (y_2)^3}{3} - k_3 y_1 y_2 - k_2 y_1 - k_1 y_2 + \gamma,$$
(9)

where  $k_j = k_j(t,x)$ , j = 1, 2, 3 and  $\gamma = \gamma(t,x)$  – smooth in the neigbourhood of the points  $t = t_*$ ,  $x = x_*$  functions;  $y_i = y_i(r,l;t,x), i = 1,2$  is the locally smooth change of coordinates  $(r,l,t,x) \rightarrow 0$  $(y_1(r, l, t, x), y_2(r, l, t, x))$  which is the local diffeomorphism if t and x are fixed. So we can define the canonical form of the umbilical catastrophe from the expansion (8) using not just formal transformations but smooth and bijective.

(3) The critical points 
$$F_{y_1} = 0$$
,  $F_{y_2} = 0$  define the solutions to the

$$y_1^2 = k_3(k_1, k_2)y_2 + k_2, y_2^2 = k_3(k_1, k_2)y_1 + k_1,$$

we show that 
$$k_3$$
 depends on  $k_1, k_2$  and construct the transformation:  

$$y_1(r, l; t, x) = \sum_{n+m>0} a_{nm,00} (\Delta t)^n z^m + \Delta r [(3A_+)^{1/3} + \sum_{n+m>0} a_{nm,10} (\Delta t)^n z^m] + \Delta l \sum_{n+m>0} a_{nm,01} (\Delta t)^n z^m + \sum_{i+j>1} \sum_{n+m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm,ij} (\Delta r)^i (\Delta l)^j (\Delta t)^n z^m,$$

$$y_2(r, l; t, x) = \sum_{n+m>0} b_{nm,00} (\Delta t)^n z^m + \Delta l [(3A_-)^{1/3} + \sum_{n+m>0}^{\infty} b_{nm,01} (\Delta t)^n z^m] + \Delta r \sum_{n+m>0} b_{nm,10} (\Delta t)^n z^m + \sum_{i+j>1} \sum_{n+m=0}^{\infty} b_{nm,ij} (\Delta r)^i (\Delta l)^j (\Delta t)^n z^m,$$

$$k_j(t, x) = k_{j,10} \Delta t + k_{j,01} z + \sum_{n+m>1} k_{j,nm} (\Delta t)^n z^m, \qquad j = 1, 2, 3.$$
all coefficients are to be determined. We have the following relations:  

$$a_{00,20} = \frac{f_{40}^{40}}{(3A_+)^{2/3}}, \quad a_{00,11} = \frac{f_{31}^{31}}{(3A_+)^{2/3}}, \quad b_{00,11} = \frac{f_{13}^{10}}{(3A_+)^{2/3}}, \quad b_{00,02} = \frac{f_{04}^{00}}{(3A_+)^{2/3}},$$

Now we show that 
$$k_3$$
 depends on  $k_1, k_2$  and construct the transformation:  

$$y_1(r, l; t, x) = \sum_{n+m>0} a_{nm,00} (\Delta t)^n z^m + \Delta r [(3A_+)^{1/3} + \sum_{n+m>0} a_{nm,10} (\Delta t)^n z^m] + \Delta l \sum_{n+m>0} a_{nm,01} (\Delta t)^n z^m + \sum_{i+j>1} \sum_{n+m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm,ij} (\Delta r)^i (\Delta l)^j (\Delta t)^n z^m,$$

$$y_2(r, l; t, x) = \sum_{n+m>0} b_{nm,00} (\Delta t)^n z^m + \Delta l [(3A_-)^{1/3} + \sum_{n+m>0}^{\infty} b_{nm,01} (\Delta t)^n z^m] + \Delta r \sum_{n+m>0} b_{nm,10} (\Delta t)^n z^m + \sum_{i+j>1} \sum_{n+m=0}^{\infty} b_{nm,ij} (\Delta r)^i (\Delta l)^j (\Delta t)^n z^m,$$

$$k_j(t, x) = k_{j,10} \Delta t + k_{j,01} z + \sum_{n+m>1} k_{j,nm} (\Delta t)^n z^m, \qquad j = 1, 2, 3.$$
Here all coefficients are to be determined. We have the following relations:  

$$a_{00,20} = \frac{f_{40}^{00}}{(3A_+)^{2/3}}, \quad a_{00,11} = \frac{f_{01}^{01}}{(3A_+)^{2/3}}, \quad b_{00,11} = \frac{f_{10}^{01}}{(3A_-)^{2/3}}, \quad b_{00,02} = \frac{f_{00}^{01}}{(3A_-)^{2/3}},$$

That 
$$k_3$$
 depends on  $k_1, k_2$  and construct the transformation:  

$$\begin{aligned}
(r, l; t, x) &= \sum_{n+m>0} a_{nm,00} (\Delta t)^n z^m + \Delta r [(3A_+)^{1/3} + \sum_{n+m>0} a_{nm,10} (\Delta t)^n z^m] + \Delta l \sum_{n+m>0} a_{nm,01} (\Delta t)^n z^m + \sum_{i+j>1} \sum_{n+m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm,ij} (\Delta r)^i (\Delta l)^j (\Delta t)^n z^m, \\
p_2(r, l; t, x) &= \sum_{n+m>0} b_{nm,00} (\Delta t)^n z^m + \Delta l [(3A_-)^{1/3} + \sum_{n+m>0}^{\infty} b_{nm,01} (\Delta t)^n z^m] + \Delta r \sum_{n+m>0} b_{nm,10} (\Delta t)^n z^m + \sum_{i+j>1} \sum_{n+m=0}^{\infty} b_{nm,ij} (\Delta r)^i (\Delta l)^j (\Delta t)^n z^m, \\
k_j(t, x) &= k_{j,10} \Delta t + k_{j,01} z + \sum_{n+m>1} k_{j,nm} (\Delta t)^n z^m, \qquad j = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$
cients are to be determined. We have the following relations:  
 $a_{00,20} &= \frac{f_{40}^0}{(3A_+)^{2/3}}, \quad a_{00,11} &= \frac{f_{31}^0}{(3A_+)^{2/3}}, \quad b_{00,11} &= \frac{f_{13}^0}{(3A_-)^{2/3}}, \quad b_{00,02} &= \frac{f_{04}^0}{(3A_-)^{2/3}}, \end{aligned}$ 

$$(5) \qquad a_{00,20} = \frac{f_{40}^0}{(3A_+)^{2/3}}, \quad a_{00,11} = \frac{f_{31}^0}{(3A_+)^{2/3}}, \quad b_{00,11} = \frac{f_{13}^0}{(3A_-)^{2/3}}, \quad b_{00,02} = \frac{f_{04}^0}{(3A_-)^{2/3}}, \\ k_{2,10} = -\frac{\rho_*}{2(3A_+)^{1/3}}, \quad k_{2,01} = -\frac{\sqrt{\rho_*}}{4(3A_+)^{1/3}}, \quad k_{1,10} = -\frac{\rho_*}{2(3A_-)^{1/3}}, \quad k_{1,01} = \frac{\sqrt{\rho_*}}{4(3A_-)^{1/3}}, \\ k_{3,10} = -\frac{k_{2,10}a_{00,11}+k_{1,10}b_{00,11}}{(9A_+A_-)^{1/3}}, \quad k_{3,01} = -\frac{k_{2,01}a_{00,11}+k_{1,01}b_{00,11}-2h_2}{(9A_+A_-)^{1/3}}, \\ k_{10} = -\frac{1}{(3A_-)^{1/3}} \left[\frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{f_{31}^0}{3A_+}\right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_+)^{1/3}} \left[\frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} - \frac{f_{13}^0}{3A_-}\right], \dots \\ k_{3} = k_{10}k_1 + k_{01}k_2 + \sum_{u+j\geq 2}k_{ij}k_1^ik_2^j. \end{cases}$$

The equation (6) is
$$k_{10} = -\frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{f_{31}^0}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{4h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{3A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{h_2}{\rho_*} + \frac{h_2}{2A_{+}} \right], \quad k_{01} = \frac{1}{(3A_{-})^{1/3}$$

(1)

$$x_l t_r, \quad J = j^{-1},$$

(4) 
$$= 0$$
, so the mapping

gas dynamics system (1):

(10)

The one-dimensional homogeneous linear wave equation with constant coefficients

is equivalent to the system  $u_t = v_x, v_t = u_x$  which can be reduced from the linearization of (1). By using the hodograph transformation we have the system  $t_v = x_u, t_u = x_v$  with the jacobian of the hodograph trasformation  $j = (t_v)^2 - (t_u)^2$ . Similar to (5) substitutions  $t = B_u, x = B_v$  allow us to define the solutions of (11) by the general solution

of the wave equation  $B_{uu} = B_{vv}$ . Here f(u+v) and g(u-v) are infinitely differentiable functions with the expansions  $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_j}{j!} (\Delta u + \Delta v)^j$  and  $g = g_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_j}{j!} (\Delta u - \Delta v)^j$  respectively.

Now we consider the function

 $\Psi(u,v;$ 

Now we have relations

$$j(u_*, v_*; t_*, x_*) = f_2 g_2 = 0, \quad t_* = f_1 + g_1, \quad x_* = f_1 - g_1 = 0$$
  
Considering these let us write the expansion of (13):  
$$\Psi = (f_1 + g_1)u_* + (f_1 - g_1)v_* - (f_0 + g_0) + u_*\Delta t + v_*\Delta x + \frac{(\Delta t + \Delta x)}{2}\widetilde{u} + \frac{(\Delta t - \Delta x)}{2}\widetilde{v} - \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{f_i}{i!}(\widetilde{u})^i - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{g_j}{j!}(\widetilde{v})^j,$$

can describe the following catastrophe germs:

$$g_{2} \neq 0, \, f_{2} = 0, \, f_{3} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \Psi_{germ} = -f_{3} \frac{(\widetilde{u})^{3}}{6};$$

$$g_{2} \neq 0, \, f_{2} = f_{3} = 0, \, f_{4} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \Psi_{germ} = -f_{4} \frac{(\widetilde{u})^{4}}{4!};$$

$$f_{2} = g_{2} = 0, \, f_{3} \neq 0, \, g_{3} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \Psi_{germ} = -f_{3} \frac{(\widetilde{u})^{3}}{6} - g_{3} \frac{(\widetilde{v})^{3}}{6}.$$
(14)

All of them can be transformed to the corresponding germs of the soultions to the (1). That's why we can say about "inheritance" of catastrophes from the solutions to the wave equation.

In the Becker-Stanyukovich case  $p = c_1^2 \rho^3$ ,  $c_1 = const$  there is no  $k_3$  term in the form (9). If  $\rho_*\alpha_1 = -12$  there is no terms  $b_{ij}$ , i + j = 2 in the series defining the solution to the equation (6). "Inheritance" occurs in the elliptic case ( $\alpha(\rho) < 0$ ) as well but we can't prove the conformity between the formal and true solution. This "inheritance" was noted in [1] but it was stated that  $k_3 \equiv 0$  in the case  $\alpha(\rho) = const$  (shallow water equations) which is not so. There are so called "dropping" (means  $\rho_* = 0$ ) cusp catastrophes that were described for both cases of  $\alpha(\rho) > 0$  [3] and  $\alpha(\rho) < 0$  [4] in (1). They are not "inherited" but similar "dropping" singularities should be typical for non-1D gas dynamics systems as well. Right now we study 2D homogeneous linear wave equation with constant coefficients. The next object is the 3D homogeneous linear Laplace equation with constant coefficients.

2. Rakhimov A. Kh. Singularities of Riemannian invariants // Funct. Anal. Its. Appl. 1993. Vol. 27, iss. 4. P. 39–50.

3. Shavlukov A. M., Suleimanov B. I. A Typical Dropping Cusp Singularity of Solutions to Equations of a One-Dimensional Isentropic Gas Flow // Bulletin of the Russian Academy of Sciences: Physics. 2020. Vol. 84, iss. 5. P. 552-554.

4. Kudashev V. R., Suleimanov B. I. The effect of small dissipation on the onset of one-dimensional shock waves // J. Appl. Math. Mech. 2001. Vol. 65, iss. 3. P. 441-451.



(11)

## Wave equation

$$u_{tt} = u_{xx}$$

$$= f(u+v) + g(u-v)$$
 (12)

$$t, x) = ut + vx - B(u, v) \tag{13}$$

analogous to the function F (7) such that relations  $\Psi_u = 0$ ,  $\Psi_v = 0$  are equivalent to  $t = B_u$  and  $x = B_v$ .

where  $\tilde{u} = (\Delta u + \Delta v)$ ,  $\tilde{v} = (\Delta u - \Delta v)$ . Using the well known general catastrophe theory technics we

### Notes and goals

### References

1. Dubrovin B. A., Grava T, Clein C. On Universality of Critical Behaviour in the Critical Behaviour in the Focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation. Elliptic Umbilic Catstrophe and the Tritonque to the Painleve-I Equation // Journal of Nonlinear Science. 2009. Vol. 19, iss. 1. P. 57-94.