NONFREE ACTIONS OF COUNTABLE GROUPS AND THEIR CHARACTERS

A. M. Vershik

ABSTRACT. We introduce a number of definitions of nonfree actions of groups. The most important of them is that of a totally nonfree action; it is naturally related to the theory of characters of groups and their factor representations. This short note is a brief exposition of a part of a more detailed paper on this subject, which is now in preparation.

To Rita's memory

1. Definitions

Let G be a countable group acting on a Lebesgue space (X,\mathfrak{A},μ) with continuous measure. Given an element $g\in G$, denote by G_X the (measurable) set of fixed points of g: $G_x=\{x:gx=x\}$. According to a well-known definition, the action is called free if $\mu X_g=0$ for $g\neq \mathrm{id}$. Denote by \mathfrak{A}_G the σ -subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} generated by all such sets of fixed points: $\mathfrak{A}_G=\sigma$ -alg $\{X_g;g\in F\}$. For a free action, this σ -algebra is trivial.

Definition 1. The action of G is called totally nonfree if the σ -algebra \mathfrak{A}_G coincides with the whole original σ -algebra: $\mathfrak{A}_G = \mathfrak{A}$.

We can give a similar definition for arbitrary (uncountable) groups, but in this case we should assume that the action is individual (i.e., there is a set of full measure for all points of which the action of all group elements is well defined).

Consider the lattice (with respect to the natural order) L(G) of all subgroups of G. This lattice has been intensively studied from the purely group-theoretic point of view since the 1930s, and even earlier in the 19th century (see the recent monograph [2]). In a natural way we can introduce a weak topology on the lattice L(G) (a neighborhood of a given subgroup H is the family of all subgroups for which the set of words of length at most n coincides with the same family for H) and the corresponding Borel structure. Obviously, in this topology the lattice L(G) is compact and is a totally disconnected uncountable separable Cantor set. The group G acts on L(G) continuously by conjugation; this action is sometimes called adjoint. We will study Borel conjugation-invariant probability measures on lattices of subgroups. Apparently, problems concerning these measures and the dynamics of the action of G on L(G) have not yet been studied.

Key words and phrases. Nonfree actions, lattice of subgroups, characters, factor representations.

Partially supported by the RFBR grants 08-01-00379-a and 09-01-12175-ofi-m.

With almost every point $x \in X$ we associate its stabilizer, i.e., the subgroup $G_x = \{g : gx = x\}$, regarded as an element of the lattice, and denote this map by $\Psi_G : X \to L(G)$:

$$\Psi_G: x \mapsto G_x$$
.

Obviously, the map Ψ_G is Borel-measurable.

Denote the σ -algebra generated by this map (i.e., the inverse image of the σ -algebra of Borel sets on L(G)) by \mathfrak{A}^G .

Lemma 2.

$$\mathfrak{A}_G \subset \mathfrak{A}^G$$
:

the equality takes place for countable groups.

Indeed, if the σ -algebra \mathfrak{A}_G is trivial, then the inclusion is proved. Assume that \mathfrak{A}_G is nontrivial, and let ξ be the measurable partition (equivalence relation) corresponding to the σ -algebra \mathfrak{A}_G . Obviously, by the definition of a measurable partition, two points belonging to the same block of ξ have the same stabilizer and hence lie in the inverse image under Ψ_G of the same point.

Corollary 3. If the action of G is totally nonfree, then the map Ψ_G is a monomorphism mod0; in other words, the σ -algebra \mathfrak{A}_G coincides with \mathfrak{A}^G .

Definition 4. Let us say that the action of G is extremely nonfree if the map Ψ_G is monomorphic; in other words, if the σ -algebra \mathfrak{A}^G coincides with the whole σ -algebra \mathfrak{A} . In terms of the action, this means that almost all points have different stabilizers.

It is easy to give an example distinguishing between the total and extremal nonfreeness of actions. For instance (though the group here is continuous), the action of the group SO(3) on the projective space $P_2\mathbb{R}$ is extremely nonfree, but not totally nonfree.

Consider the image ν_{μ} of the measure μ on L(G) under the map Ψ_{G} . Obviously, ν_{μ} is invariant under conjugation. Observe the following simple but important fact.

Theorem 5. In the class of extremely nonfree actions of the group G, the measure ν_{μ} defined above is a complete metric invariant of the action. In other words, two extremely nonfree actions of the group G in spaces (X, \mathfrak{A}, μ) and $(X', \mathfrak{A}', \mu')$ are metrically isomorphic if and only if the measures ν_{μ} and $\nu_{\mu'}$ on the lattice L(G) coincide.

This follows immediately from the fact that the map Ψ_G , which transfers isomorphically an extremely nonfree action from an arbitrary Lebesgue space to the lattice L(G), is monomorphic.

2. Extremely nonfree actions

We will consider conjugation-invariant measures on the lattice of subgroups. Examples of such measures are the delta-measure at the identity or the atomic measure on the set of conjugate subgroups with normalizer of finite index. Moreover, for every action of the group G with an invariant measure μ on an arbitrary space (X, \mathfrak{A}, μ) , the map Ψ_G sends μ to a conjugation-invariant measure on the lattice L(G). We are interested only in continuous (and nontransitive in the case of continuous groups) ergodic probability measures.

Problem 1. For what countable groups do there exist conjugation-invariant continuous ergodic probability measures? Describe all such measures.

Examples of such groups are the infinite symmetric and similar groups; the list of all such measures for them is known (see below). It is recently shown in [4], as an answer to the above question, that for noncommutative free groups and similar groups, such measures do exist; however, we still do not have their complete description.

Let ν be such a measure on the lattice L(G). Is it true that the action of the group G on the space $(L(G), \nu)$ is extremely nonfree? In general, this is not the case; moreover, simple examples show that even if the action of G on the space (X, μ) is extremely nonfree, in general, the Ψ_G -image ν_{μ} of the measure μ is such that the action of G on the space $(L(G), \nu_{\mu})$ is not extremely nonfree. The reason is as follows: since the stabilizer of a subgroup H, regarded as an element of the lattice L(G), is its normalizer $N(H) = \{g \in G : gHg^{-1} = H\}$, it is quite possible that the normalizers coincide for different subgroups. If the action of the group G on the lattice L(G) with some measure ν by conjugation is extremely nonfree, we will say that the measure ν itself is extremely nonfree.

Definition 6. A subgroup H of an arbitrary group G is called abnormal¹ if it coincides with its normalizer.

Proposition 7. A conjugation-invariant measure on L(G) is extremely nonfree if and only if the measure of the set of abnormal subgroups is equal to one.

Proof. Assume that a measure ν is extremely nonfree, but there is a set of positive ν -measure that consists of abnormal subgroups, i.e., subgroups H such that for each of them we can find an element $h \in N(H) \setminus H$. Since G is countable, there exists an element h such that the last condition holds for all subgroups from a set of positive measure. Then for a subgroup H from this set we have $hHh^{-1} \neq H$, but at the same time the normalizers of both subgroups, which are stabilizers for the adjoint action, coincide, contradicting the extremal nonfreeness. The converse is obvious.

Consider the normalization operation \mathcal{N} on the lattice of subgroups, which associates with every subgroup its normalizer: $\mathcal{N}(H) = \mathcal{N}(H)$. It can be extended to an operation on measures: $\mathcal{N}_*(\nu)(E) = \nu(\mathcal{N}(E))$. Obviously, if a measure ν is invariant, then its image is also invariant. If the measure $\mathcal{N}_*(\nu)$ is extremely nonfree, then we say that the measure ν is reducely extremely nonfree; this case occurs often and hence should be distinguished. As follows from the above proposition, in terms of the group this means that the measure ν is concentrated on subgroups H satisfying the condition

$$N(N(H) = N(H)), \text{ or } \mathcal{N}^2(H) = \mathcal{N}(H).$$

However, this is not always the case, and the normalization process does not in general stabilize after the first step, or any finite number of steps.²

Anyway, we are mainly interested in extremely nonfree measures on lattices.

¹The author does not know whether there is a generally accepted term for such subgroups.

²The author does not know corresponding examples, but, apparently, stabilization may not be achieved after infinite and even countable number of steps.

Problem 2. For what countable groups do there exist continuous ergodic probability extremely nonfree measures invariant under conjugation?

Apparently, the class of such groups is significantly more narrow than the class of groups solving the first problem.

3. Totally nonfree actions

Totally nonfree actions are defined above as actions for which the collection of all sets of fixed points of different group elements generates the whole σ -algebra; as we have seen, these actions are extremely nonfree, so that we can study them on lattices of subgroups. Consider an extremely nonfree measure ν on the lattice L(G) of subgroups of a group G. This measure is concentrated on the set of abnormal subgroups; let us formulate the condition of total nonfreeness. Following the tradition, outlined above, to extend the term describing an action to the corresponding measures, we say that a measure ν on the lattice L(G) is totally nonfree if the adjoint action of G on the measure space $(L(G), \nu)$ is totally nonfree. Given an element $g \in G$, we denote by $L_g \subset L(G)$ the set of subgroups that contain g.

Proposition 8. An extremely nonfree measure on the lattice of subgroups L(G) is totally nonfree if and only if the collection of sets L_g , $g \in G$, that are of positive ν -measure generates the whole σ -algebra in the space $(L(G), \nu)$; in other words, ν -almost every pair of different subgroups can be distinguished from each other by a set L_g of positive ν -measure.

Indeed, the set of fixed points for an element $g \in G$ is the set of subgroups whose normalizers contain g; but for an extremely nonfree measure, almost all subgroups are abnormal, i.e., coincide with their normalizers, hence this is the set of subgroups containing g.

The most interesting problem involves totally nonfree actions.

Problem 3. For what countable groups do there exist continuous ergodic totally nonfree probability measures invariant under conjugation? Describe all such measures. In a more general form, for what groups do there exist totally nonfree actions? Describe all such actions up to isomorphism.

As it will become clear from the next section, it is this class of groups that is important for representation theory. Examples are the infinite symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}$, the group $GL(\infty,q)$ of infinite matrices over a finite field, etc.

4. Relation to representations and the theory of characters

Every action of a group G on a space (X,μ) with invariant measure generates a unitary representation of G in the space $L^2_{\mu}(X)$ according to the formula $[U_g(f)](x) = f(gx)$ (Koopman representation). The question about the properties of this representation and its irreducible decomposition is difficult even for \mathbb{Z} (this is the subject of the spectral theory of dynamical systems). Since the measure is finite, we have the invariant one-dimensional subspace of constants. But the following problem remains open.

Problem 4. In what cases is the Koopman representation irreducible in the orthogonal complement to the subspace of constants?

Obviously, the ergodicity of the action is a necessary condition, because the decomposition into ergodic components gives rise to the decomposition of the Hilbert space $L^2_{\mu}(X)$ into a direct integral (or direct sum) of invariant subspaces.

The problem can be formulated more specifically. Consider an ergodic action of a group G on a space (X,μ) with invariant measure and the W^* -algebra generated by the operators of multiplication by bounded measurable functions and the operators of the action of G. This algebra may be regarded as the image of the skew product $l^1(G) \wedge L^\infty(X)$ of the group algebra of G and the algebra $L^\infty(X)$ of multiplication operators. It turns out that it coincides with the algebra of all bounded operators; indeed, the algebra of multiplication operators is a maximal commutative self-conjugate subalgebra in the algebra of all bounded operators, and, besides, by ergodicity, it has no nonconstant multiplicators commuting with the group action, so that the commutant of this algebra is scalar, and our assertion follows from the von Neumann bicommutant theorem. Thus Problem 4 can be equivalently formulated as follows.

Problem 4'. In what cases does the W^* -algebra spanned by the operators of the group action contain all multiplicators with zero integral?

Most likely, Problem 4 is very difficult. Examples of a positive answer the author is aware of are quite rare.

There exists another canonical representation associated with a measure-preserving action of a group, which goes back to von Neumann (see, e.g., [3]). It can be called the trajectory, or groupoid representation; see, e.g., [5]. Consider the graph Π of the group action, i.e., the set of pairs $\{(x,y):y=gx,g\in G\}$, regarded as a measurable partition in $X \times X$. Endow it with the σ -finite measure M that induces the measure μ on both factors $X \times *$ and $* \times X$ and whose conditional measure in the layer over each point (*,y) or (x,*) is the counting (i.e., uniform infinite) measure for all x and y. Then on Π we have two commuting actions of the group G with invariant measure M — the left one, $(x,y) \mapsto (gx,y)$, and the right one, $(x,y) \mapsto$ (x,gy). Correspondingly, in the space $L^2_M(\Pi)$ we have two unitary representations of the group G and two *-representations of the skew product mentioned above. It is well known that if the action of G on the space (X,μ) is ergodic, then both representations of the skew product are factor representations of type II₁, the left and the right factors being mutual commutants. The characteristic function of the diagonal $\Delta = \{(x,x), x \in X\}$, i.e., the element $1_{\Delta} \in L^2_M(\Pi)$, is a bicyclic vector for the factors. This construction of a representation of the skew product is called the von Neumann, or groupoid, or trajectory (since layers are trajectories of the group action) construction. For a free action, it was suggested and studied in the pioneering papers by von Neumann; nonfree actions were considered, e.g., by Krieger. But the case of an extremely nonfree action first appeared in [7], where it was considered as a particular example related to factor representations of the infinite symmetric group and its relatives.

As in the previous example, we can restrict this representation to the group itself and ask a similar question about these representations.

Problem 5. In what cases does the restriction of the groupoid representation to the group generate the whole factor? Or, equivalently, when does the W^* -algebra spanned by the group operators contain all multiplicators and thus coincide with the whole factor?

Recall that a complex-valued function ϕ on a group is called a character if it is nonnegative definite, central, and normalized:

$$\{\phi(g_ig_j^{-1})\}_{i,j=1}^n \ge 0, \quad \phi(ghg^{-1}) = \phi(h), \quad \phi(\mathrm{id}) = 1.$$

The characters form a convex compact set in the weak topology, and extreme points of this set are called indecomposable characters.

The importance of Problem 5 can be seen from the following correspondence between the indecomposability of a character and the factorness.

Theorem 9. Consider an ergodic action of a group G on a space (X, μ) with invariant measure; the function

$$\phi(g) = \mu(X_g) = \mu\{x : gx = x, x \in X\}$$
 (*)

is a character of G. If the restriction of the groupoid representation to the group generates the whole factor, then this character is indecomposable.

Proof. First of all, we have the formula

$$\langle U_q 1_\Delta, 1_\Delta \rangle = \mu(X_q),$$

which implies the first assertion. As we know from the theory of von Neumann algebras, a trace on a W^* -algebra is indecomposable (i.e., cannot be written as a convex combination of other traces) if and only if the corresponding representation is a factor. In our case, the trace corresponds exactly to a group character.

Thus we now can search for characters using group actions. We will see that sometimes this method, with some supplements, allows one to describe all characters of a group.

In contrast to Problem 4, Problem 5 turns out to have a very lucid solution, which is precisely the main result of this paper.

Theorem 10. The character defined by formula (*) is indecomposable, and thus generates a factor representation of the group G of type II_1 , if and only if the action is totally nonfree.

Proof. We present a sketch of the proof. For definiteness, consider the left factor representation of the group G in the space $L^2_M(\Pi)$, where the set $\Pi \subset X \times X$ and the measure M were constructed above. We will prove that the cyclic hull of the characteristic function of the diagonal 1_{Δ} with respect to the left action of the group coincides with the whole space $L^2_M(\Pi)$ if and only if the action of G on the space (X, μ) is totally nonfree.

First consider the "diagonal subspace" H_{Δ} of functions from $L_M^2(\Pi)$ supported by the diagonal $\Delta = \{(x, x), x \in X\}$, and let $R_{\Delta} \equiv R$ be the orthogonal projection to H_{Δ} . Let us show that if, and only if, the group action is totally nonfree, then the linear hull of the projections of the images of the vector 1_{Δ} under the action of G, i.e., $\operatorname{Span}\{R[g(1_{\Delta})]; g \in G\}$, is everywhere dense in the subspace H_{Δ} . Note that the projection $R[g(1_{\Delta})]$, regarded as a function on the diagonal, is precisely the indicator function of the set X_g of fixed points of g. The linear hull of all these indicator functions coincides with the linear hull of the indicators of subsets from the subalgebra generated by the subsets of fixed points of all elements of G.

Indeed, this linear hull contains the indicators of the complements of subsets of fixed points, since $1_{\bar{X}_g} = 1 - 1_{X_g}$; it also contains the indicators of intersections of such subsets, since the intersection $X_g \cap X_h$ coincides with X_{gh} provided that $gx \neq h^{-1}x$ almost everywhere, which we can assume without loss of generality. Thus the indicator of the union of two subsets of fixed points also lies in this linear hull, and hence it contains the indicators of all sets from the σ -subalgebra generated by the sets of fixed points. If, and only if, the action is totally nonfree, then, by definition, this σ -subalgebra is dense in the σ -algebra of all measurable sets, and thus the linear hull $\operatorname{Span}\{R[g(1_{\Delta})];g\in G\}$ is everywhere dense in the subspace H_{Δ} . The image of 1_{Δ} can be written as a sum of two terms: $g[(1_{\Delta})] =$ $R[g(1_{\Delta})] \dot{+} (I - R_{\Delta})[g(1_{\Delta})]$. The support of the second term lies outside the diagonal, and, applying the action of group elements, we can "drive it to infinity," i.e., we can shift the support of (x, gx) by changing g. Meanwhile, the first term will still belong to the subspace H_{Δ} , and the second term will weakly tend to zero. As a result, in the limit we obtain an element from the linear hull mentioned above supported by the diagonal. But bounded functions supported by the diagonal in the left (and also right) representation act as multiplicators. Thus we have proved that if, and only if, the action is totally nonfree, then the weak closure of the algebra of operators of the group action contains all multiplicators, and this means precisely that the representation of the group generates the whole factor formed by the skew product of the group algebra and the Abelian group of multiplicators.

Thus the notion of a totally nonfree action allows one to solve Problem 5, but does not provide a solution to Problem 4: there exist examples of totally nonfree actions of two groups such that for one of them the answer is positive, and for the other one, the answer is negative. The reason is as follows: in order to obtain a positive solution to Problem 5, we need to prove only that the single vector 1_{Δ} is cyclic, and in order to obtain a positive solution to Problem 4, we need to prove that every vector that is not a constant and is not orthogonal to the subspace of constants, is cyclic, which is a more difficult task.

Along with Theorem 9, Theorem 10 provides a method for finding indecomposable characters of groups: one should search for totally nonfree actions of the group, and the measure of the set of fixed points of a given element for such an action is the value of the corresponding character at this element. This construction has another reserve component: if the group has cocycles with values in the circle \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} that are not cohomologous to 1, then, using them in the framework described above as multiplicators, one can obtain representations nonequivalent to the previous ones and new characters. The following problem is important.

Problem 6. For what groups does this construction give all indecomposable characters of the group?

In turns out that this is the case for the infinite symmetric group, as follows from a new interpretation of the papers by S. V. Kerov and the author published in the 1980s ([7] and others).

By the way, observe (this concerns the construction of factor representations) that considering the case of equal frequencies in Thoma's theorem separately, as in [7] and subsequent papers, turned out to be superfluous. The reason is that the old construction used the groupoid of sequences with a Bernoulli measure, and the present construction is based on the groupoid of subgroups; hence, whatever the

probabilities are, applying Theorem 9, we obtain the whole factor and not a proper subfactor as in the case of equal frequencies in the paper [7].

It seems that the situation is similar for the groups GLB(q), $GL(\infty, F_q)$, the group of rational rearrangements of the interval, etc. Note also that our considerations apply to the infinite-dimensional groups $U(\infty)$, $O(\infty)$, to the so-called Dye's trajectory group [8], etc.; for continuous groups, one should slightly modify some of the definitions and arguments given above. The transition to the groupoid of conjugate subgroups makes the construction of representations completely invariant. Besides, this groupoid is of interest in itself.

In the paper [1], which is under preparation, we describe all extremely nonfree and totally nonfree actions of the infinite symmetric group, i.e., essentially, the corresponding measures on the lattice of subgroups of this group, and show how one can obtain from this description the complete list of characters of this group. This is another, "dynamical" (i.e., based on considering group actions) proof of Thoma's theorem about the characters of the infinite symmetric group. A similar proof is given for closely related groups. It turns out that the list of totally nonfree measures on the lattice of subgroups is exhausted by the Bernoulli measures on Young subgroups with infinite blocks; this result is yet another analog of the famous de Finetti theorem.

In conclusion, we would like to mention that the classes of actions of countable groups introduced in this paper, and groups for which such actions and the corresponding measures on the lattices of subgroups do exist, are of interest beyond the theory of representations and characters. Apparently, such groups are distinguished also by other properties.

Translated by N. V. Tsilevich.

References

- 1. A. Vershik, Non-free actions of countable groups and their representations, in preparation.
- 2. R. Schmidt, Subgroup Lattices of Groups. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1994.
- 3. J. Dixmier, Les C^* -algèbres et leur representations. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
- D. D'ngeli, A. Donno, M. Matter, and T. Nagnibeda, Schreier graphs of the Basilica group.

 J. Modern Dynamics 4, no. 1 (2010), 167–205.
- 5. M. Takesaki, Theory of Operator Algebras, Vol. 3. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- J. Renault, Groupoid Approach to C*-Algebras. Lect. Notes in Math. 793, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.
- A. M. Vershik and S. V. Kerov. Characters and factor representations of the infinite symmetric group. — Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 257, No. 5 (1981), 1037–1040.
- H. Dye, On groups of measure preserving transformations. I, II. Amer. J. Math. 81, No. 1 (1959), 119–159; 85, No. 4 (1963), 551–576.

St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Institute of Mathematics, St. Petersburg, Russia

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: wershik@pdmi.ras.ru}$