Groups generated by involutions of diamond-shaped graphs, and deformations of Young's orthogonal form

A. M. Vershik^{*} N. V. Tsilevich^{\dagger}

October 21, 2019

Abstract

With an arbitrary finite graph having a special form of 2-intervals (a diamond-shaped graph) we associate a subgroup of a symmetric group and a representation of this subgroup; state a series of problems on such groups and their representations; and present results of some computer simulations. The case we are most interested in is that of the Young graph and subgroups generated by natural involutions of Young tableaux. In particular, the classical Young's orthogonal form can be regarded as a deformation of our construction. We also state asymptotic problems for infinite groups.

^{*}St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Institute of Mathematics and St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia; Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Moscow, Russia. E-mail: avershik@pdmi.ras.ru. Supported by the RFBR grant 17-01-00433.

[†]St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Institute of Mathematics and St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia. E-mail: natalia@pdmi.ras.ru. Supported by the RFBR grant 17-01-00433.

1 The main construction

1.1 Combinatorial involutions on diamond-shaped graphs and the group of permutations of paths

We begin with a finite directed graded graph¹ with one minimal and one maximal element, and assume that it also satisfies the following property.

Definition 1. A graded graph is said to be diamond-shaped if every its nonempty 2-interval² contains either one or two vertices of the intermediate level, i.e., is either a chain or a rhombus.

Diamond-shaped graphs appear in the following situation. Consider a finite partially ordered set P with minimal element \emptyset and the partially ordered set J(P) of its ideals (subsets that contain with every element all smaller elements). This partially ordered set of ideals is a distributive lattice, and its Hasse diagram is a diamond-shaped graph. By a well-known theorem, the converse is also true: every finite distributive lattice is the lattice of ideals of a finite partially ordered set (see, e.g., [6, Chap. 3] or [1]). The property of being diamond-shaped is a weakening of distributivity; the latter imposes conditions on all intervals (and not only 2-intervals).

Consider an arbitrary \mathbb{Z}_+ -graded finite diamond-shaped graph Γ ; denote by Γ_k the set of its vertices of level k. Let $T(\Gamma)$ be the set of maximal paths of Γ , i.e., paths connecting the minimal vertex (of level 0) with the maximal vertex (of level n). Denote by \mathfrak{S}_{Γ} the group of all permutations of the set $T(\Gamma)$ (clearly, it is isomorphic to the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_N where N is the total number of maximal paths in the graph).

Definition 2. For i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, the combinatorial involution σ_i is the involution $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\Gamma}$ that acts as follows. Let $t = (t_0, t_1, ..., t_n) \in T(\Gamma)$ where $t_k \in \Gamma_k$. The involution σ_i leaves all vertices of the path t except t_{i+1} unchanged. Consider the 2-interval $[t_i, t_{i+2}]$ in Γ . If it is a chain, then $\sigma_i(t) = t$. If it is a rhombus with intermediate vertices t_{i+1}, t'_{i+1} , then

$$\sigma_i(t) = (t_0, \dots, t_i, t'_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_n).$$

¹For the general theory of graded graphs and Bratteli diagrams, see, e.g., [8] or [4].

²By a 2-interval [v, w] of a graded graph Γ we mean a subgraph of Γ consisting of two vertices v and w of levels k and k + 2, respectively (for some k), and all the vertices of level k + 1 connected with both these vertices.

By our assumptions on the graph, the action of σ_i is well defined on all paths of the graph.

Definition 3. The group of permutations of paths of the graph Γ is the group $G_{\Gamma} = \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle$ generated by the n-1 combinatorial involutions $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ of Γ .

Consider the \mathbb{R} -vector space $V(\Gamma)$ of formal linear combinations of maximal paths of Γ . Above we have defined not only the group G_{Γ} , but also a representation of this group in $V(\Gamma)$. The action of every involution σ_i is not identical only in two-dimensional spaces corresponding to the pair of paths of the same rhombus at the levels i, i + 1, i + 2.

The obvious relations satisfied by the involutions σ_i , i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, are as follows:

$$\sigma_i^2 = \mathrm{id}, \qquad \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \quad \mathrm{for} \quad |i - j| \ge 2,$$

where id is the identity transformation.

The relations between σ_i and σ_{i+1} depend on the graph in a complicated manner, and it is difficult to describe them in the general case. Thus, G_{Γ} is defined as a permutation group (a subgroup of a symmetric group) by its generators. (For the general theory of permutation groups, see, e.g., [2].)

Our main question is how does this group look like for various graphs, and whether it is possible to obtain a classification of such groups.

Problem 1. To what extent does the group G_{Γ} characterize the original graph Γ ? How can one characterize the class of graphs corresponding to isomorphic groups G_{Γ} ?

Consider the first simple example. Hereafter, by \mathfrak{S}_n we denote the symmetric group of degree n.

Theorem 1. Let Γ be the Hasse diagram of the finite Boolean algebra B_n with n atoms. Then $G_{\Gamma} \simeq \mathfrak{S}_n$.

Proof. It is sufficient (and easy) to verify that in this case we have the relations $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n-1, which, together with the above ones, define the group \mathfrak{S}_n . Note that the paths in Γ can be indexed in a natural way by the permutations of the numbers $1, \ldots, n$, and the representation of the group G_{Γ} in the space $V(\Gamma)$ is the regular representation of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n . The next result is less obvious. By definition, the *d*-dimensional Pascal graph P^d , where $d \ge 2$, is the \mathbb{Z}_+ -graded graph whose *n*th level consists of all *d*-tuples $(k_1, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ such that $k_1 + \ldots + k_d = n$, and an edge connects two vertices of neighboring levels that are obtained from each other by changing one coordinate by 1. In particular, $P := P^2$ is the ordinary Pascal graph (infinite Pascal triangle), see, e.g., [7]. For an arbitrary vertex $v \in P^d$, denote by $P^d(v)$ the finite subgraph in P^d induced by the set of vertices of all paths leading from the minimal vertex $\emptyset = (0, \ldots, 0)$ to v. We say that $P^d(v)$ is an interval of length n of the Pascal graph if v is a vertex of level n.

Theorem 2. If Γ is an arbitrary interval of length n of the d-dimensional Pascal graph, then $G_{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{S}_n$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma = P^d(v)$ where $v \in P_n^d$ is a vertex of level n. Let $v = (m_1, \ldots, m_d)$. Then the vertices of the graph $P^d(v)$ can be indexed in an obvious way by all sequences of the form (a_1, \ldots, a_n) where $a_i \in [d] = \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and $\#\{i : a_i = k\} = m_k$ for every $k = 1, \ldots, d$. It is easy to see that, under this parametrization, the combinatorial involution σ_i , $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, acts as the transposition that swaps a_i and a_{i+1} . But this action coincides with the action of the Coxeter generators s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1} of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n in the standard realization of the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{m_d}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ Id, where $\operatorname{Id} = \pi_{(n)}$ is the identity representation of \mathfrak{S}_n . This implies the desired result.

Note that we have described, in particular, the representation of the group G_{Γ} arising in this case; it is the representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{m_d}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ Id of the symmetric group induced from an appropriate Young subgroup.

In Sec. 2, we will consider groups of permutations of paths on the Young graph. As we will see, in this case G_{Γ} is not always isomorphic to a symmetric group, and even in the cases when it is isomorphic to a symmetric group, this group, in contrast to the previous examples, is defined as being generated not by the traditional transpositions, but by involutions of general form. Apparently, in the general case the groups of the type G_{Γ} constitute a quite special class of groups.

1.2 Problems about infinite groups

Obviously, the group corresponding to an interval of a graph is a natural subgroup of the group corresponding to the graph itself. Hence, we can consider an infinite path in an infinite graded graph (Bratteli diagram), for instance, in the Young graph, and the inductive limit of the groups of permutations of paths corresponding to initial segments of this path. A number of natural questions arise.

Problem 2. How does the resulting infinite group look like? For what pairs of paths are the corresponding groups naturally isomorphic?

Even for the Young graph \mathbb{Y} (see Sec. 2), this results in a series of especially intriguing problems. Consider an indecomposable character of the infinite symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_{∞} and the corresponding representation (see, e.g., [4, 9]). For almost every, with respect to the corresponding measure, path $T = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots)$, where $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{Y}_k$, consider the group G_T which is the inductive limit of the groups $G_{\mathbb{Y}_{\lambda_n}}$ corresponding to finite initial segments of the path. Clearly, finitely equivalent paths lead to the same group.

Problem 3. Are all these infinite groups G_T isomorphic for almost all paths? If no, what is the partition of the set of paths into isomorphism classes of the corresponding groups? If yes, is this unique (up to isomorphism) group isomorphic to the infinite symmetric group?

2 Groups generated by combinatorial involutions on the Young graph

In this section, we describe the above setting in detail for the Young graph. Recall that by \mathfrak{S}_n we denote the symmetric group of degree n. By $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ we denote the Coxeter generator $s_i = (i, i+1), i = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

Let \mathbb{Y} be the Young graph; the *n*th level of \mathbb{Y} is the set \mathbb{Y}_n of Young diagrams with *n* cells, and an edge connects two vertices of neighboring levels such that the larger one is obtained from the smaller one by adding one cell. Given a diagram $\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}_n$, denote by \mathbb{Y}_λ the finite subgraph in \mathbb{Y} induced by the set of vertices of all paths leading from the minimal vertex (empty diagram) to λ . Then the set of paths in the graph \mathbb{Y}_λ can be identified with the set of standard Young tableaux of shape λ , and the linear space

 $V_{\lambda} := V(\mathbb{Y}_{\lambda})$ spanned by T_{λ} is the space of the irreducible representation π_{λ} of the group \mathfrak{S}_n corresponding to the diagram λ .

Recall that the action of the Coxeter generators σ_i in the representation π_{λ} in the Gelfand–Tsetlin basis indexed by the Young tableaux of shape λ is given by Young's orthogonal form (see Sec. 4). But, according to Definition 2, we consider the following *permutation* action of the Coxeter generators $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$:

$$\rho_{\lambda}(\sigma_i)t = \begin{cases} t'_i & \text{if } i \text{ and } i+1 \text{ lie in different columns and different rows of } t, \\ t & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where t'_i is the standard tableau obtained from t by swapping i and i + 1. Observe that σ_1 is always the identity transformation, since 1 and 2 always lie either in one column, or in one row.

Consider the group $G_{\lambda} = \langle \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle$ of permutations of the graph \mathbb{Y}_{λ} generated by the involutions σ_i , $i = 2, \ldots, n-1$. Our goal is to study the groups G_{λ} . Obviously, G_{λ} is a subgroup of the total group of permutations of the set T_{λ} of paths in \mathbb{Y} leading to the vertex λ , i.e., of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{\dim \lambda}$, where dim λ is the dimension of the diagram λ , i.e., the number of such paths.

2.1 Exact results

Theorem 3. If $\lambda = (n - k, 1^k)$ is a hook diagram, then G_{λ} is isomorphic to the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} .

Proof. It is easy to see that for a hook diagram $\lambda = (n - k, 1^k)$, the graph \mathbb{Y}_{λ} is isomorphic to the finite interval P(v) of the Pascal graph where v = (n - k - 1, k), hence the result follows from Theorem 2.

In particular, as follows from Theorem 2, in this case we have the representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_k \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-k-1}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}$ Id of the symmetric group $G_{\lambda} \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$ in the space V_{λ} .

Theorem 4. If $\lambda = (n - 2, 2)$ for $n \ge 4$, then G_{λ} is isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{\dim \lambda}$.

Proof. Obviously, the group $G_{(2,2)}$ is isomorphic to $G_{(2,1)}$, so the corresponding result follows from Theorem 3; thus, in what follows we assume that $n \geq 5$. Denote $G := G_{\lambda}$.

Let T_1 and T_2 be the sets of Young tableaux of shape λ in which the element 2 lies in the first and second row, respectively. Obviously, $T = T_1 \cup T_2$ and T_1, T_2 are invariant under σ_i for $i \geq 3$. For k = 1, 2, denote by $\sigma_i^{(k)}$ the restriction of σ_i to T_k , and let $G_k = \langle \sigma_3^{(k)}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{(k)} \rangle$. It is easy to see that the action of the operators $\sigma_3^{(1)}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{(1)}$ coincides with the action of the Coxeter generators s_1, \ldots, s_{n-3} of \mathfrak{S}_{n-2} in the representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-4}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-2}}$ Id, so G_1 is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_{n-2} . Analogously, the action of the operators $\sigma_4^{(2)}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{(2)}$ coincides with the action of the Coxeter generators s_1, \ldots, s_{n-4} of \mathfrak{S}_{n-3} in the representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-4}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-3}}$ Id (while, obviously, $\sigma_3^{(2)}$ is the identity operator), so G_2 is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_{n-3} .

Now, let us show that these two actions are independent, in the sense that the subgroup $G' := \langle \sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle \subset G$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_{n-2} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-3}$. Let $\tau = \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \ldots \sigma_{n-1}$. It follows from the observations in the previous paragraph that $\tau_1 := \tau|_{T_1}$ can be identified with the cycle $(1, 2, \ldots, n-2)$ in \mathfrak{S}_{n-2} , while $\tau_2 := \tau|_{T_2}$ can be identified with a cycle of length n-3 in \mathfrak{S}_{n-3} . Therefore, τ^{n-3} acts as the cycle $(n-2, n-3, \ldots, 1)$ on T_1 and identically on T_2 . Further, σ_3 acts as the transposition (1, 2) on T_1 and identically on T_2 . But it is well known that the permutations $(n-2, n-3, \ldots, 1)$ and (1, 2)generate the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_{n-2} . Hence, G' contains $\mathfrak{S}_{n-2} \times \{e\}$, which clearly implies the desired claim.

It remains to show that $\langle G', \sigma_2 \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_{\dim \lambda}$. Let T_{11} be the subset of T_1 consisting of the tableaux in which the element 3 lies in the first row and T_{12} be the subset of T_1 consisting of the tableaux in which the element 3 lies in the second row. Obviously, there is a natural bijection between T_{12} and T_2 which identifies tableaux differing only by the positions of the elements 2 and 3. Let $T_2 = \{t_1, \ldots, t_k\}$ and $T_{12} = \{t'_1, \ldots, t'_k\}$, where t_i and t'_i correspond to each other under this bijection, and $T_{11} = \{r_1, \ldots, r_\ell\}$. Then, obviously, σ_2 exchanges t_i and t'_i for each i, while leaving each r_j fixed. Now, as we have already proved, there exist a permutation $g_1 \in G'$ that acts as the cycle (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k) on T_2 and identically on T_1 and a permutation $g_2 \in G'$ that acts as the cycle $(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_\ell)$ on T_1 and identically on T_2 . It is easy to see that the permutation $g_1\sigma_2g_2$ is the cycle

$$c = (t_1, t'_2, t_2, t'_3, t_3, \dots, t'_k, t_k, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_\ell, t'_1)$$

of length \dim_{λ} . On the other hand, there exists $g_3 \in G'$ that acts as the transposition (r_1, r_2) on T_1 and identically on T_2 . Then $\langle c, g_3 \rangle = \mathfrak{S}_{\dim \lambda}$, as required.

3 Computational results for $n \leq 9$

Using the **SageMath** software system, we have computed the orders of the groups G_{λ} for diagrams λ with $n \leq 9$ cells. The results are as follows.

- If λ is a hook diagram, then G_{λ} is isomorphic to the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} (Theorem 3).
- If λ is one of the diagrams $(4, 2^2)$, (6, 3), $(4^2, 1)$, then the order of G_{λ} is equal to $\frac{(\dim \lambda)!}{2}$.

Conjecture 1. In these cases, G_{λ} is isomorphic to the alternating group $A_{\dim \lambda}$.

• If λ is one of the diagrams (3, 2, 1), $(4, 2, 1^2)$, $(3^2, 2)$, (3^3) , then the order of G_{λ} is equal to $2^{k-1}k!$ where $k = \frac{\dim \lambda}{2}$ is half the dimension of λ .

Conjecture 2. In these cases, G_{λ} is isomorphic to the Coxeter group D_k .

Remark. The conjecture is verified using *SageMath* for the diagram $\lambda = (3, 2, 1)$.

Conjecture 3. If λ is a symmetric diagram that is not a hook, then G_{λ} is isomorphic to the Coxeter group D_k where $k = \frac{\dim \lambda}{2}$.

• In all the other cases, the order of G_{λ} is equal to $(\dim \lambda)!$, that is, G_{λ} is isomorphic to the total symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{\dim \lambda}$.

These examples indicate that the groups G_{λ} for Young diagrams either are Coxeter groups, or differ little from them. For other graphs, no experiments have been done, it is not even clear in which cases these groups are, for example, 2-transitive. This question is especially interesting for groups corresponding to distributive lattices, in particular, for skew Young diagrams.

4 Young's orthogonal form as a deformation of a representation of $G_{\mathbb{Y}_{\lambda}}$

Let us extend our definition of groups acting in the spaces V_{λ} to include the classical Young's construction that realizes irreducible representations of the

symmetric group in the same space V_{λ} , but in which transpositions act not as permutations of paths, but as two-dimensional rotations with reflection. To simplify matters, we state Young's formulas in \mathbb{C} -form.

We still consider the space $V_{\lambda} = V(\mathbb{Y}_{\lambda})$, where λ is a Young diagram with n cells and \mathbb{Y}_{λ} is the corresponding subgraph of the Young graph. The corresponding irreducible representation π_{λ} of the group \mathfrak{S}_n acts in the space V_{λ} , and Young found formulas for the action of the Coxeter transpositions (see, e.g., a modern exposition in [5] or a classical one in [3]). Namely, in each two-dimensional space corresponding to a diamond-shaped 2-interval, we introduce a structure of the complex line \mathbb{C} . Then the combinatorial involution introduced above turns into the transformation $z \mapsto i\bar{z}$ (which swaps the real and imaginary axes). But Young's involution corresponding to the Coxeter transposition σ_k , $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, acts in each one-dimensional complex subspace of this form as

$$z \mapsto e^{i\alpha_{\lambda}(k)}\bar{z},$$

where $\alpha_{\lambda}(k) = \arctan \sqrt{r^2 - 1}$ and r is the l^1 -distance between the cells of the tableau under consideration containing the elements k and k + 1 (the so-called axial distance). If the 2-interval is not a rhombus but a chain, then in the corresponding one-dimensional real subspace the involution acts as ± 1 depending on whether the elements k and k + 1 lie in the same row or in the same column.

We see that Young's involution is a deformation of the combinatorial involution, the parameters of this deformation being real numbers $\alpha_{\lambda}(k)$, and it is these parameters that define a subgroup of the group of unitary operators in the space V_{λ} .

Clearly, the finiteness of this group is related to very special values of the parameters.

Problem 4. For what parameters is this group of unitary operators finite? infinite?

The remarkable classical result saying that for Young's values of the parameters the group is canonically isomorphic to the symmetric group is not quite obvious.

It is well known that the free groups generated by more than two involutions are "wild," i.e., the space of their irreducible complex representations is unmanageable and has no natural parametrization. This applies not only to free groups, but also to many infinite groups generated by several involutions. But we define a group together with a fixed finite-dimensional representation (not necessarily irreducible) of this group associated with the graph. Hence it is natural to consider the following question.

Problem 5. For a given group of the type under consideration, characterize intrinsically the representations arising in the described construction.

The authors are grateful to M. A. Vsemirnov for useful discussions.

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1967.
- [2] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Springer, 1996.
- [3] G. James and A. Kerber, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group*, Addison-Wesley, 1981.
- [4] S. V. Kerov, Asymptotic Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group and its Application in Analysis, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [5] A. Okounkov and A. Vershik, A new approach to representation theory of symmetric groups, *Selecta Math.*, *New Series* 2, No. 4 (1996), 581– 605.
- [6] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. 1, The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, 1986.
- [7] A. M. Vershik, The Pascal automorphism has a continuous spectrum, Funct. Anal. Appl. 45, No. 3 (2011), 173–186.
- [8] A. M. Vershik and S. V. Kerov, Locally semisimple algebras. Combinatorial theory and the K_0 -functor, J. Soviet Math. **38**, No. 2 (1987), 1701–1733.
- [9] A. M. Vershik and S. V. Kerov, The Grothendieck group of the infinite symmetric group and symmetric functions (with the elements of the theory of K₀-functor of AF-algebras). In: A. M. Vershik, D. P. Zhelobenko (eds.), Representation of Lie Groups and Related Topics, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 7, Gordon and Breach, 1990, pp. 39–117.