

ON CONSTANT $U_q(sl_2)$ -INVARIANT R -MATRICES

A. G. Bytsko*

UDC 517.9

We consider the spectral resolution of a $U_q(sl_2)$ -invariant solution R of the constant Yang–Baxter equation in the braid group form. It is shown that if the two highest coefficients in this resolution are not equal, then R is either the Drinfeld R -matrix or its inverse. Bibliography: 13 titles.

§1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that the algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ is generated by generators X_+ , X_- , q^H , q^{-H} satisfying the following relations (see [9]):

$$[X^+, X^-] = \frac{q^{2H} - q^{-2H}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad q^H X^\pm = q^{\pm 1} X^\pm q^H, \quad \text{and} \quad q^{\pm H} q^{\mp H} = 1. \quad (1)$$

The homomorphism Δ which is defined on the generators as follows:

$$\Delta(X^\pm) = X^\pm \otimes q^{-H} + q^H \otimes X^\pm \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(q^{\pm H}) = q^{\pm H} \otimes q^{\pm H} \quad (2)$$

turns $U_q(sl_2)$ into a bialgebra (moreover, into a Hopf algebra [12]).

We consider the standard finite-dimensional representation π_s of the algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ in which generators act on basis vectors ω_k of a module V_s ($\dim V_s = (2s+1)$, $2s \in \mathbb{N}$) as follows:

$$\pi_s(X^\pm) \omega_k = \sqrt{[s \mp k][s \pm k + 1]} \omega_{k \pm 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_s(q^{\pm H}) \omega_k = q^{\pm k} \omega_k, \quad (3)$$

where $[t] \equiv (q^t - q^{-t})/(q - q^{-1})$ and $k = -s, -s+1, \dots, s$.

The universal R -matrices for algebra (1)–(2) are given by

$$R^\pm = q^{\pm H \otimes H} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n)}}{\prod_{k=1}^n [k]_q} (\pm(q - q^{-1})X^\mp \otimes X^\pm)^n q^{\pm H \otimes H} \quad (4)$$

(see [6]).

Let \mathbb{P} denote the operator which permutes the tensor components in $U_q(sl_2)^{\otimes 2}$. Then the operator

$$R \equiv \mathbb{P} R^+ = (R^-)^{-1} \mathbb{P}$$

satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation in the braid group form:

$$R_{12} R_{23} R_{12} = R_{23} R_{12} R_{23}. \quad (5)$$

The spectral resolution of R in the representation π_s is given by

$$R \equiv \pi_s^{\otimes 2}(R) = \sum_{k=0}^{2s} \xi_k P^{2s-k} \quad (6)$$

(see [8]), where P^j stands for the projector onto the irreducible submodule V_j in $V_s^{\otimes 2} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{2s} V_j$. Here and below, we use the following notation:

$$\xi_k \equiv (-1)^k q^{\rho(2s-k) - 2\rho(s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(t) \equiv t(t+1). \quad (7)$$

Consider a $U_q(sl_2)$ -invariant solution R' of the Yang–Baxter equation (5). Its spectral resolution in the representation π_s is given by

$$R' \equiv \pi_s^{\otimes 2}(R') = \sum_{k=0}^{2s} r_k P^{2s-k}, \quad (8)$$

where $r_0 \neq 0$ by Lemma 6 of [4], which applies to the case $q \neq 1$ as well. We prove the following statement.

Proposition 1. *If $r_1 \neq r_0$ in the spectral resolution (8), then R' coincides either with R or with R^{-1} up to normalization.*

This statement is a q -analogue of the second part of Proposition 1 of [4], where sl_2 -invariant solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation were considered. Note that the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ is degenerate in the sense that both operators R and R^{-1} turn into the permutation operator \mathbb{P} .

*St.Petersburg Department of the Steklov Mathematical Institute, St.Petersburg, Russia, e-mail: bytsko@pdmi.ras.ru.

§2. REDUCTION TO THE SUBSPACE $W_n^{(s)}$

Let us recall the method of analyzing $U_q(sl_2)$ -invariant solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation developed in [3]. Let $[t]$ denote the entire part of t . The subspace $W_n^{(s)} \subset V_s^{\otimes 3}$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, [3s]$ is defined as the span of the highest weight vectors of weight $(3s - n)$, i.e.,

$$W_n^{(s)} = \{ \psi \in V_s^{\otimes 3} \mid X_{123}^+ \psi = 0, \quad q^{H_{123}} \psi = q^{3s-n} \psi \}. \quad (9)$$

Here and below, for $O \in U_q(sl_2)$ we use the notation $O_{123} = \pi_s^{\otimes 3}((\Delta \otimes id)\Delta(O))$.

Since $[X_{123}^\pm, R_{12}] = [X_{123}^\pm, R_{23}] = 0$, $W_n^{(s)}$ is an invariant subspace for R_{12} and R_{23} ; thus, we can consider reductions of these operators to $W_n^{(s)}$. We can choose a basis of $W_n^{(s)}$ in which the operator $R_{12}|_{W_n^{(s)}}$ is represented by a diagonal matrix $D_0^{(n)}$ of the following form:

$$(D_0^{(n)})_{kk'} = \delta_{kk'} \xi_k; \quad (10)$$

here $0 \leq k \leq n$ for $0 \leq n \leq 2s$ and $(n - 2s) \leq k \leq (4s - n)$ for $2s \leq n \leq [3s]$.

In the same basis, the operator $R_{23}|_{W_n^{(s)}}$ is represented by the following matrix:

$$\hat{D}_0^{(n)} = A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)}, \quad (11)$$

where $A^{(s,n)}$ is a matrix with the following properties (see [3]): it is symmetric, orthogonal, equal to its inverse, and self-dual in q :

$$A^{(s,n)} = (A^{(s,n)})^t = (A^{(s,n)})^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad A_q^{(s,n)} = A_{q^{-1}}^{(s,n)}. \quad (12)$$

Entries of this matrix are expressed in terms of 6- j symbols of the algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ as follows:

$$A_{kk'}^{(s,n)} = (-1)^{2s-n} \sqrt{[4s-2k+1]_q [4s-2k'+1]_q} \begin{Bmatrix} s & s & 2s-k \\ s & 3s-n & 2s-k' \end{Bmatrix}_q. \quad (13)$$

The statement that the Yang–Baxter equation (5) holds when it is reduced to the subspace $W_n^{(s)}$ is equivalent to the following equality:

$$(D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)})^3 = (A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)})^3. \quad (14)$$

In fact, however, a stronger statement holds: The r.h.s. and l.h.s. of (14) are equal up to a multiplicative constant to the identity operator on $W_n^{(s)}$. This follows from the following statement (which is a q -analogue of Lemma 3 of [4]).

Lemma 1. *For all $n = 0, \dots, [3s]$, the following relation holds:*

$$A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} = \theta_n (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1}, \quad (15)$$

where $\theta_n \equiv (-1)^n q^{\rho(3s-n)-3\rho(s)}$.

The proof of this and other lemmas is given in the Appendix.

The statement of Lemma 1 can be written in the following form:

$$(R_{12} R_{23} R_{12})|_{W_n^{(s)}} = (R_{23} R_{12} R_{23})|_{W_n^{(s)}} = \theta_n A^{(s,n)}. \quad (16)$$

For $q = 1$, this relation turns into $(\mathbb{P}_{13})|_{W_n^{(s)}} = (-1)^n A^{(s,n)}$.

From (16) and (12) it follows that

$$((R_{12} R_{23})^3)|_{W_n^{(s)}} = ((R_{23} R_{12})^3)|_{W_n^{(s)}} = q^{2\rho(3s-n)-6\rho(s)}. \quad (17)$$

Let us note that

$$(R_{12} R_{23} R_{12})^2 = (R_{23} R_{12} R_{23})^2 = (R_{12} R_{23})^3 = (R_{23} R_{12})^3 \quad (18)$$

$$= \pi_s^{\otimes 3} \left((R_{12}^- R_{13}^- R_{23}^-)^{-1} (R_{12}^+ R_{13}^+ R_{23}^+) \right) = \pi_s^{\otimes 3} (\chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 \Delta^{(2)}(\chi^{-1})), \quad (19)$$

where the element χ is constructed in the following way: Write the R -matrix (4) as $R^+ = \sum_a r_a^{(1)} \otimes r_a^{(2)}$, and let S stand for the antipode operation; then $\chi = q^{2H} (\sum_a S(r_a^{(2)}) r_a^{(1)})$. It is known [7] that the element χ is central, $\pi_s(\chi) = q^{-2\rho(s)}$, and $\chi_1 \chi_2 \Delta(\chi^{-1}) = (R^-)^{-1} R^+$. The last relation allows us to derive the last equality in (19) (and its generalization for $\Delta^{(N)}(\chi^{-1})$, see the proof of Lemma 1 in [5]). Thus, relation (16) can be regarded as the definition of a certain square root of the operator given by the r.h.s. of (19).

We prove Proposition 1 using the following statement (a q -analogue of Lemma 4 of [4]).

Lemma 2. *Let $0 \leq \bar{m} \leq n \leq 2s$, where $\bar{m} \equiv (2s - m)$. The reductions of the operators P_{12}^m , P_{23}^m , $R_{12}^{\pm 1}$, and $R_{23}^{\pm 1}$ to $W_n^{(s)}$ satisfy the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} R_l R_{l'} R_l &= R_{l'} R_l R_{l'}, \\ P_l^m P_{l'}^m P_l^m &= \eta_{n, \bar{m}}^2 P_l^m, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_l^m R_{l'}^{\pm 1} P_l^m &= (\theta_n \xi_{\bar{m}}^{-2})^{\pm 1} \eta_{n, \bar{m}} P_l^m, \\ R_l^{\pm 1} P_{l'}^m R_l^{\pm 1} &= (\theta_n \xi_{\bar{m}}^{-1})^{\pm 2} R_{l'}^{\mp 1} P_l^m R_{l'}^{\mp 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_l^m P_{l'}^m R_l^{\pm 1} &= (\theta_n \xi_{\bar{m}}^{-1})^{\pm 1} \eta_{n, \bar{m}} P_l^m R_{l'}^{\mp 1}, \\ R_l^{\pm 1} P_{l'}^m P_l^m &= (\theta_n \xi_{\bar{m}}^{-1})^{\pm 1} \eta_{n, \bar{m}} R_{l'}^{\mp 1} P_l^m, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $l = \{12\}$, $l' = \{23\}$ or $l = \{23\}$, $l' = \{12\}$, and $\eta_{n, \bar{m}} = A_{\bar{m}, \bar{m}}^{(s, n)}$.

Let us remark that not all the relations in Lemma 2 are independent. For instance, the second relation in (21) follows from (22); the first relation in (21) and the second relation in (20) can be derived from each other with the help of (22).

Let us also remark that, for $q = 1$, the operators $R_l^{\pm 1}$ coincide with the permutation operator \mathbb{P}_l , and relations (20)–(22) become the relations of the Brauer algebra [2] (taking into account the additional relation $\mathbb{P}_l^2 = \mathbb{E}$, where \mathbb{E} is the identity operator). For $q \neq 1$, the reductions of the operators $R_l^{\pm 1}$ to $W_1^{(s)}$ can be represented as linear combinations of P_l^m and the identity operator \mathbb{E} . As a consequence, relations (20)–(22) for $n = 1$ can be derived from the second relation in (20), which is the defining relation for the Temperley–Lieb algebra [13]. For $n \geq 2$, relations (20)–(22) are the relations that hold in the Birman–Wenzl–Murakami algebra [1, 10]. However, in this algebra an additional relation must also hold, which in our case holds only for $n = 2$ (the operator R_l^{-1} being reduced to $W_2^{(s)}$ can be represented as a linear combination of the operators R_l , P_l^m , and \mathbb{E}).

Returning to consideration of the spectral resolution (8), let us note that, without loss of generality, we can set $r_0 = \xi_0$. Then R' can be represented in the following form:

$$R' = R + g P^{2s-n} + \dots, \quad (23)$$

where $n \geq 1$ and \dots stands for the sum involving projectors whose ranks are smaller than the rank of P^{2s-n} .

Substitute ansatz (23) in the Yang–Baxter equation and consider its reduction to $W_n^{(s)}$ for $n \leq 2s$. With the help of relations of Lemma 2, one can verify that the Yang–Baxter equation for $R'|_{W_n^{(s)}}$ is equivalent to the following matrix equation:

$$g J + (\theta_n \xi_n^{-2} \eta_{n, n} g^2 + \eta_{n, n}^2 g^3) G + (\theta_n \xi_n^{-1} \eta_{n, n} g^2) H = 0, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G &= (P_{12}^{2s-n} - P_{23}^{2s-n})|_{W_n^{(s)}} = \pi^{(n)} - A^{(s, n)} \pi^{(n)} A^{(s, n)}, \\ J &= (R_{12} P_{23}^{2s-n} R_{12} - R_{23} P_{12}^{2s-n} R_{23})|_{W_n^{(s)}} \\ &= D_0^{(n)} A^{(s, n)} \pi^{(n)} A^{(s, n)} D_0^{(n)} - \theta_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s, n)} \pi^{(n)} A^{(s, n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1}, \\ \text{and} \\ H &= (P_{12}^{2s-n} R_{23}^{-1} + R_{23}^{-1} P_{12}^{2s-n} - P_{23}^{2s-n} R_{12}^{-1} - R_{12}^{-1} P_{23}^{2s-n})|_{W_n^{(s)}} \\ &= \theta_n^{-1} \xi_n (\pi^{(n)} A^{(s, n)} D_0^{(n)} + D_0^{(n)} A^{(s, n)} \pi^{(n)}) \\ &\quad - A^{(s, n)} \pi^{(n)} A^{(s, n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} - (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s, n)} \pi^{(n)} A^{(s, n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\pi^{(n)}$ is the matrix such that $(\pi^{(n)})_{kk'} = \delta_{kn} \delta_{k'n}$.

Lemma 3. (i) For $n = 1$, the following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} J &= (\theta_1^2 \xi_0^{-2} \xi_1^{-2} - \xi_0^2) G = (q^{4s(s-1)} - q^{4s^2}) G, \\ H &= 2\xi_0^{-1} G = 2q^{-2s^2} G. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

(ii) For $n = 2$, the matrices J and G are linearly independent, and the following relation holds:

$$\xi_0 \xi_1 H = (\xi_0 + \xi_1) G + (\xi_0 + \xi_1)^{-1} J. \quad (26)$$

(iii) For $n \geq 3$, the matrices J , G , and H are linearly independent, and $J \neq 0$.

Substituting relations (25) in (24), we infer that, for $n = 1$, the coefficient g must be a root of the following equation:

$$\eta_{1,1}^2 g^3 + \eta_{1,1} \theta_1 \xi_1^{-1} (\xi_1^{-1} + 2\xi_0^{-1}) g^2 + (\theta_1^2 \xi_0^{-2} \xi_1^{-2} - \xi_0^2) g = 0.$$

Hence, taking into account that $\eta_{1,1} = -(q^{2s} + q^{-2s})^{-1}$, we conclude that, for $n = 1$, the coefficient g can take one of the following values: $g = 0$, $g = q^{2s(s-2)}(1 - q^{8s})$, and $g = q^{2s(s-2)}(1 + q^{4s})$. In the first and second cases, the spectral resolution of R' coincides in the two highest orders with that of R and $q^{4s^2} R^{-1}$, respectively. In the third case, $r_1 = r_0$.

For $n = 2$, substitute relations (26) in (24) and eliminate H . It is easy to check that the resulting coefficients at J and G vanish if either $g = 0$ or

$$\eta_{1,1} g = -\theta_2 \xi_0^{-1} \xi_1^{-1} \xi_2^{-1} (\xi_0 \xi_1 \xi_2^{-1} + \xi_0 + \xi_1) = -\theta_2^{-1} \xi_0 \xi_1 \xi_2 (\xi_0 + \xi_1).$$

However, the last equality cannot hold because $\xi_0^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 = \theta_2^2$ (see Eq. (34)).

For $n \geq 3$, the coefficient at J in (24) vanishes only if $g = 0$. Thus, the coefficient g in (23) must be zero if $n \geq 2$. Therefore, if R' coincides with R in the two highest orders, then $R' = R$. An analogous statement can be established if we consider ansatz (23) with R replaced by R^{-1} . Thus, Proposition 1 is proven.

APPENDIX

Proof of Lemma 1. The 6- j symbols of the algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ satisfy the following q -analogue of the Racah identity [8, 11]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_p \left((-1)^p [2p+1]_q \begin{Bmatrix} r_1 & r_3 & l \\ r_2 & r_4 & p \end{Bmatrix}_q q^{\rho(p)-\rho(r_1)-\rho(r_4)} \begin{Bmatrix} r_1 & r_2 & l' \\ r_3 & r_4 & p \end{Bmatrix}_q \right) \\ = (-1)^{l+l'} q^{\rho(r_2)-\rho(l)} \begin{Bmatrix} r_3 & r_1 & l \\ r_2 & r_4 & l' \end{Bmatrix}_q q^{\rho(r_3)-\rho(l')}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

(Note that the identity remains true if we set $\rho(t) = -t(t+1)$ since the 6- j symbols are self-dual with respect to the replacement $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$.)

Consider the matrix entry (kk') of equality (15). Using formula (10) and taking into account that $A^{(s,n)}$ is a symmetric matrix, we conclude that

$$\sum_m (-1)^m A_{km}^{(s,n)} q^{\rho(2s-m)-2\rho(s)} A_{k'm}^{(s,n)} = (-1)^{n+k+k'} q^{\rho(3s-n)+\rho(s)-\rho(2s-k)-\rho(2s-k')} A_{kk'}^{(s,n)}. \quad (28)$$

Now, taking into account formula (13), it is easy to see that relation (28) follows from identity (27) if we set $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = s$, $r_4 = 3s - n$, $l = 2s - k$, $l' = 2s - k'$, and $p = 2s - m$.

Proof of Lemma 2. We prove those relations of Lemma 2 that contain R^{+1} on the l.h.s. Their counterparts with R^{-1} on the l.h.s. can be proven similarly.

The second relation in (20):

$$\pi(\overline{m}) \hat{\pi}(\overline{m}) \pi(\overline{m}) = \pi(\overline{m}) A^{(s,n)} \pi(\overline{m}) A^{(s,n)} \pi(\overline{m}) = (A_{\overline{m}\overline{m}}^{(s,n)})^2 \pi(\overline{m}).$$

Here and below, we denote $\hat{\pi}(\overline{m}) \equiv A^{(s,n)} \pi(\overline{m}) A^{(s,n)}$.

Relations (21):

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^{(\overline{m})} \hat{D}_0^{(n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} &\stackrel{(11)}{=} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} \\
&\stackrel{(15)}{=} \theta_n \pi^{(\overline{m})} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} \pi^{(\overline{m})} \\
&\stackrel{(10)}{=} \theta_n \xi_{\overline{m}}^{-2} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} = \theta_n \xi_{\overline{m}}^{-2} A_{\overline{m}\overline{m}}^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})}, \\
D_0^{(n)} \hat{\pi}^{(\overline{m})} D_0^{(n)} &= D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} \\
&\stackrel{(10)}{=} \xi_{\overline{m}}^{-2} D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} \\
&\stackrel{(15)}{=} \theta_n^2 \xi_{\overline{m}}^{-2} A^{(s,n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} \\
&\stackrel{(11)}{=} \theta_n^2 \xi_{\overline{m}}^{-2} (\hat{D}_0^{(n)})^{-1} \pi^{(\overline{m})} (\hat{D}_0^{(n)})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The first relation in (22) (the second one can be proven similarly):

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^{(\overline{m})} \hat{\pi}^{(\overline{m})} D_0^{(n)} &= \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} = A_{\overline{m}\overline{m}}^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} (A^{(s,n)})^2 \\
&\stackrel{(15)}{=} \theta_n A_{\overline{m}\overline{m}}^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} \stackrel{(10)}{=} \theta_n \xi_{\overline{m}}^{-1} A_{\overline{m}\overline{m}}^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} (\hat{D}_0^{(n)})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 3. For $n=1$, the matrices G, H, and J are of size 2×2 , and relations (25) can be verified straightforwardly using the explicit form of the matrix $A^{(s,1)}$ (see Eq. (73) of [3]).

In order to examine the case $n \geq 2$, let us write down explicitly the matrix entries of G, H, and J:

$$G_{kk'} = \delta_{kn} \delta_{k'n} - A_{nk}^{(s,n)} A_{nk'}^{(s,n)}, \quad (29)$$

$$H_{kk'} = \theta_n^{-1} \xi_n (\delta_{kn} \xi_{k'} A_{nk'}^{(s,n)} + \delta_{k'n} \xi_k A_{nk}^{(s,n)}) - (\xi_k^{-1} + \xi_{k'}^{-1}) A_{nk}^{(s,n)} A_{nk'}^{(s,n)}, \quad (30)$$

and

$$J_{kk'} = (\xi_k \xi_{k'} - \theta_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} \xi_k^{-1} \xi_{k'}^{-1}) A_{nk}^{(s,n)} A_{nk'}^{(s,n)}. \quad (31)$$

Recall that $k, k' = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

Considering (31) for $k=0$ and $k'=0, 1$, it is easy to infer that $J \neq 0$ (since $\xi_0^2 \neq \xi_1^2$).

Assume that the following relation holds:

$$\alpha G + \beta J - \gamma H = 0, \quad (32)$$

where $\alpha\beta\gamma \neq 0$. Using formulas (29)–(31), write down the matrix entries of (32) for $(k, k') = (0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, and $(1, 1)$ dividing them by $A_{nk}^{(s,n)} A_{nk'}^{(s,n)}$ (note that $A_{nk}^{(s,n)} \neq 0$ for all k , see Eq. (97) of [3]):

$$\begin{aligned}
-\alpha + (\xi_0^2 - \theta_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} \xi_0^{-2})\beta + 2\xi_0^{-1}\gamma &= 0, \\
-\alpha + (\xi_0 \xi_1 - \theta_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} \xi_0^{-1} \xi_1^{-1})\beta + (\xi_0^{-1} + \xi_1^{-1})\gamma &= 0, \\
-\alpha + (\xi_1^2 - \theta_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} \xi_1^{-2})\beta + 2\xi_1^{-1}\gamma &= 0.
\end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The determinant of this system of equations is $d = (\xi_0^{-1} - \xi_1^{-1})^3 (\theta_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} - \xi_0^2 \xi_1^2)$. Since $\xi_0 \neq \xi_1$, the equality $d=0$ can be satisfied only if

$$\theta_n^2 = \xi_0^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_n^2, \quad (34)$$

which is equivalent to the following condition: $\rho(3s-n) + 3\rho(s) - \rho(2s) - \rho(2s-1) - \rho(2s-n) = 2s(2-n) = 0$. Thus, relation (32) cannot hold for $n \geq 3$.

For $n=2$, a solution of system (33) is as follows: $\alpha = \beta^{-1} = \xi_0 + \xi_1$ and $\gamma = \xi_0 \xi_1$. A direct check using the explicit form of the matrix $A^{(s,2)}$ (see Eq. (74) of [3]) shows that relation (32) with such coefficients indeed holds. Since system (33) has no solution for $\gamma=0$, we conclude that G and J are linearly independent.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks P. Kulish for useful remarks. This work was supported by the RFBR (projects 08-01-00638, 09-01-12150, and 09-01-93108).

Translated by A. G. Bytsko.

REFERENCES

1. J. S. Birman and H. Wenzl, "Braids, link polynomials and a new algebra," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **313**, 249–273 (1989).
2. R. Brauer, "On algebras which are connected with the semisimple continuous groups," *Ann. Math.*, **38**, 857–872 (1937).
3. A. G. Bytsko, "On higher spin $U_q(sl_2)$ -invariant R-matrices," *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, **17**, 393–408 (2006).
4. A. G. Bytsko, "On an ansatz for sl_2 -invariant R-matrices," *J. Math. Sci.*, **143**, 2754–2764 (2007).
5. A. G. Bytsko, "Non-Hermitian spin chains with inhomogeneous coupling," *Algebra Analiz*, **22**, No. 3, 80–106 (2010).
6. V. G. Drinfeld, "Quantum groups," *J. Sov. Math.*, **41**, 898–915 (1988).
7. V. G. Drinfeld, "Almost cocommutative Hopf algebras," *Leningrad Math. J.*, **1**, 321–342 (1990).
8. A. N. Kirillov and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, "Representations of the algebra $U_q(sl(2))$, q -orthogonal polynomials, and invariants of links," in: *Adv. Series Math. Phys.*, **7**, World Scientific (1989), pp. 285–339.
9. P. P. Kulish and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, "Quantum linear problem for the sine-Gordon equation and higher representation," *J. Sov. Math.*, **23**, 2435–2441 (1983).
10. J. Murakami, "The Kauffman polynomial of links and representation theory," *Osaka J. Math.*, **24**, 745–758 (1987).
11. M. Nomura, "Yang–Baxter relation in terms of $n - j$ symbols of $su_q(2)$ algebra," *J. Phys. Soc. Jap.*, **58**, 2694–2704 (1989).
12. E. K. Sklyanin, "On an algebra generated by quadratic relations," *Usp. Mat. Nauk*, **40**, 214 (1985).
13. H. N. V. Temperley and E. H. Lieb, "Relations between the percolation and coloring problem and other graph-theoretical problems associated with regular planar lattices: some exact results for the percolation problem," *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, **322**, 251–280 (1971).