

Homotopy similarity of maps. Strong similarity

S. S. Podkorytov

Given pointed cellular spaces X and Y , X compact, and an integer $r \geq 0$, we define a relation $\overset{r}{\approx}$ on $[X, Y]$ and argue for the conjecture that it always coincides with the r -similarity $\overset{r}{\sim}$.

§ 1. Introduction

This paper continues [2]. We adopt notation and conventions thereof. Let X and Y be cellular spaces, X compact. For each $r \geq 0$, we define a relation $\overset{r}{\approx}$, called the strong r -similarity, on the set $[X, Y]$. We will need it in our next paper [4]. We conjecture that strong r -similarity always coincides with r -similarity $\overset{r}{\sim}$. It follows immediately from the definition that it implies r -similarity and gets nonstrictly stronger as r grows. We do not know whether the strong r -similarity is always an equivalence. We prove this in the case $X = \Sigma T$ (§ 8). The main results are as follows. Strong 1-similarity coincides with 1-similarity (Theorem 14.2). (We believe that 1-similarity can be given a homological characterization similar to that of homotopy invariants of order at most 1 [1].) If $X = S^1$, the strong r -similarity coincides with the r -similarity (§ 24). All $(r + 1)$ -fold Whitehead products are strongly r -similar to zero (Theorem 27.2).

§ 2. Definition of strong similarity

Augmentation. For a set V , introduce the homomorphism

$$\epsilon : \langle V \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad \langle v \rangle \mapsto 1,$$

the *augmentation*. An ensemble $S \in \langle V \rangle$ is called *affine* if $\epsilon(S) = 1$.

Hash product. Given a wedge

$$T = \bigvee_{i \in (m)} T_i$$

(hereafter, $(m) = \{1, \dots, m\}$) and a space Z , we have the \mathbb{Z} -multilinear operation

$$\# : \prod_{i \in (m)} \langle Z^{T_i} \rangle \rightarrow \langle Z^T \rangle, \quad \# \langle v_i \rangle = \langle \overline{\bigvee_{i \in (m)} v_i} \rangle.$$

Simplex and its faces. Fix a nonempty finite set E . Let $\mathcal{P}_\times(E)$ be the set of nonempty subsets $F \subseteq E$. Let $\mathcal{A}(E)$ be the set of subsets $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\times(E)$ such that all $F \in A$ are disjoint (*layouts*).

Let ΔE be the simplex spanned by E . For $F \in \mathcal{P}_\times(E)$, $\Delta F \subseteq \Delta E$ is a face. For $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, put

$$\Delta[A] = \bigcup_{F \in A} \Delta F \subseteq \Delta E.$$

Fissile ensembles. For $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, we have

$$\Delta[A]_+ = \bigvee_{F \in A} (\Delta F)_+.$$

Hereafter, $U_+ = U \sqcup \{\mathfrak{f}\}$. Given a space Z , we call an ensemble $S \in \langle Z^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$ *fissile* if, for each $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$,

$$S|_{\Delta[A]_+} = \coprod_{F \in A} S|_{(\Delta F)_+} \quad (1)$$

in $\langle Z^{\Delta[A]_+} \rangle$.

An ensemble of the form $\langle v \rangle$ is fissile. A fissile ensemble is affine (take $A = \emptyset$ in the definition). An affine ensemble S is fissile if it satisfies (1) for all A with $|A| = 2$. Given a space $\tilde{Z} \supseteq Z$, we have $\langle \tilde{Z}^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle \supseteq \langle Z^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$; the ensemble S is fissile as an element of $\langle \tilde{Z}^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$ if and only if it is fissile as an element of $\langle Z^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$.

Spaces of maps. Let X and Y be cellular spaces, X compact. Then Y^X is the space of maps $X \rightarrow Y$; its basepoint is the constant map \mathfrak{f}_Y^X . Given a map $a : X \rightarrow Y$, we define the spaces (Y^X, a) as Y^X with the basepoint moved to a and Y_a^X as the basepoint path component of (Y^X, a) . For an unpointed space U , introduce the function

$$\theta_a^U : Y^X \rightarrow (Y^X, a)^{U_+}, \quad \theta_a^U(d)(u) = d \quad (u \in U), \quad \theta_a^U(d)(\mathfrak{f}) = a.$$

The filtration $\langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle_X^{(s)}$. Let T be a space. The function

$$\square^X : (Y^X, a)^T \rightarrow Y^{T \times X}, \quad \square^X(v)(t, x) = v(t)(x),$$

induces the homomorphism

$$\langle \square^X \rangle : \langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y^{T \times X} \rangle.$$

The filtration of $\langle Y^{T \times X} \rangle$ (see [2]) induces a filtration of $\langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle$:

$$\langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle_X^{(s)} = \langle \square^X \rangle^{-1}(\langle Y^{T \times X} \rangle^{(s)}).$$

Strong similarity. Let X and Y be as above and $a, b : X \rightarrow Y$ be maps. We adopt the inclusion $\langle (Y_a^X)^T \rangle \subseteq \langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle$. We say that a is *strongly r -similar* to b ,

$$a \overset{r}{\approx} b,$$

if, for any nonempty finite set E , there exists a fissile ensemble $S \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$ such that

$$\langle \theta_a^{\Delta E}(b) \rangle - S \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle_X^{(r+1)}.$$

We have $a \stackrel{r}{\approx} a$ (put $S = \langle \theta_a^{\Delta E}(a) \rangle$). Clearly, $a \stackrel{r}{\approx} b$ implies $a \stackrel{r}{\sim} b$ (take $E = \{\bullet\}$). We prove below (Theorem 6.2) that the relation $\stackrel{r}{\approx}$ is homotopy invariant.

§ 3. On the filtration $\langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle_X^{(s)}$

3.1. Lemma. *Let X, Y, T and \tilde{T} be cellular spaces, X, T and \tilde{T} compact, and $a : X \rightarrow Y$ and $k : \tilde{T} \rightarrow T$ be maps. Then the homomorphism*

$$\langle (Y^X, a)^k \rangle : \langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle \rightarrow \langle (Y^X, a)^{\tilde{T}} \rangle$$

takes $\langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle_X^{(s)}$ to $\langle (Y^X, a)^{\tilde{T}} \rangle_X^{(s)}$.

Proof. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle \square^X \rangle} & \langle Y^{T \times X} \rangle \\ \langle (Y^X, a)^k \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \langle Y^{k \times \text{id}_X} \rangle \\ \langle (Y^X, a)^{\tilde{T}} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle \square^X \rangle} & \langle Y^{\tilde{T} \times X} \rangle. \end{array}$$

By the definition of $\langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle_X^{(s)}$, $\langle \square^X \rangle$ takes it to $\langle Y^{T \times X} \rangle^{(s)}$. By [3, Lemma 2.1], $\langle Y^{k \times \text{id}_X} \rangle$ takes the latter to $\langle Y^{\tilde{T} \times X} \rangle^{(s)}$. By commutativity of the diagram, $\langle (Y^X, a)^k \rangle$ takes $\langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle_X^{(s)}$ to $\langle \square^X \rangle^{-1}(\langle Y^{\tilde{T} \times X} \rangle^{(s)})$, which is $\langle (Y^X, a)^{\tilde{T}} \rangle_X^{(s)}$ by the definition of the latter. \square

The case $a = \lrcorner_Y^X$.

3.2. Lemma. *Let X, Y , and \tilde{X} be cellular spaces, X and \tilde{X} compact, and $k : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a surjective map. Then the homomorphism*

$$\langle Y^k \rangle : \langle Y^X \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y^{\tilde{X}} \rangle$$

satisfies

$$\langle Y^X \rangle^{(s)} = \langle Y^k \rangle^{-1}(\langle Y^{\tilde{X}} \rangle^{(s)}). \quad (2)$$

Proof. By [3, Lemma 2.1], $\langle Y^k \rangle$ preserves the filtration, which yields the inclusion \subseteq in (2). Check the inclusion \supseteq . Take $V \in \langle Y^k \rangle^{-1}(\langle Y^{\tilde{X}} \rangle^{(s)})$ and show that $V \in \langle Y^X \rangle^{(s)}$. Take $R \in \mathcal{F}_{s-1}(\tilde{X})$. We should check that $V|_R = 0$. We have $R = k(Q)$ for some $Q \in \mathcal{F}_{s-1}(\tilde{X})$. Since $\langle Y^k \rangle(V) \in \langle Y^{\tilde{X}} \rangle^{(s)}$, we have $\langle Y^k \rangle(V)|_Q = 0$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V & \langle Y^X \rangle & \xrightarrow{?|_R} & \langle Y^R \rangle & V|_R \\ & \langle Y^k \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \langle Y^h \rangle & \\ \langle Y^k \rangle(V) & \langle Y^{\tilde{X}} \rangle & \xrightarrow{?|_Q} & \langle Y^Q \rangle, & 0 \end{array}$$

where $h = k|_{Q \rightarrow R}$. Since h is surjective, $\langle Y^h \rangle$ is injective. Thus $V|_R = 0$. \square

Let X , Y and T be cellular spaces, X and T compact. Let

$$\widehat{\square}^X : (Y^X)^T \rightarrow Y^{T \wedge X}$$

be the standard bijection. Consider the homomorphism

$$\langle \widehat{\square}^X \rangle : \langle (Y^X)^T \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y^{T \wedge X} \rangle.$$

Lemma 3.3. *One has*

$$\langle (Y^X)^T \rangle_X^{(s)} = \langle \widehat{\square}^X \rangle^{-1}(\langle Y^{T \wedge X} \rangle^{(s)}).$$

Proof. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle (Y^X)^T \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle \widehat{\square}^X \rangle} & \langle Y^{T \wedge X} \rangle \\ & \searrow \langle \square^X \rangle & \downarrow \langle Y^k \rangle \\ & & \langle Y^{T \times X} \rangle, \end{array}$$

where $k : T \times X \rightarrow T \wedge X$ is the projection. By definition,

$$\langle (Y^X)^T \rangle_X^{(s)} = \langle \square^X \rangle^{-1}(\langle Y^{T \times X} \rangle^{(s)}).$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$\langle Y^{T \wedge X} \rangle^{(s)} = \langle Y^k \rangle^{-1}(\langle Y^{T \times X} \rangle^{(s)}).$$

The desired equality follows. \square

§ 4. Primitive transforms

Let Z and \widetilde{Z} be spaces and $g : Z \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}$ be a map. For a compact cellular space T , we have the map $g^T : Z^T \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}^T$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let E be a nonempty finite set. Consider the homomorphism*

$$\langle g^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle : \langle Z^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \widetilde{Z}^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle.$$

Then, for any fissile ensemble $S \in \langle Z^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$, the ensemble $\langle g^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle(S)$ is fissile.

Proof. Take $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(S)_{F \in A} & \xrightarrow{(1)} & (\langle g^{(\Delta E)+} \rangle(S))_{F \in A} & & \\
\downarrow (2) & \prod_{F \in A} \langle Z^{(\Delta E)+} \rangle \xrightarrow{\prod_{F \in A} \langle g^{(\Delta E)+} \rangle} \prod_{F \in A} \langle \tilde{Z}^{(\Delta E)+} \rangle & \downarrow (3) & & \\
(S|_{(\Delta F)+})_{F \in A} & \prod_{F \in A} \langle Z^{(\Delta F)+} \rangle \xrightarrow{\prod_{F \in A} \langle g^{(\Delta F)+} \rangle} \prod_{F \in A} \langle \tilde{Z}^{(\Delta F)+} \rangle & (\langle g^{(\Delta E)+} \rangle(S)|_{(\Delta F)+})_{F \in A} & & \\
\downarrow (4) & \prod_{F \in A} \langle Z^{\Delta[A]+} \rangle \xrightarrow{\langle g^{\Delta[A]+} \rangle} \prod_{F \in A} \langle \tilde{Z}^{\Delta[A]+} \rangle & \downarrow (5) & & \\
S|_{\Delta[A]+} & \langle Z^{\Delta[A]+} \rangle \xrightarrow{\langle g^{\Delta[A]+} \rangle} \langle \tilde{Z}^{\Delta[A]+} \rangle & \prod_{F \in A} \langle g^{(\Delta E)+} \rangle(S)|_{(\Delta F)+} & & \\
\uparrow (6) & \langle Z^{(\Delta E)+} \rangle \xrightarrow{\langle g^{(\Delta E)+} \rangle} \langle \tilde{Z}^{(\Delta E)+} \rangle & \uparrow (7) & & \\
S & \xrightarrow{(8)} & \langle g^{(\Delta E)+} \rangle(S) & &
\end{array}$$

The sending (4) is fissility of S . The sendings (1), (2), (3), (5), (6), and (8) are obvious. The sending (7) follows. It is fissility of $\langle g^{(\Delta E)+} \rangle(S)$. \square

Primitive case. Let X, Y, \tilde{X} , and \tilde{Y} be cellular spaces, X and \tilde{X} compact, and $g : Y^X \rightarrow \tilde{Y}^{\tilde{X}}$ be an unbased map (a *transform*). We suppose that the transform g is *primitive*: for each point $w \in \tilde{X}$, there is a point $k(w) \in X$ and an unbased map $h^w : Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ such that

$$g(d)(w) = h^w(d(k(w))), \quad d \in Y^X.$$

For a map $a : X \rightarrow Y$, we have the map $g : (Y^X, a) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}^{\tilde{X}}, g(a))$.

Lemma 4.2. *For a map $a : X \rightarrow Y$ and a compact cellular space T , the homomorphism $\langle g^T \rangle$ takes $\langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle_X^{(s)}$ to $\langle (\tilde{Y}^{\tilde{X}}, g(a))^T \rangle_{\tilde{X}}^{(s)}$.*

Proof. We may assume that $k(\natural_{\tilde{X}}) = \natural_X$ and $h^{\natural_{\tilde{X}}}(\natural_Y) = \natural_{\tilde{Y}}$. We have the function

$$K = \text{id} \times k : T \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow T \times X.$$

For $Q \in \mathcal{F}_{s-1}(T \times \tilde{X})$, we have $K(Q) \in \mathcal{F}_{s-1}(T \times X)$. We have the function

$$H : Y^{K(Q)} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}^Q, \quad H(u)(t, w) = h^w(u(K(t, w))), \quad (t, w) \in Q, \quad u \in Y^{K(Q)},$$

and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle \square^X \rangle} & \langle Y^{T \times X} \rangle & \xrightarrow{?|_{K(Q)}} & \langle Y^{K(Q)} \rangle \\
\downarrow \langle g^T \rangle & & & & \downarrow \langle H \rangle \\
\langle (\tilde{Y}^{\tilde{X}}, g(a))^T \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle \square^{\tilde{X}} \rangle} & \langle \tilde{Y}^{T \times \tilde{X}} \rangle & \xrightarrow{?|_Q} & \langle \tilde{Y}^Q \rangle.
\end{array}$$

By the definition of $\langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle_X^{(s)}$, it goes to zero under the composition in the upper row. Thus its image under $\langle g^T \rangle$ goes to zero under the composition in the lower row. Since Q was taken arbitrarily, this image is contained in $\langle (\tilde{Y}^{\tilde{X}}, g(a))^T \rangle_{\tilde{X}}^{(s)}$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $a, b : X \rightarrow Y$ be maps such that $a \stackrel{r}{\approx} b$. Then $g(a) \stackrel{r}{\approx} g(b)$.*

Proof. Take a finite set E . We have a fissile ensemble $S \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$ such that

$$\langle \theta_a^{\Delta E}(b) \rangle - S \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle_X^{(r+1)}.$$

Consider the homomorphism

$$\langle g^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle : \langle (Y^X, a)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle \rightarrow \langle (\tilde{Y}^{\tilde{X}}, g(a))^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle.$$

Since

$$\theta_{g(a)}^{\Delta E}(g(b)) = g^{(\Delta E)_+}(\theta_a^{\Delta E}(b)),$$

we have

$$\langle \theta_{g(a)}^{\Delta E}(g(b)) \rangle - \langle g^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle(S) = \langle g^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle(\langle \theta_a^{\Delta E}(b) \rangle - S),$$

which belongs to $\langle (\tilde{Y}^{\tilde{X}}, g(a))^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle_{\tilde{X}}^{(r+1)}$ by Lemma 4.2. By Lemma 4.1, the ensemble $\langle g^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle(S)$ is fissile. Since g is continuous, it takes Y_a^X to $\tilde{Y}_{g(a)}^{\tilde{X}}$. Thus

$$\langle g^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle(S) \in \langle (\tilde{Y}_{g(a)}^{\tilde{X}})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle.$$

We are done. \square

§ 5. Compositions and smash products

Compositions. Let X, Y, \tilde{X} , and \tilde{Y} be cellular spaces, X and \tilde{X} compact.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $k : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $h : Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ be maps and $a, b : X \rightarrow Y$ be maps such that $a \stackrel{r}{\approx} b$. Then $a \circ k \stackrel{r}{\approx} b \circ k$ in $Y^{\tilde{X}}$ and $h \circ a \stackrel{r}{\approx} h \circ b$ in \tilde{Y}^X .*

Proof. The transforms

$$Y^X \rightarrow Y^{\tilde{X}}, \quad d \mapsto d \circ k,$$

and

$$Y^X \rightarrow \tilde{Y}^X, \quad d \mapsto h \circ d,$$

are primitive. By Lemma 4.3, they preserve strong r -similarity. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let $k : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $h : Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ be maps and $a : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map such that $\lrcorner \stackrel{r}{\approx} a$. Then $\lrcorner \stackrel{r}{\approx} a \circ k$ in $Y^{\tilde{X}}$ and $\lrcorner \stackrel{r}{\approx} h \circ a$ in \tilde{Y}^X .*

Follows from Corollary 5.1.

Smash products. Let X , Y , and T be cellular spaces, X and T compact.

Corollary 5.3. *Let $a, b : X \rightarrow Y$ be maps such that $a \overset{r}{\approx} b$. Then the maps*

$$a \wedge \text{id}_T, b \wedge \text{id}_T : X \wedge T \rightarrow Y \wedge T$$

satisfy $a \wedge \text{id}_T \overset{r}{\approx} b \wedge \text{id}_T$.

Proof. The transform

$$Y^X \rightarrow (Y \wedge T)^{X \wedge T}, \quad d \mapsto d \wedge \text{id}_T,$$

is primitive. By Lemma 4.3, it preserves strong r -similarity. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Let $a : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map such that $\lrcorner \overset{r}{\approx} a$. Then the map*

$$a \wedge \text{id}_T : X \wedge T \rightarrow Y \wedge T$$

satisfies $\lrcorner \overset{r}{\approx} a \wedge \text{id}_T$.

Follows from Corollary 5.3.

§ 6. Homotopy invariance

Let X and Y be cellular spaces, X compact.

Lemma 6.1. *Let maps $a, b, \tilde{a} : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfy*

$$\tilde{a} \sim a \overset{r}{\approx} b.$$

Then $\tilde{a} \overset{r}{\approx} b$.

Proof. By definition, the relation $\overset{r}{\approx}$ tolerates homotopy of its left argument. In detail. For an unbased space U , we have the bijection

$$e^U : (Y^X, a)^{U+} \rightarrow (Y^X, \tilde{a})^{U+}, \quad e^U(v) = |_U v, \quad e^U(v)(\lrcorner) = \tilde{a}.$$

Clearly,

$$e^U(\theta_a^U(d)) = \theta_{\tilde{a}}^U(d), \quad d \in Y^X. \quad (3)$$

Since $a \sim \tilde{a}$, e^U takes $(Y_a^X)^{U+}$ to $(Y_{\tilde{a}}^X)^{U+}$.

The homomorphism

$$\langle e^U \rangle : \langle (Y^X, a)^{U+} \rangle \rightarrow \langle (Y^X, \tilde{a})^{U+} \rangle$$

takes $\langle (Y^X, a)^{U+} \rangle_X^{(s)}$ to $\langle (Y^X, \tilde{a})^{U+} \rangle_X^{(s)}$. Indeed, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle (Y^X, a)^{U+} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle e^U \rangle} & \langle (Y^X, \tilde{a})^{U+} \rangle \\ \langle \square^X \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \langle \square^X \rangle \\ \langle Y^{U+ \times X} \rangle & \xrightarrow{? \# \langle \tilde{a} \rangle} \langle Y^{(U+ \times X) \vee X} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle Y^k \rangle} \langle Y^{U+ \times X} \rangle, \end{array}$$

where $k : U_+ \times X \rightarrow (U_+ \times X) \vee X$ is given by the rules

$$k(u, x) = \text{in}_1(u, x), \quad k(\lrcorner, x) = \text{in}_2(x), \quad u \in U, \quad x \in X.$$

In the lower row, $\langle Y^{U_+ \times X} \rangle^{(s)}$ goes to $\langle Y^{(U_+ \times X) \vee X} \rangle^{(s)}$ by [3, Lemma 3.1] and then to $\langle Y^{U_+ \times X} \rangle^{(s)}$ by [3, Lemma 2.1]. This suffices by the definition of $\langle (Y^X, a)^{U_+} \rangle_X^{(s)}$ and $\langle (Y^X, \tilde{a})^{U_+} \rangle_X^{(s)}$.

Take a nonempty finite set E . For $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$ and a collection $S_F \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{(\Delta F)_+} \rangle$, $F \in A$, we have

$$\langle e^{\Delta[A]} \rangle \left(\prod_{F \in A} S_F \right) = \prod_{F \in A} e^{\Delta F}(S_F) \quad (4)$$

in $\langle (Y^X, \tilde{a})^{\Delta[F]_+} \rangle$. We have a fissile ensemble $S \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$ such that

$$\langle \theta_a^{\Delta E}(b) \rangle - S \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle^{(r+1)}. \quad (5)$$

We get the ensemble $\langle e^{\Delta E} \rangle(S) \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$, which is fissile. Indeed, for $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, we have

$$\langle e^{\Delta E} \rangle(S)|_{\Delta[A]_+} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \langle e^{\Delta[A]} \rangle(S|_{\Delta[A]_+}) =$$

(since S is fissile)

$$= \langle e^{\Delta[A]} \rangle \left(\prod_{F \in A} S|_{(\Delta F)_+} \right) =$$

(by (4))

$$= \prod_{F \in A} \langle e^{\Delta F} \rangle(S|_{(\Delta F)_+}) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \prod_{F \in A} \langle e^{\Delta E} \rangle(S)|_{(\Delta F)_+}$$

(the equalities $(*)$ hold by naturality of e^U with respect to U). We have

$$\langle \theta_a^{\Delta E}(b) \rangle - \langle e^{\Delta E} \rangle(S) = (\text{by (3)}) = \langle e^{\Delta E} \rangle(\langle \theta_a^{\Delta E}(b) \rangle - S) \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle^{(r+1)},$$

where \in follows from (5) because $\langle e^{\Delta E} \rangle$ preserves the filtration. Thus $\tilde{a} \stackrel{r}{\approx} b$. \square

Theorem 6.2. *Let maps $a, b, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfy*

$$\tilde{a} \sim a \stackrel{r}{\approx} b \sim \tilde{b}.$$

Then $\tilde{a} \stackrel{r}{\approx} \tilde{b}$.

Proof. We crop Y and assume it compact. By [2, Corollary 4.2], we can continuously associate to each path $v : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ an unbased homotopy $E_t(v) : Y \rightarrow Y$, $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $E_0(v) = \text{id}$ and $E_t(v)(v(0)) = v(t)$. Let $h_t : X \rightarrow Y$, $t \in [0, 1]$, be a homotopy such that $h_0 = b$ and $h_1 = \tilde{b}$. For $x \in X$, introduce the path $v_x = h_t(x) : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$. We have $v_x(0) = h_0(x) = b(x)$ and $v_x(1) = h_1(x) = \tilde{b}(x)$. Introduce the homotopy

$$H_t : X \times Y \rightarrow Y, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad H_t(x, y) = E_t(v_x)(y).$$

We have

$$H_0(x, y) = E_0(v_x)(y) = y$$

and

$$H_1(x, b(x)) = E_1(v_x)(b(x)) = E_1(v_x)(v_x(0)) = v_x(1) = \tilde{b}(x).$$

Consider the primitive transforms

$$g_t : Y^X \rightarrow Y^X, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad g_t(d)(x) = H_t(x, d(x)).$$

We have $d = g_0(d) \sim g_1(d)$, $d \in Y^X$, and $g_1(b) = \tilde{b}$.

We have

$$\tilde{a} \sim a \sim g_1(a) \stackrel{r}{\approx} g_1(b) = \tilde{b},$$

where $\stackrel{r}{\approx}$ holds by Lemma 4.3. By Lemma 6.1, $\tilde{a} \stackrel{r}{\approx} \tilde{b}$. \square

Using Theorem 6.2, we define the relation of strong r -similarity on the set $[X, Y]$ by the rule

$$[a] \stackrel{r}{\approx} [b] \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a \stackrel{r}{\approx} b.$$

§ 7. Joining ensembles

Let X_1, X_2, Y , and T be spaces, X_i and T compact. Consider the \mathbb{Z} -bilinear operation

$$\begin{aligned} \#_T : \langle (Y^{X_1})^T \rangle \times \langle (Y^{X_2})^T \rangle &\rightarrow \langle (Y^{X_1 \vee X_2})^T \rangle, & \langle v_1 \rangle \#_T \langle v_2 \rangle &= \langle v \rangle, \\ v(t) &= v_1(t) \overline{\vee} v_2(t) : X_1 \vee X_2 \rightarrow Y, & t &\in T. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.1. *Let E be a finite set and $S_i \in \langle (Y^{X_i})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$, $i = 1, 2$, be fissile ensembles. Then the ensemble*

$$S_1 \#_{(\Delta E)_+} S_2 \in \langle (Y^{X_1 \vee X_2})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$$

is fissile.

Proof. Take $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{F \in A} \langle (Y^{X_1})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle \times \langle (Y^{X_2})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\prod_{F \in A} \#_{(\Delta E)_+}} & \prod_{F \in A} \langle (Y^{X_1 \vee X_2})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle \\ \downarrow \prod_{F \in A} (?|_{(\Delta F)_+} \times ?|_{(\Delta F)_+}) & & \downarrow \prod_{F \in A} ?|_{(\Delta F)_+} \\ \prod_{F \in A} \langle (Y^{X_1})^{(\Delta F)_+} \rangle \times \langle (Y^{X_2})^{(\Delta F)_+} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\prod_{F \in A} \#_{(\Delta F)_+}} & \prod_{F \in A} \langle (Y^{X_1 \vee X_2})^{(\Delta F)_+} \rangle \\ \downarrow \prod_{F \in A} \#_{F \in A} \times \prod_{F \in A} \#_{F \in A} & & \downarrow \prod_{F \in A} \#_{F \in A} \\ \langle (Y^{X_1})^{\Delta[A]_+} \rangle \times \langle (Y^{X_2})^{\Delta[F]_+} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\#_{\Delta[A]_+}} & \langle (Y^{X_1 \vee X_2})^{\Delta[A]_+} \rangle \\ \uparrow ?|_{\Delta[A]_+} \times ?|_{\Delta[A]_+} & & \uparrow ?|_{\Delta[A]_+} \\ \langle (Y^{X_1})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle \times \langle (Y^{X_2})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\#_{(\Delta E)_+}} & \langle (Y^{X_1 \vee X_2})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle \end{array}$$

with the sendings

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
((S_1, S_2))_{F \in A} & \xrightarrow{(1)} & (S_1 \#_{(\Delta E)_+} S_2)_{F \in A} \\
(2) \downarrow & & \downarrow (3) \\
((S_1|_{(\Delta F)_+}, S_2|_{(\Delta F)_+}))_{F \in A} & & ((S_1 \#_{(\Delta E)_+} S_2)|_{(\Delta F)_+})_{F \in A} \\
(4) \downarrow & & \downarrow (5) \\
(S_1|_{\Delta[A]_+}, S_2|_{\Delta[A]_+}) & & \#_{F \in A} (S_1 \#_{(\Delta E)_+} S_2)|_{(\Delta F)_+} \\
(6) \uparrow & & \uparrow (7) \\
(S_1, S_2) & \xrightarrow{(8)} & S_1 \#_{(\Delta E)_+} S_2.
\end{array}$$

The sending (4) holds by fissility of S_1 and S_2 . The sendings (1), (2), (3), (5), (6), and (8) are obvious. The sending (7) follows. Thus $S_1 \#_{(\Delta E)_+} S_2$ is fissile. \square

Lemma 7.2. *We have*

$$\langle (Y^{X_1})^T \rangle_{X_1}^{(p)} \#_T \langle (Y^{X_2})^T \rangle_{X_2}^{(q)} \subseteq \langle (Y^{X_1 \vee X_2})^T \rangle_{X_1 \vee X_2}^{(p+q)}.$$

Proof. Take ensembles

$$Z_1 \in \langle (Y^{X_1})^T \rangle_{X_1}^{(p)}, \quad Z_2 \in \langle (Y^{X_2})^T \rangle_{X_2}^{(q)}.$$

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle (Y^{X_1})^T \rangle \times \langle (Y^{X_2})^T \rangle & \xrightarrow{\#_T} & \langle (Y^{X_1 \vee X_2})^T \rangle \\
\downarrow \langle \hat{\square}^{X_1} \rangle \times \langle \hat{\square}^{X_2} \rangle & & \downarrow \langle \hat{\square}^{X_1 \vee X_2} \rangle \\
\langle Y^{T \wedge X_1} \rangle \times \langle Y^{T \wedge X_2} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\#} & \langle Y^{(T \wedge X_1) \vee (T \wedge X_2)} \rangle
\end{array}$$

(we used distributivity of smash product over wedge) and the sendings

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(Z_1, Z_2) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Z_1 \#_T Z_2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\langle \hat{\square}^{X_1} \rangle(Z_1), \langle \hat{\square}^{X_2} \rangle(Z_2)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \langle \hat{\square}^{X_1 \vee X_2} \rangle(Z_1 \#_T Z_2).
\end{array}$$

By Lemma 3.3,

$$(\langle \hat{\square}^{X_1} \rangle(Z_1), \langle \hat{\square}^{X_2} \rangle(Z_2)) \in \langle Y^{T \wedge X_1} \rangle^{(p)} \times \langle Y^{T \wedge X_2} \rangle^{(q)}.$$

Thus, by [3, Lemma 3.1],

$$\langle \hat{\square}^{X_1 \vee X_2} \rangle(Z_1 \#_T Z_2) \in \langle Y^{(T \wedge X_1) \vee (T \wedge X_2)} \rangle^{(p+q)}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.3,

$$Z_1 \#_T Z_2 \in \langle (Y^{X_1 \vee X_2})^T \rangle^{(p+q)}.$$

\square

Corollary 7.3. *Let maps $a_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy $\lrcorner \overset{r}{\approx} a_i$. Then the map*

$$a_1 \overline{\vee} a_2 : X_1 \vee X_2 \rightarrow Y$$

satisfies $\lrcorner \overset{r}{\approx} a_1 \overline{\vee} a_2$.

Proof. Take a finite set E . We have fissile ensembles $S_i \in \langle (Y_{\lrcorner}^{X_i})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$, $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$\langle \theta_{\lrcorner}^{\Delta E}(a_i) \rangle - S_i \in \langle (Y^{X_i})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle_{X_i}^{(r+1)}.$$

By Lemma 7.1, the ensemble

$$S_1 \#_{(\Delta E)_+} S_2 \in \langle (Y_{\lrcorner}^{X_1 \vee X_2})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$$

is fissile. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta_{\lrcorner}^{\Delta E}(a_1 \overline{\vee} a_2) \rangle - S_1 \#_{(\Delta E)_+} S_2 &= \\ &= \langle \theta_{\lrcorner}^{\Delta E}(a_1) \rangle \#_{(\Delta E)_+} \langle \theta_{\lrcorner}^{\Delta E}(a_2) \rangle - S_1 \#_{(\Delta E)_+} S_2 = \\ &= (\langle \theta_{\lrcorner}^{\Delta E}(a_1) \rangle - S_1) \#_{(\Delta E)_+} \langle \theta_{\lrcorner}^{\Delta E}(a_2) \rangle + \\ &\quad + S_1 \#_{(\Delta E)_+} (\langle \theta_{\lrcorner}^{\Delta E}(a_2) \rangle - S_2) \in \langle (Y^{X_1 \vee X_2})^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle_{X_1 \vee X_2}^{(r+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where \in holds by Lemma 7.2. We are done. \square

§ 8. Strong similarity for an admissible couple

Let X and Y be cellular spaces, X compact. Let X be equipped with maps $\mu : X \rightarrow X \vee X$ (comultiplication) and $\nu : X \rightarrow X$ (coinversion). The set Y^X carries the operations

$$(a, b) \mapsto (a * b : X \xrightarrow{\mu} X \vee X \xrightarrow{a \overline{\vee} b} Y)$$

and

$$a \mapsto (a^\dagger : X \xrightarrow{\nu} X \xrightarrow{a} Y).$$

We suppose that $(X, \mu, \nu; Y)$ is an admissible couple in the sense of [3], that is, the set $[X, Y]$ is a group with the multiplication

$$[a][b] = [a * b],$$

the inversion

$$[a]^{-1} = [a^\dagger],$$

and the identity $1 = [\lrcorner_Y^X]$. We are mainly interested in the case of $X = \Sigma T$ with standard μ and ν .

We proceed parallelly to [3]. The subsets

$$[X, Y]^{((r+1))} = \{ \mathbf{a} \in [X, Y] \mid 1 \overset{r}{\approx} \mathbf{a} \}$$

form the filtration

$$[X, Y] = [X, Y]^{((1))} \supseteq [X, Y]^{((2))} \supseteq \dots$$

Theorem 8.1. $[X, Y]^{((r+1))} \subseteq [X, Y]$ is a normal subgroup.

Proof. Take $a, b : X \rightarrow Y$, $\lrcorner \stackrel{r}{\approx} a, b$. Check that $\lrcorner \stackrel{r}{\approx} a * b$. We have the decomposition

$$a * b : X \xrightarrow{\mu} X \vee X \xrightarrow{a \vee b} Y.$$

By Corollary 7.3, $\lrcorner_Y^{X \vee X} \stackrel{r}{\approx} a \vee b$. By Corollary 5.2, $\lrcorner \stackrel{r}{\approx} a * b$.

Take $a : X \rightarrow Y$, $\lrcorner \stackrel{r}{\approx} a$. Check that $\lrcorner \stackrel{r}{\approx} a^\dagger$. We have the decomposition

$$a^\dagger : X \xrightarrow{\nu} X \xrightarrow{a} Y.$$

By Corollary 5.2, $\lrcorner \stackrel{r}{\approx} a^\dagger$.

Take $a, b : X \rightarrow Y$, $\lrcorner \stackrel{r}{\approx} a$. Check that $\lrcorner \stackrel{r}{\approx} b^\dagger * (a * b)$. Consider the primitive transform

$$Y^X \rightarrow Y^X, \quad d \mapsto b^\dagger * (d * b).$$

We have

$$\lrcorner_Y^X \sim b^\dagger * (\lrcorner_Y^X * b) \stackrel{r}{\approx} b^\dagger * (a * b),$$

where $\stackrel{r}{\approx}$ holds by Lemma 4.3. By Lemma 6.1, $\lrcorner \stackrel{r}{\approx} b^\dagger * (a * b)$. \square

We do not know whether the subgroups $[X, Y]^{((s))}$ form an N-series.

Theorem 8.2. For $a, b \in [X, Y]$, we have

$$a \stackrel{r}{\approx} b \iff a^{-1}b \in [X, Y]^{((r+1))}.$$

Proof. It suffices to check that, for maps $a, b, c : X \rightarrow Y$, $a \stackrel{r}{\approx} b$ implies $c * a \stackrel{r}{\approx} c * b$. This follows from Lemma 4.3 for the primitive transform

$$Y^X \rightarrow Y^X, \quad d \mapsto c * d. \quad \square$$

It follows from Theorems 8.1 and 8.2 that, for an admissible couple $(X, \mu, \nu; Y)$, the relation $\stackrel{r}{\approx}$ on $[X, Y]$ is an equivalence.

§ 9. Presheaves and extenders

Let P be a finite partially ordered set and C be a concrete category. (Concreteness is not essential; we assume it for convenience of notation only.) A cofunctor $S : P \rightarrow C$ is called a *presheaf*. For $p, q \in P$, $p \geq q$, we have the induced morphism

$$?|_q : S(p) \rightarrow S(q)$$

(the *restriction* morphism).

For a presheaf $U : P \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, we have the isomorphism

$$\nabla_P : \bigoplus_{p \in P} U(p) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p \in P} U(p), \quad \text{in}_p(u) \mapsto \sum_{q \in P[p]} \text{in}_q(u|_q), \quad u \in U(p), \quad p \in P.$$

Hereafter,

$$P[p] = \{q \in P \mid p \geq q\}$$

and

$$\text{in}_q : U(q) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p \in P} U(p)$$

are the canonical insertions.

Suppose that P has the infimum operation \wedge and the greatest element \top . It follows that P is a lattice. We put $P^\times = P \setminus \{\top\}$. An *extender* λ for the preasheaf S is a collection of morphisms

$$\lambda_p^q : S(q) \rightarrow S(p), \quad p, q \in P, \ p \geq q,$$

such that, for $p, q \in P$ and $s \in S(q)$,

$$\lambda_p^q(s)|_q = s \quad \text{if } p \geq q$$

and

$$\lambda_\top^q(s)|_p = \lambda_p^{p \wedge q}(s|_{p \wedge q}).$$

In particular,

$$\lambda_p^q(s) = \lambda_\top^q(s)|_p.$$

(The extenders we deal with satisfy the identity $\lambda_p^q \circ \lambda_q^r = \lambda_p^r$. We neither check nor use this.)

Consider a preasheaf $U : P \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ with an extender λ . The symbol $\overline{\bigoplus}$ below denotes the homomorphism of a direct sum given by its restrictions to the summands.

Lemma 9.1. *For $q \in P$, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bigoplus_{p \in P} U(p) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\nabla_P} & \bigoplus_{p \in P} U(p) & \xrightarrow[\bigoplus_{p \in P} \lambda_\top^p]{\overline{\bigoplus}} & U(\top) \\ \text{pr} \downarrow & & R_q \downarrow & & \downarrow ?|_q \\ \bigoplus_{p \in P[q]} U(p) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\nabla_{P[q]}} & \bigoplus_{p \in P[q]} U(p) & \xrightarrow[\bigoplus_{p \in P[q]} \lambda_q^p]{\overline{\bigoplus}} & U(q), \end{array}$$

where R_q is the homomorphism defined by the rule

$$\text{in}_p(u) \mapsto \text{in}_{p \wedge q}(u|_{p \wedge q}),$$

is commutative.

Direct check. □

Lemma 9.2. *The homomorphism*

$$U(\top) \rightarrow \lim_{p \in P^\times} U(p), \quad u \mapsto (u|_p)_{p \in P^\times},$$

is surjective.

Proof. Take a collection

$$(u_p)_{p \in P^\times} \in \lim_{p \in P^\times} U(p) \subseteq \bigoplus_{p \in P^\times} U(p).$$

Define a collection $(v_p)_{p \in P^\times}$ and a section u by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{p \in P^\times} U(p) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\nabla_{P^\times}} & \bigoplus_{p \in P^\times} U(p) \xrightarrow[\lambda_\top^p]{\bigoplus_{p \in P^\times}} U(\top). \\ (u_p)_{p \in P^\times} & \longleftarrow & (v_p)_{p \in P^\times} \longrightarrow u \end{array}$$

Take $q \in P^\times$. We show that $u|_q = u_q$, which will suffice. In the diagram of Lemma 9.1, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (u_p)_{p \in P} & \xleftarrow{(1)} & (v_p)_{p \in P} & \xrightarrow{(2)} & u \\ (3) \downarrow & & (4) \downarrow & & \downarrow (5) \\ (u_p)_{p \in P[q]} & \xleftarrow{(6)} & \text{in}_q(u_q) & \xrightarrow{(7)} & u_q, \end{array}$$

where we put $u_\top = v_\top = 0$ in $U(\top)$. The sendings (1) and (2) follow from the construction of the collections. The sending (6) expresses the equalities $u_q|_p = u_p$, $p \in P[q]$, which hold by the definition of limit. The sending (3) is obvious. The sending (4) follows because the left square is commutative and $\nabla_{P[q]}$ is injective. The sending (7) is the equality $\lambda_q^q = \text{id}$, which follows from the definition of extender. By commutativity of the right square, the sending (5) holds, which is what was to be checked. \square

§ 10. The abstract fissilizer Φ^E on $\langle \underline{M}(E) \rangle$

Fix a nonempty finite set E . The set $\mathcal{P}_\times(E)$ is partially ordered by inclusion.

For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, we say $A \geq B$ if, for each $G \in B$, there is $F \in A$ such that $F \supseteq G$. Such an F is unique; we denote it by $(A)G$. The set $\mathcal{A}(E)$ becomes a lattice with the infimum operation

$$A \wedge B = \{ F \cap G \mid F \in A, G \in B \} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$$

and the greatest element $\top = \{E\}$.

Let \mathbf{Mg} be the category of sets and $M : \mathcal{P}_\times(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mg}$ be a presheaf. We define a presheaf $\underline{M} : \mathcal{A}(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mg}$. For $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, put

$$\underline{M}(A) = \prod_{F \in A} M(F).$$

For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, $A \geq B$, define the restriction function

$$\underline{M}(A) \rightarrow \underline{M}(B), \quad \underline{m} \mapsto \underline{m}|_B,$$

by putting, for $\underline{m} = (m_F)_{F \in A}$,

$$\underline{m}|_B = (m_{(A)G}|_G)_{G \in B}.$$

Clearly, $\underline{M}(\{E\}) = M(E)$.

Taking composition with the functor $\langle ? \rangle : \mathbf{Mg} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, we get the presheaves

$$\mathcal{P}_\times(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}, \quad F \mapsto \langle M(F) \rangle,$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}, \quad A \mapsto \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle. \quad (6)$$

For $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, we have the \mathbb{Z} -multilinear operation

$$\amalg_{F \in A} : \prod_{F \in A} \langle M(F) \rangle \rightarrow \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle, \quad \amalg_{F \in A} \langle m_F \rangle = \langle (m_F)_{F \in A} \rangle \quad (7)$$

(cf. § 2). For $Q \in \langle M(E) \rangle$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, put

$$Q^\#(A) = \amalg_{F \in A} Q|_F \in \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle.$$

We call an ensemble $R \in \langle M(E) \rangle$ *fissile* if, for any layout $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$,

$$R|_A = R^\#(A)$$

in $\langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle$.

We suppose that the presheaf \underline{M} has an extender

$$\lambda_A^B : \underline{M}(B) \rightarrow \underline{M}(A), \quad A, B \in \mathcal{A}(E), \quad A \geq B.$$

Then the preasheaf (6) has the extender

$$\langle \lambda_A^B \rangle : \langle \underline{M}(B) \rangle \rightarrow \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{A}(E), \quad A \geq B.$$

For $Q \in \langle M(E) \rangle$, define an ensemble $\Phi^E(Q) \in \langle M(E) \rangle$ by the rule

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{A \in \mathcal{A}(E)} \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\nabla_{\mathcal{A}(E)}} & \bigoplus_{A \in \mathcal{A}(E)} \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle \xrightarrow[\bigoplus_{A \in \mathcal{A}(E)} \langle \lambda_{\{E\}}^A \rangle]{} \langle M(E) \rangle. \\ Q^\# & \xleftarrow{\quad} \nabla_{\mathcal{A}(E)}^{-1}(Q^\#) \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Phi^E(Q) \end{array}$$

We get a function (not a homomorphism)

$$\Phi^E : \langle M(E) \rangle \rightarrow \langle M(E) \rangle,$$

which we call the *fissilizer*.

Lemma 10.1. *For any ensemble $Q \in \langle M(E) \rangle$, the ensemble $\Phi^E(Q)$ is fissile.*

Proof. Take $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Q^\# & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \vdash \nabla_{\mathcal{A}(E)}^{-1}(Q^\#) \vdash & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Phi^E(Q) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}(E)} \langle \underline{M}(a) \rangle & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\nabla_{\mathcal{A}(E)}} & \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}(E)} \langle \underline{M}(a) \rangle & \xrightarrow[\overline{\bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}(E)} \langle \lambda_{\{E\}}^a \rangle}]{\quad} & \langle M(E) \rangle \\
\text{pr} \downarrow & & R_A \downarrow & & \downarrow ?|_A \\
\bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}(E)[A]} \langle \underline{M}(a) \rangle & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\nabla_{\mathcal{A}(E)[A]}} & \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}(E)[A]} \langle \underline{M}(a) \rangle & \xrightarrow[\overline{\bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}(E)[A]} \langle \lambda_A^a \rangle}]{\quad} & \langle M(A) \rangle \\
J_A \downarrow \cong & & J_A \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow I_A \\
\bigotimes_{F \in A} \left(\bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{A}(F)} \langle \underline{M}(b) \rangle \right) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\bigotimes_{F \in A} \nabla_{\mathcal{A}(F)}} & \bigotimes_{F \in A} \left(\bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{A}(F)} \langle \underline{M}(b) \rangle \right) & \xrightarrow[\bigotimes_{F \in A} \left(\overline{\bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{A}(F)} \langle \lambda_{\{F\}}^b \rangle} \right)]{\quad} & \bigotimes_{F \in A} \langle M(F) \rangle \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigotimes_{F \in A} Q|_F^\# & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \vdash \bigotimes_{F \in A} \nabla_{\mathcal{A}(F)}^{-1}(Q|_F^\#) \vdash & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bigotimes_{F \in A} \Phi^F(Q|_F)
\end{array}$$

where the upper half comes from Lemma 9.1, I_A is the isomorphism defined by the rule

$$\langle (m_F)_{F \in A} \rangle \mapsto \bigotimes_{F \in A} \langle m_F \rangle,$$

and J_A is the isomorphism defined by the rule

$$\text{in}_a(\langle \underline{m} \rangle) \mapsto \bigotimes_{F \in A} \text{in}_{a \wedge \{F\}}(\langle \underline{m}|_{a \wedge \{F\}} \rangle)$$

(note that $a \wedge \{F\} \in \mathcal{A}(F) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(E)$). Commutativity of the lower half is checked directly. The sendings in the upper row hold by the definition of Φ^E . The sendings in the lower row hold by the definition of $\Phi^F : \langle M(F) \rangle \rightarrow \langle M(F) \rangle$. The sending in the left column is checked directly. The sending in the right column follows. Since

$$I_A : \prod_{F \in A} q_F \mapsto \bigotimes_{F \in A} q_F$$

for $q_F \in \langle M(F) \rangle$, $F \in A$, we get

$$\Phi^E(Q)|_A = \prod_{F \in A} \Phi^F(Q|_F).$$

In particular, for $A = \{F\}$, this gives

$$\Phi^E(Q)|_F = \Phi^F(Q|_F).$$

Thus, for arbitrary A ,

$$\Phi^E(Q)|_A = \prod_{F \in A} \Phi^E(Q)|_F.$$

Thus $\Phi^E(Q)$ is fissile. \square

Let $N(A) \subseteq \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle$, $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, be a collection of subgroups preserved by the restriction homomorphisms and the homomorphisms $\langle \lambda_A^B \rangle$.

Lemma 10.2. *Let an ensemble $Q \in \langle M(E) \rangle$ satisfy*

$$Q^\#(A) - Q|_A \in N(A)$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$. Then

$$\Phi^E(Q) - Q \in N(\{E\}).$$

Proof. We have the presheaf

$$\mathcal{A}(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}, \quad A \mapsto \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle / N(A),$$

with the induced restriction homomorphisms. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (Q|_A)_{A \in \mathcal{A}(E)} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \vdash \text{in}_{\{E\}}(Q) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q \\ Q^\# & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \vdash \nabla_{\mathcal{A}(E)}^{-1}(Q^\#) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Phi^E(Q) \\ \bigoplus_{A \in \mathcal{A}(E)} \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\nabla_{\mathcal{A}(E)}} & \bigoplus_{A \in \mathcal{A}(E)} \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle & \xrightarrow[\bigoplus_{A \in \mathcal{A}(E)} \langle \lambda_{\{E\}}^A \rangle]{} & \langle M(E) \rangle \\ \text{pr} \downarrow & & \text{pr} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr} \\ \bigoplus_{A \in \mathcal{A}(E)} \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle / N(A) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\nabla_{\mathcal{A}(E)}} & \bigoplus_{A \in \mathcal{A}(E)} \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle / N(A) & \longrightarrow & \langle M(E) \rangle / N(\{E\}). \end{array}$$

The upper line of sendings is obvious. The lower line of sendings holds by the definition of Φ^E . By hypothesis, the difference of the elements in the upper-left corner descends to zero. Since $\nabla_{\mathcal{A}(E)}$ in the lower row is an isomorphism, the difference of elements in the upper-right corner also descends to zero. \square

§ 11. Topological and simplicial constructions

Topological cones. Take $s \in \{0, 1\}$. Given an unpointed space U , form the space

$$C^s U = (U \times [0, 1]) / (U \times \{s\}),$$

the *cone* over U . The innate basepoint (where $U \times \{s\}$ is projected) is called the *apex*. Using the “base” embedding

$$U \xrightarrow{u \mapsto (u, 1-s)} U \times [0, 1] \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} C^s U,$$

we adopt the inclusion $U \subseteq C^s U$ and the based one $U_+ \subseteq C^s U$. A path of the form

$$[0, 1] \xrightarrow{t \mapsto (u, t)} U \times [0, 1] \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} C^s U$$

is called a *generating path*. For an unpointed subspace $V \subseteq U$, we have $\mathbf{C}^s V \subseteq \mathbf{C}^s U$.

Notation: $\check{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}^0$, $\hat{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}^1$.

Topological suspensions. For an unpointed space U , the *unreduced suspension* $\bar{\Sigma}U$ is the colimit of the diagram

$$\{0, 1\} \xleftarrow{\text{pr}} U \times \{0, 1\} \xrightarrow{\text{in}} U \times [0, 1].$$

Let $s_{\bar{\Sigma}U} \in \bar{\Sigma}U$ be the point coming from $s \in \{0, 1\}$. We appoint $0_{\bar{\Sigma}U}$ to be the basepoint of $\bar{\Sigma}U$.

We use also the usual reduced suspension Σ .

Unreduced Kan cones. Let Δ^n be “the n -simplex”, the simplicial set represented (as a cofunctor) by the object $[n]$ of the simplex category. Take $s \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $\delta^s : \Delta^0 \rightarrow \Delta^1$ be the morphism induced by the function $\delta^s : [0] \rightarrow [1]$, $0 \mapsto 1 - s$. Given a simplicial set \mathbf{U} , we define its *cone* $\mathbf{C}^s \mathbf{U}$. There is a unique (up to an isomorphism) Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{U} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} & \mathbf{C}^s \mathbf{U} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{p} \\ \Delta^0 & \xrightarrow{\delta^s} & \Delta^1 \end{array}$$

with the universal property expressed by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{U} & & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} & & \mathbf{C}^s \mathbf{U} \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \downarrow \mathbf{p} \\ & \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{B} & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \downarrow \mathbf{p} \\ \Delta^0 & & \xrightarrow{\delta^s} & & \Delta^1 \end{array},$$

where the lower trapeze is assumed to be Cartesian. The morphism $\delta^{1-s} : \Delta^0 \rightarrow \Delta^1$ lifts along \mathbf{p} uniquely. This yields a morphism $\Delta^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^s \mathbf{U}$, which makes $\mathbf{C}^s \mathbf{U}$ a pointed simplicial set. The basepoint is called the *apex*. The morphism \mathbf{i} is injective. Using it, we adopt the inclusion $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{C}^s \mathbf{U}$ and the based one $\mathbf{U}_+ \subseteq \mathbf{C}^s \mathbf{U}$. We call \mathbf{p} the *projection*.

All constructions are covariant/natural in \mathbf{U} . The functor \mathbf{C}^s preserves injective morphisms. Using this, we adopt the inclusion $\mathbf{C}^s \mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{C}^s \mathbf{U}$ for a simplicial subset $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{U}$.

Notation: $\check{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}^0$, $\hat{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}^1$.

There is a unique natural map $r : \mathbf{C}^s |\mathbf{U}| \rightarrow |\mathbf{C}^s \mathbf{U}|$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{C}^s |\mathbf{U}| & \\ \text{in} \nearrow & \downarrow r & \\ |\mathbf{U}| & \xrightarrow{\text{in } (= |\mathbf{i}|)} & |\mathbf{C}^s \mathbf{U}| \end{array}$$

is commutative and each generating path of $C^s|\mathbf{U}|$ is sent to an affine path in some simplex of $|\mathbf{C}^s\mathbf{U}|$. The map r is a homeomorphism. Using it, we adopt that $|\mathbf{C}^s\mathbf{U}| = C^s|\mathbf{U}|$.

Reduced Kan cone. For a pointed simplicial set \mathbf{T} , introduce the pointed simplicial set $\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{T} = \check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{T}/\check{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{I})$, where $(\mathfrak{I}) \subseteq \mathbf{T}$ is the simplicial subset generated by the basepoint $\mathfrak{I} \in \mathbf{T}_0$ (so, $(\mathfrak{I}) \cong \Delta^0$). We adopt the obvious inclusion $\mathbf{T} \subseteq \check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{T}$ and identification $\check{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{U}_+) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{U}$. $\check{\mathbf{C}}$ is a functor; it preserves wedges.

Unreduced Kan suspension. For a simplicial set \mathbf{U} , introduce the pointed simplicial set $\hat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{U} = \hat{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{U}$. It has two vertices: the top $1_{\hat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{U}}$, which is the image of the apex of the cone $\hat{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{U}$ under the projection $\hat{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{U}$, and the basepoint $0_{\hat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{U}}$ (where the base $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \hat{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{U}$ is sent). We have

$$|\hat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{U}| = |\hat{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{U}|/|\mathbf{U}| = \hat{\mathbf{C}}|\mathbf{U}|/|\mathbf{U}| = \bar{\Sigma}|\mathbf{U}|.$$

Thick simplex. For a set U , let $\mathbf{E}U$ be the simplicial set with $(\mathbf{E}U)_n = U^{[n]}$ ($= U^{n+1}$) and obvious structure functions.

For each $u \in U$, there is a unique retraction $\tilde{\sigma}_u : \check{\mathbf{C}}\hat{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{E}U \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{E}U$ sending the apex to the vertex $u \in U = (\mathbf{E}U)_0 \subseteq (\hat{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{E}U)_0$. Define retractions $\bar{\sigma}_u$ and σ_u by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \check{\mathbf{C}}\hat{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{E}U & \xrightarrow{\check{\mathbf{q}}} & \check{\mathbf{C}}\hat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{E}U & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}} & \check{\mathbf{C}}\hat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{E}U \\ \tilde{\sigma}_u \downarrow & & \bar{\sigma}_u \downarrow & \swarrow \sigma_u & \\ \hat{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{E}U & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{q}} & \hat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{E}U & & \end{array}$$

where \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{r} are projections. We call σ_u the *canonical contraction*.

Lemma 11.1. *Let $V \subseteq U$ be a subset. Then, for $u \in V$, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \check{\mathbf{C}}\hat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{E}V & \longrightarrow & \check{\mathbf{C}}\hat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{E}U \\ \sigma_u \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_u \\ \hat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{E}V & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{E}U, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are induced by the inclusion $V \rightarrow U$, is commutative. \square

If U is finite, let

$$\xi_U : |\mathbf{E}U| \rightarrow \Delta U \tag{8}$$

be the unbased map that sends, for each $u \in U$, the corresponding vertex $|u|$ of $|\mathbf{E}U|$ to the corresponding vertex $\langle u \rangle$ of ΔU and is affine on simplices. Hereafter, we put $\Delta \emptyset = \emptyset$.

Barycentric subdivision. Let K be an (abstract simplicial) complex. We order the set of simplices of K by reverse inclusion. Define the simplicial set βK as the nerve of this partially ordered set. For a subcomplex $L \subseteq K$, we have $\beta L \subseteq \beta K$.

There is a homeomorphism $|\beta K| \rightarrow |K|$ that sends the vertex of $|\beta K|$ corresponding to a simplex k of K to the barycentre of the simplex $|k| \subseteq |K|$ and takes each simplex of $|\beta K|$ to some simplex of $|K|$ affinely. Using it, we adopt that $|\beta K| = |K|$.

Canonical retractions. Given a complex K and a subcomplex $L \subseteq K$, we have $\check{\mathbf{C}}\beta L \subseteq \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta K$ and define the based morphism

$$\rho_L^K : \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta K \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta L$$

as the retraction that sends all vertices outside $\check{\mathbf{C}}\beta L$ to the apex of $\check{\mathbf{C}}\beta L$. We call ρ_L^K the *canonical retraction*.

Lemma 11.2. *For two subcomplexes $L, M \subseteq K$, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta L & \xrightarrow{\text{in}} & \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta K \\ \rho_{L \cap M}^L \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_M^K \\ \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta(L \cap M) & \xrightarrow{\text{in}} & \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta M \end{array}$$

is commutative. □

§ 12. Canonical retractions in the cones $\check{\mathbf{C}}\beta \Delta E$ and $\check{\mathbf{C}}\Delta E$

Fix a nonempty finite set E .

The simplex ΔE and its subcomplexes. Let the ΔE be the complex whose set of vertices is E and set of simplices is $\mathcal{P}_\times(E)$. For $F \in \mathcal{P}_\times(E)$, we have the subcomplex $\Delta F \subseteq \Delta E$. For $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, introduce the subcomplex $\Delta[A] \subseteq \Delta E$,

$$\Delta[A] = \bigcup_{F \in A} \Delta F.$$

For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, we have

$$A \geq B \Rightarrow \Delta[A] \supseteq \Delta[B]$$

and $\Delta[A \wedge B] = \Delta[A] \cap \Delta[B]$. Moreover, $\Delta[\{E\}] = \Delta E$.

For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, $A \geq B$, we have the canonical retraction

$$\rho_B^A = \rho_{\Delta[B]}^{\Delta[A]} : \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta \Delta[A] \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta \Delta[B].$$

Corollary 12.1. *For two layouts $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta \Delta[A] & \xrightarrow{\text{in}} & \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta \Delta E \\ \rho_{A \wedge B}^A \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_B^{\{E\}} \\ \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta \Delta[A \wedge B] & \xrightarrow{\text{in}} & \check{\mathbf{C}}\beta \Delta[B] \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Follows from Lemma 11.2. \square

Geometric realization. We adopt the obvious identification $|\Delta E| = \Delta E$. For $F \in \mathcal{P}_\times(E)$, $|\Delta F| = \Delta F$ as subsets of ΔE . For $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, $|\Delta[A]| = \Delta[A]$ in the same sense. For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, $A \geq B$, we have $\Delta[A] \supseteq \Delta[B]$ and the retraction ρ_B^A ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \check{\Delta}[A] & \xrightarrow{\rho_B^A} & \check{\Delta}[B] \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ |\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta[A]| & \xrightarrow{|\rho_B^A|} & |\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta[B]|. \end{array}$$

We call ρ_B^A the *canonical retraction*, too.

Corollary 12.2. *For two layouts $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \check{\Delta}[A] & \xrightarrow{\text{in}} & \check{\Delta}E \\ \rho_{A \wedge B}^A \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_B^{\{E\}} \\ \check{\Delta}[A \wedge B] & \xrightarrow{\text{in}} & \check{\Delta}[B] \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Follows from Corollary 12.1. \square

§ 13. The fissilizer Φ^E on $\langle (Y_a^X)^{\check{\Delta}E} \rangle$

Fix a space Z and a finite set E . Consider the presheaf $M : \mathcal{P}_\times(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mg}$, $F \mapsto Z^{\check{\Delta}F}$ (with the obvious restriction functions). For $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, we have

$$\check{\Delta}[A] = \check{\mathbf{C}}\left(\bigcup_{F \in A} \Delta F\right) = \bigvee_{F \in A} \check{\Delta}F.$$

We identify the presheaf $\underline{M} : \mathcal{A}(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mg}$ (see § 10) with the presheaf $A \mapsto Z^{\check{\Delta}A}$ by the chain of equalities/obvious identifications

$$\underline{M}(A) = \prod_{F \in A} M(F) = \prod_{F \in A} Z^{\check{\Delta}F} = Z^{\bigvee_{F \in A} \check{\Delta}F} = Z^{\check{\Delta}A}.$$

The operation (7) in our case coincides with the operation

$$\sharp : \prod_{F \in A} \langle Z^{\check{\Delta}F} \rangle \rightarrow \langle Z^{\check{\Delta}A} \rangle,$$

which we have by § 2. We will need the following formulas:

$$\epsilon\left(\sharp_{F \in A} Q_F\right) = \prod_{F \in A} \epsilon(Q_F) \tag{9}$$

and

$$(\coprod_{F \in A} Q_F)|_{\check{C}\Delta G} = \left(\prod_{F \in A \setminus \{G\}} \epsilon(Q_F) \right) Q_G. \quad (10)$$

For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, $A \geq B$, let $\lambda_A^B : \underline{M}(B) \rightarrow \underline{M}(A)$ be the function

$$Z^{\rho_B^A} : Z^{\check{C}\Delta[B]} \rightarrow Z^{\check{C}\Delta[A]},$$

where $\rho_B^A : \check{C}\Delta[A] \rightarrow \check{C}\Delta[B]$ is the canonical retraction. It follows from Corollary 12.2 that the functions λ_A^B form an extender. By § 10, we get the fissilizer

$$\Phi^E : \langle Z^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle \rightarrow \langle Z^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle.$$

Corollary 13.1. *For any ensemble $Q \in \langle Z^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle$, the ensemble $\Phi^E(Q)$ is fissile.*

Follows from Lemma 10.1. \square

We set $Z = Y_a^X$, where X and Y are cellular spaces, X compact, and $a : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map. For a space T , we have the inclusion $\langle (Y_a^X)^T \rangle \subseteq \langle (Y^X, a)^T \rangle$.

An ensemble $Q \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle$ is called (X, r) -almost fissile if, for any layout $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$,

$$\coprod_{F \in A} Q|_{\check{C}\Delta F} - Q|_{\check{C}\Delta[A]} \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{\check{C}\Delta[A]} \rangle_X^{(r+1)}.$$

Lemma 13.2. *Any affine ensemble $Q \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle$ is $(X, 1)$ -almost fissile.*

Proof. Take $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$. Consider the quantity $D \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{\check{C}\Delta[A]} \rangle$,

$$D = \coprod_{F \in A} Q|_{\check{C}\Delta F} - Q|_{\check{C}\Delta[A]}.$$

We should show that $D \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{\check{C}\Delta[A]} \rangle_X^{(2)}$. Consider the homomorphism

$$\langle \square^X \rangle : \langle (Y^X, a)^{\check{C}\Delta[A]} \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y^{\check{C}\Delta[A] \times X} \rangle.$$

We should show that $\langle \square^X \rangle(D) \in \langle Y^{\check{C}\Delta[A] \times X} \rangle_X^{(2)}$. Take $R \in \mathcal{F}_1(\check{C}\Delta[A] \times X)$. We check that $\langle \square^X \rangle(D)|_R = 0$. We are in (at least) one of the two following cases.

Case 0: $R = \{\top\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(\langle \square^X \rangle(D)) &= \epsilon(D) = && \text{(using (9))} &&= \prod_{F \in A} \epsilon(Q|_{\check{C}\Delta F}) - \epsilon(Q|_{\check{C}\Delta[A]}) = \\ &= \prod_{F \in A} \epsilon(Q) - \epsilon(Q) = && \text{(since } \epsilon(Q) = 1) &&= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which suffices in this case.

Case 1: $R \subseteq \check{C}\Delta G \times X$ for some $G \in A$. It suffices to check that $\langle \square^X \rangle(D)|_{\check{C}\Delta G \times X} = 0$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle (Y^X, a)^{\check{C}\Delta[A]} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle \square^X \rangle} & \langle Y^{\check{C}\Delta[A] \times X} \rangle \\ \downarrow ?|_{\check{C}\Delta G} & & \downarrow ?|_{\check{C}\Delta G \times X} \\ \langle (Y^X, a)^{\check{C}\Delta G} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle \square^X \rangle} & \langle Y^{\check{C}\Delta G \times X} \rangle. \end{array}$$

Thus it suffices to check that $D|_{\check{C}\Delta G} = 0$. We have

$$D|_{\check{C}\Delta G} = \quad (\text{using (10)}) \quad = \left(\prod_{F \in A \setminus \{G\}} \epsilon(Q|_{\check{C}\Delta F}) \right) Q|_{\check{C}\Delta G} - Q|_{\check{C}\Delta G} =$$

$$(\text{since } \epsilon(Q|_{\check{C}\Delta F}) = \epsilon(Q) = 1) \quad = 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary 13.3. *Let $Q \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle$ be an (X, r) -almost fissile ensemble. Then*

$$\Phi^E(Q) - Q \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle_X^{(r+1)}.$$

Proof. For $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, introduce the subgroup

$$N(A) = \langle (Y_a^X)^{\check{C}\Delta[A]} \rangle \cap \langle (Y^X, a)^{\check{C}\Delta[A]} \rangle_X^{(r+1)} \subseteq \langle (Y_a^X)^{\check{C}\Delta[A]} \rangle = \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle.$$

By Lemma 3.1, this family is preserved by the restriction homomorphisms of the presheaf $A \mapsto \langle \underline{M}(A) \rangle$ and the homomorphisms $\langle \lambda_A^B \rangle$. Since the ensemble Q is (X, r) -almost fissile, it satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 10.2. Thus $\Phi^E(Q) - Q \in N(\{E\})$, as required. \square

Given maps $a, b : X \rightarrow Y$, let us say that a is *finitely r -similar* to b , $a \overset{r}{\approx} b$, if, for any nonempty finite set E , there is a fissile ensemble $R \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle$ such that

$$\langle \theta_a^{\Delta E}(b) \rangle - R|_{(\Delta E)_+} \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle_X^{(r+1)}. \quad (11)$$

Lemma 13.4. *Let $a, b : X \rightarrow Y$ be maps. Then $a \overset{r}{\approx} b$ implies $a \approx b$.*

We do not know whether the converse holds.

Proof. Take a nonempty finite set E . We have a fissile ensemble $R \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle$ satisfying (11). We seek a fissile ensemble $S \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle$ such that

$$\langle \theta_a^{\Delta E}(b) \rangle - S \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle_X^{(r+1)}. \quad (12)$$

Put $S = R|_{(\Delta E)_+}$.

For a layout $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S|_{\Delta[A]_+} &= R|_{\check{C}\Delta[A]}|_{\Delta[A]_+} = \quad (\text{since } R \text{ is fissile}) \quad = \left(\coprod_{F \in A} R|_{\check{C}\Delta F} \right)|_{\Delta[A]_+} = \\ & \quad (\text{by naturality of } \#) \quad = \coprod_{F \in A} R|_{(\Delta F)_+} = \coprod_{F \in A} S|_{(\Delta F)_+}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus S is fissile.

The condition (12) is just the equality (11). \square

Proposition 13.5. *Let $a, b : X \rightarrow Y$ be maps. Suppose that, for any finite set E , there is an (X, r) -almost fissile ensemble $Q^E \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle$ such that*

$$\langle \theta_a^{\Delta E}(b) \rangle - Q^E|_{(\Delta E)_+} \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle_X^{(r+1)}. \quad (13)$$

Then $a \overset{r}{\approx} b$ and, moreover, $a \overset{r}{\approx} b$.

Proof. Take a nonempty finite set E . Put $Q = Q^E$ and $R = \Phi^E(Q) \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle$. By Corollary 13.1, R is fissile. By Corollary 13.3,

$$R - Q \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle_X^{(r+1)}.$$

By Lemma 3.1,

$$R|_{(\Delta E)_+} - Q|_{(\Delta E)_+} \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle_X^{(r+1)}.$$

Using (13), we get

$$\langle \theta_a^{\Delta E}(b) \rangle - R|_{(\Delta E)_+} \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle_X^{(r+1)}.$$

Thus $a \overset{r}{\approx} b$. By Lemma 13.4, $a \overset{r}{\approx} b$. □

§ 14. Strong 1-similarity

Let X and Y be spaces and $a : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map.

Lemma 14.1. *Let U be an unpointed space. Then the homomorphism*

$$\langle \theta_a^U \rangle : \langle Y^X \rangle \rightarrow \langle (Y^X, a)^{U_+} \rangle$$

takes $\langle Y^X \rangle^{(s)}$ to $\langle (Y^X, a)^{U_+} \rangle_X^{(s)}$.

Proof. Introduce the map

$$p : U_+ \times X \rightarrow X \vee X, \quad (u, x) \mapsto \text{in}_1(x), \quad (\uparrow, x) \mapsto \text{in}_2(x).$$

($u \in U, x \in X$). We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle Y^X \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle \theta_a^U \rangle} & \langle (Y^X, a)^{U_+} \rangle \\ \langle e \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \langle \square^X \rangle \\ \langle Y^{X \vee X} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle Y^p \rangle} & \langle Y^{U_+ \times X} \rangle, \end{array}$$

where $e = ? \bar{\vee} a : Y^X \rightarrow Y^{X \vee X}$.

We show that $\langle e \rangle$ sends $\langle Y^X \rangle^{(s)}$ to $\langle Y^{X \vee X} \rangle^{(s)}$. Indeed, $\langle e \rangle$ equals the composition

$$\langle Y^X \rangle \xrightarrow{? \otimes \langle a \rangle} \langle Y^X \rangle \otimes \langle Y^X \rangle \xrightarrow{(\bar{\vee})} \langle Y^{X \vee X} \rangle$$

(see [3, § 3] for (∇)). Here $\langle Y^X \rangle^{(s)}$ goes to $\langle Y^X \rangle^{(s)} \otimes \langle Y^X \rangle^{(0)}$, which goes to $\langle Y^{X \vee X} \rangle^{(s)}$ by [3, Lemma 3.1].

The homomorphism $\langle Y^P \rangle$ takes $\langle Y^{X \vee X} \rangle^{(s)}$ to $\langle Y^{U_+ \times X} \rangle^{(s)}$ by [3, Lemma 2.1]. Thus, by the diagram, $\langle \theta_a^U \rangle$ takes $\langle Y^X \rangle^{(s)}$ to $\langle \square^X \rangle^{-1}(\langle Y^{U_+ \times X} \rangle^{(s)})$, which is $\langle (Y^X, a)^{U_+} \rangle_X^{(r+1)}$ by definition. \square

Theorem 14.2. *Let $a, b : X \rightarrow Y$ be maps such that $a \stackrel{1}{\sim} b$. Then $a \stackrel{1}{\approx} b$.*

Proof. We have an ensemble $A \in \langle Y_a^X \rangle$,

$$A = \sum_i u_i \langle a_i \rangle,$$

such that $\langle b \rangle - A \in \langle Y^X \rangle^{(2)}$. For each i , choose a path $h_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y_a^X$ from a to a_i and consider the composition

$$q_i : \check{C}\Delta E \xrightarrow{\text{projection}} [0, 1] \xrightarrow{h_i} Y_a^X.$$

Consider the ensemble $Q \in \langle (Y_a^X)^{\check{C}\Delta E} \rangle$,

$$Q = \sum_i u_i \langle q_i \rangle.$$

We have

$$\epsilon(Q) = \epsilon(A) = (\text{since } \langle b \rangle - A \in \langle Y^X \rangle^{(1)}) = \epsilon(\langle b \rangle) = 1.$$

By Lemma 13.2, Q is $(X, 1)$ -almost fissile. Clearly, $q_i|_{(\Delta E)_+} = \theta_a^{\Delta E}(a_i)$. Thus $Q|_{(\Delta E)_+} = \langle \theta_a^{\Delta E} \rangle(A)$. We get

$$\langle \theta_a^{\Delta E}(b) \rangle - Q|_{(\Delta E)_+} = \langle \theta_a^{\Delta E} \rangle(\langle b \rangle - A) \in \langle (Y^X, a)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle^{(2)},$$

where \in holds by Lemma 14.1. By Proposition 13.5, $a \stackrel{1}{\approx} b$. \square

§ 15. Two identities

Let A and I be finite sets. Let $\mathcal{P}(I)$ be the set of subsets of I . Consider the set $\mathcal{P}(I)^A$ of functions $k : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(I)$. For $k \in \mathcal{P}(I)^A$, put

$$U(k) = \bigcup_{a \in A} k(a) \in \mathcal{P}(I).$$

Let $\mathcal{R}(A, I)$ be the set of $k \in \mathcal{P}(I)^A$ such that $U(k) = I$ (covers).

Lemma 15.1. *In the group $\langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle^{\otimes A}$, the equality holds*

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(I)} (-1)^{|I| - |J|} \bigotimes_{a \in A} \langle J \rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{R}(A, I)} \bigotimes_{a \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(k(a))} (-1)^{|k(a)| - |J|} \langle J \rangle \right).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(I)} (-1)^{|I|-|J|} \bigotimes_{a \in A} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(J)} \langle K \rangle \right) &= \\
&= \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(I)} (-1)^{|I|-|J|} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathcal{P}(I)^A: \\ U(k) \subseteq J}} \bigotimes_{a \in A} \langle k(a) \rangle = \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{P}(I)^A} \left(\sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{P}(I): \\ J \supseteq U(k)}} (-1)^{|I|-|J|} \right) \bigotimes_{a \in A} \langle k(a) \rangle \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{R}(A, I)} \bigotimes_{a \in A} \langle k(a) \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where $(*)$ holds because the inner sum on the left equals 1 if $U(k) = I$ and 0 otherwise. The set $\mathcal{P}(I)$ is partially ordered by inclusion. We have the isomorphism

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{P}(I)}^{-1} : \langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle$$

(see § 9), under which

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(J)} \langle K \rangle \mapsto \langle J \rangle, \quad J \in \mathcal{P}(I),$$

and

$$\langle K \rangle \mapsto \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(K)} (-1)^{|K|-|J|} \langle J \rangle, \quad K \in \mathcal{P}(I).$$

Applying it to each factor of the summands in the left and right sides of the calculation, we get the required equality. \square

Put $\mathcal{P}^\times(I) = \mathcal{P}(I) \setminus \{I\}$. We adopt the inclusion $\mathcal{P}^\times(I)^A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(I)^A$. Let $\mathcal{R}'(A, I)$ be the set of $k \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)^A$ such that $U(k) = I$.

Lemma 15.2. *In the group $\langle \mathcal{P}^\times(I) \rangle^{\otimes A}$, the equality holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigotimes_{a \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} \langle J \rangle \right) - \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} \bigotimes_{a \in A} \langle J \rangle &= \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{R}'(A, I)} \bigotimes_{a \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(k(a))} (-1)^{|k(a)|-|J|} \langle J \rangle \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use the inclusion $\langle \mathcal{P}^\times(I) \rangle^{\otimes A} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle^{\otimes A}$. Put

$$T(k) = \bigotimes_{a \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(k(a))} (-1)^{|k(a)|-|J|} \langle J \rangle \right), \quad k \in \mathcal{P}(I)^A.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in \mathcal{P}(I)^A} T(k) &= \bigotimes_{a \in A} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(I)} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(K)} (-1)^{|K|-|J|} \langle J \rangle \right) = \\
&= \bigotimes_{a \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(I)} \left(\sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{P}(I): \\ K \supseteq J}} (-1)^{|K|-|J|} \right) \langle J \rangle \right) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \bigotimes_{a \in A} \langle I \rangle, \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

where $(*)$ holds because the inner sum on the left equals 1 if $J = I$ and 0 otherwise. We have also

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)^A} T(k) &= \bigotimes_{a \in A} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(K)} (-1)^{|K|-|J|} \langle J \rangle \right) = \\ &= \bigotimes_{a \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} \left(\sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I): \\ K \supseteq J}} (-1)^{|K|-|J|} \right) \langle J \rangle \right) = \bigotimes_{a \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} \langle J \rangle \right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{R}(A, I) \supseteq \mathcal{R}'(A, I), \quad \mathcal{P}(I)^A \supseteq \mathcal{P}^\times(I)^A,$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}(A, I) \setminus \mathcal{R}'(A, I) = \mathcal{P}(I)^A \setminus \mathcal{P}^\times(I)^A$$

as subsets of $\mathcal{P}(I)^A$. Thus

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{R}'(A, I)} T(k) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{R}(A, I)} T(k) - \sum_{k \in \mathcal{P}(I)^A} T(k) + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)^A} T(k) =$$

(by Lemma 15.1 and equalities (14) and (15))

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(I)} (-1)^{|I|-|J|} \bigotimes_{a \in A} \langle J \rangle - \bigotimes_{a \in A} \langle I \rangle + \bigotimes_{a \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} \langle J \rangle \right) = \\ &= - \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} \bigotimes_{a \in A} \langle J \rangle + \bigotimes_{a \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} \langle J \rangle \right), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

§ 16. Chained monoids

Let P be a monoid. Then $\langle P \rangle$ is its monoid ring. We call the monoid P *chained* if $\langle P \rangle$ is equipped with a chain of left ideals $\langle P \rangle^{[s]}$,

$$\langle P \rangle = \langle P \rangle^{[0]} \supseteq \langle P \rangle^{[1]} \supseteq \dots$$

Given a finite set I , we consider $\mathcal{P}(I)$ as a monoid with respect to intersection and chain it by letting $\langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle^{[s]}$ be the subgroup generated by elements

$$\omega_J = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(J)} (-1)^{|J|-|K|} \langle K \rangle,$$

where $J \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, $|J| \geq s$.

§ 17. The filtration $\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$

Let P be a chained monoid. Let \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{Z} be pointed simplicial sets. Let $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}}$ denote the set of based morphisms $\mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$. Let P act on \mathbf{Z} (on the left; preserving the basepoint). For an element $p \in P$, let $p_{(\mathbf{Z})} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be its action. (We will use this notation for all actions.) The set $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}}$ carries the induced action of P . Thus the abelian group $\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle$ becomes a (left) module over $\langle P \rangle$. We define a filtration

$$\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[0]} \supseteq \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[1]} \supseteq \dots$$

Let \mathbf{T}^j , $j \in (n)$, be pointed simplicial sets and

$$\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \bigvee_{j \in (n)} \mathbf{T}^j$$

be a based morphism. We have the \mathbb{Z} -multilinear operation

$$\#_{j \in (n)} : \prod_{j \in (n)} \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}^j} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\bigvee_{j \in (n)} \mathbf{T}^j} \rangle, \quad \#_{j \in (n)} \langle \mathbf{v}^j \rangle = \langle \bigvee_{j \in (n)} \mathbf{v}^j \rangle,$$

and the homomorphism

$$\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{f}} \rangle : \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\bigvee_{j \in (n)} \mathbf{T}^j} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle.$$

Take ensembles $v_j \in \langle P \rangle^{[s_j]} \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}^j} \rangle$, $j \in (n)$, and consider the ensemble $v \in \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle$,

$$v = \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{f}} \rangle \left(\#_{j \in (n)} v^j \right). \quad (16)$$

We call v a *block* of *rank* $s_1 + \dots + s_n$. We let $\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]} \subseteq \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle$ be the subgroup generated by all blocks of rank at least s . One easily sees that it is a submodule.

Lemma 17.1. *Let $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}$ be a pointed simplicial set and $\mathbf{k} : \tilde{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ be a based simplicial morphism. Then the homomorphism*

$$\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle : \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\tilde{\mathbf{T}}} \rangle$$

takes $\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$ to $\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\tilde{\mathbf{T}}} \rangle^{[s]}$. □

Lemma 17.2. *Let $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}$ be a pointed simplicial set acted on by P and $\mathbf{h} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}$ be a P -equivariant based simplicial morphism. Then the homomorphism*

$$\langle \mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle : \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle$$

takes $\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$ to $\langle \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$. □

The cone $\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{Z}$ carries the induced action of P . We have the function

$$\check{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}} : \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow (\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{Z})^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{T}}, \quad \mathbf{v} \mapsto \check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{v}.$$

Lemma 17.3. *The homomorphism*

$$\langle \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle : \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle \rightarrow \langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}} \rangle$$

takes $\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$ to $\langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\langle \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle$ sends any block to a block of the same rank. Consider the block (16). Since $v^j \in \langle P \rangle^{[s_j]} \langle \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}^j} \rangle$ and the functions

$$\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}^j} : \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}^j} \rightarrow (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}^j}$$

preserve the action of P , we have

$$\langle \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}^j} \rangle(v^j) \in \langle P \rangle^{[s_j]} \langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}^j} \rangle.$$

Let

$$\mathbf{in}^k : \mathbf{T}^k \rightarrow \bigvee_{j \in (n)} \mathbf{T}^j$$

be the canonical insertions. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \bigvee_{j \in (n)} \check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}^j & \\ \mathbf{g} \nearrow & \downarrow \mathbf{e} := \bigvee_{j \in (n)} \check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{in}^j & \\ \check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T} & \xrightarrow{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{f}} & \check{\mathbf{c}}\left(\bigvee_{j \in (n)} \mathbf{T}^j\right), \end{array}$$

where \mathbf{e} is an isomorphism (since $\check{\mathbf{c}}$ preserves wedges) and \mathbf{g} is the unique lift of $\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{f}$. For arbitrary based morphisms $\mathbf{v}^j : \mathbf{T}^j \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, we have the commutative diagram with sendings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\bigvee_{j \in (n)} \check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}^j} & \\ (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\mathbf{g}} \swarrow & \uparrow (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\mathbf{e}} & \\ (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}} & \xleftarrow{(\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{f}}} & (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\check{\mathbf{c}}(\bigvee_{j \in (n)} \mathbf{T}^j)}, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \bigvee_{j \in (n)} \check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{v}^j & \\ \swarrow & \uparrow & \\ \check{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{f}}(\bigvee_{j \in (n)} \mathbf{v}^j)) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \check{\mathbf{c}}(\bigvee_{j \in (n)} \mathbf{v}^j). \end{array}$$

Thus we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\bigvee_{j \in (n)} \check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}^j} \rangle & \\ \langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\mathbf{g}} \rangle \swarrow & \uparrow \langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\mathbf{e}} \rangle & \\ \langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}} \rangle & \xleftarrow{\langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{f}} \rangle} & \langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{Z})^{\check{\mathbf{c}}(\bigvee_{j \in (n)} \mathbf{T}^j)} \rangle \end{array}$$

and the sendings

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \#_{j \in (n)} \langle \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}^j} \rangle(v^j) & \\
 & \swarrow & \uparrow \\
 \langle \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle(\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{f}} \rangle(\#_{j \in (n)} v^j)) & \longleftarrow & \langle \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\bigvee_{j \in (n)} \mathbf{T}^j} \rangle(\#_{j \in (n)} v^j)
 \end{array}$$

for our (and arbitrary) ensembles v^j . We get

$$\langle \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle(v) = \langle \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle(\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{f}} \rangle(\#_{j \in (n)} v^j)) = \langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{Z}})^{\mathbf{g}} \rangle(\#_{j \in (n)} \langle \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}^j} \rangle(v^j)),$$

as promised. \square

Lemma 17.4. *Let \mathbf{T}^i , $i \in (m)$, be pointed simplicial sets and $v^i \in \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}^i} \rangle^{[s_i]}$ be ensembles. Then*

$$\#_{i \in (m)} v^i \in \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\bigvee_{i \in (m)} \mathbf{T}^i} \rangle^{[s_1 + \dots + s_m]}. \quad \square$$

Fissile and almost fissile ensembles. Let E be a nonempty finite set. An ensemble $q \in \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}} \Delta^E} \rangle$ is called *fissile* if, for any layout $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$,

$$q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}} \Delta[A]} = \#_{F \in A} q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}} \Delta F}$$

in $\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}} \Delta[A]} \rangle$ (cf. §§ 2, 10). It is called *r-almost fissile* if, for any layout $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$,

$$\#_{F \in A} q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}} \Delta F} - q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}} \Delta[A]} \in \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}} \Delta[A]} \rangle^{[r+1]}$$

(cf. § 13).

§ 18. The wedge $\mathbf{W}(I)$

Fix a finite set I . Consider the pointed simplicial set

$$\mathbf{W}(I) = \bigvee_{J \in \mathcal{P}(I)} \hat{\Sigma} \mathbf{E}(I \setminus J).$$

Let

$$\mathbf{in}_J : \hat{\Sigma} \mathbf{E}(I \setminus J) \rightarrow \mathbf{W}(I)$$

be the canonical insertions. The *lead* vertex

$$\top_{\mathbf{W}(I)} = (\mathbf{in}_I)_0(1_{\hat{\Sigma} \mathbf{E} \emptyset}) \in \mathbf{W}(I)_0$$

is isolated. $\mathbf{W}(I)$ has the pointed simplicial subsets

$$\mathbf{W}^\times(I) = \bigvee_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} \hat{\Sigma} \mathbf{E}(I \setminus J)$$

and

$$\mathbf{W}^L(I) = \bigvee_{J \in \mathcal{P}(L)} \hat{\Sigma}\mathbf{E}(I \setminus J), \quad L \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I).$$

For $J, K \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, $J \supseteq K$, let

$$\tau_K^J : \hat{\Sigma}\mathbf{E}(I \setminus J) \rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}\mathbf{E}(I \setminus K)$$

be the morphism induced by the inclusion $I \setminus J \rightarrow I \setminus K$.

Let the monoid $\mathcal{P}(I)$ act on $\mathbf{W}(I)$ by the rule

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\Sigma}\mathbf{E}(I \setminus J) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{K \cap J}^J} & \hat{\Sigma}\mathbf{E}(I \setminus (K \cap J)) \\ \text{in}_J \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{in}_{K \cap J} \\ \mathbf{W}(I) & \xrightarrow{K(\mathbf{W}(I))} & \mathbf{W}(I), \end{array}$$

$K \in \mathcal{P}(I)$. The simplicial subsets $\mathbf{W}^\times(I)$ and $\mathbf{W}^L(I)$ are $\mathcal{P}(I)$ -invariant.

For $L \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)$ and $i \in I \setminus L$, we define a retraction σ_i^L by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \check{\Sigma}\hat{\Sigma}\mathbf{E}(I \setminus J) & \xrightarrow{\check{\text{in}}_J^L} & \check{\Sigma}\mathbf{W}^L(I) \\ \sigma_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_i^L \\ \hat{\Sigma}\mathbf{E}(I \setminus J) & \xrightarrow{\text{in}_J^L} & \mathbf{W}^L(I), \end{array}$$

where in_J^L are the canonical insertions and σ_i are the canonical contractions (see § 11). We call σ_i^L the canonical contraction, too. It follows from Lemma 11.1 that σ_i^L is $\mathcal{P}(I)$ -equivariant.

Given a pointed simplicial set \mathbf{T} , introduce the *filling* function

$$\chi_{L,i}^{\mathbf{T}} : \mathbf{W}^L(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^L(I)^{\check{\Sigma}\mathbf{T}}, \quad \mathbf{v} \mapsto (\check{\Sigma}\mathbf{T} \xrightarrow{\check{\mathbf{v}}} \check{\Sigma}\mathbf{W}^L(I) \xrightarrow{\sigma_i^L} \mathbf{W}^L(I)).$$

Since σ_i^L is a retraction,

$$\chi_{L,i}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{v})|_{\mathbf{T}} = \mathbf{v}. \quad (17)$$

§ 19. The module $\langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\Sigma}\beta^{\Delta E}} \rangle$

Fix a finite set I . We consider the $\langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle$ -modules $\langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle$ for a number of pointed simplicial sets \mathbf{T} . For a $\mathcal{P}(I)$ -invariant pointed simplicial subset $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{W}(I)$, the subgroup $\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle$ is a $\langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle$ -submodule. If $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}$ for two such subsets, then $\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]} \subseteq \langle \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$ by Lemma 17.2.

Lemma 19.1. *For $L \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)$, $i \in I \setminus L$, and a pointed simplicial set \mathbf{T} , the filling homomorphism*

$$\langle \chi_{L,i}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle : \langle \mathbf{W}^L(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{W}^L(I)^{\check{\Sigma}\mathbf{T}} \rangle$$

takes $\langle \mathbf{W}^L(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$ to $\langle \mathbf{W}^L(I)^{\check{\Sigma}\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$.

Proof. By construction of $\chi_{L,i}^{\mathbf{T}}$, we have the decomposition

$$\langle \chi_{L,i}^{\mathbf{T}} : \langle \mathbf{W}^L(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle \xrightarrow{\langle \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{W}^L(I)}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle} \langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{W}^L(I))^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}} \rangle \xrightarrow{\langle (\sigma_i^L)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}} \rangle} \langle \mathbf{W}^L(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}} \rangle.$$

By Lemma 17.3, $\langle \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{W}^L(I)}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle$ takes $\langle \mathbf{W}^L(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$ to $\langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{W}^L(I))^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$. Since σ_i^L is $\mathcal{P}(I)$ -equivariant, $\langle (\sigma_i^L)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}} \rangle$ takes the $\langle (\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{W}^L(I))^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$ to $\langle \mathbf{W}^L(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$ by Lemma 17.2. \square

Fix a nonempty finite set E . For $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\times}(E)$ and $J \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, introduce the based morphism

$$\theta_J^F : (\mathfrak{p}\Delta F)_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{W}(I)$$

that sends $\mathfrak{p}\Delta F$ to the vertex $\mathbf{in}_I(1_{\mathfrak{p}\mathbf{E}(I \setminus J)})$.

Lemma 19.2. *For $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\times}(E)$ and $J \in \mathcal{P}(I)$,*

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(J)} (-1)^{|J| - |K|} \langle \theta_K^F \rangle \in \langle \mathbf{W}^J(I)^{(\mathfrak{p}\Delta F)_+} \rangle^{[|J|]}.$$

Proof. Since

$$\theta_K^F = K_{(\mathbf{W}^J(I)^{(\mathfrak{p}\Delta F)_+})}(\theta_J^F),$$

the ensemble in question equals $\omega_{J < \theta_J^F} >$ and thus belongs to $\langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle^{[|J|]} \langle \mathbf{W}^J(I)^{(\mathfrak{p}\Delta F)_+} \rangle$, which is contained in $\langle \mathbf{W}^J(I)^{(\mathfrak{p}\Delta F)_+} \rangle^{[|J|]}$ by the definition of the latter. \square

Lemma 19.3. *There exist fissile ensembles*

$$p_J \in \langle \mathbf{W}^{\times}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{p}\Delta E} \rangle, \quad J \in \mathcal{P}^{\times}(I),$$

satisfying the following conditions for each $J \in \mathcal{P}^{\times}(I)$:

(1) *one has*

$$p_J|_{(\mathfrak{p}\Delta E)_+} = \langle \theta_J^E \rangle$$

in $\langle \mathbf{W}^{\times}(I)^{(\mathfrak{p}\Delta E)_+} \rangle$;

(2) *one has*

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(J)} (-1)^{|J| - |K|} p_K \in \langle \mathbf{W}^{\times}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{p}\Delta E} \rangle^{[|J|]}.$$

Proof. We will construct ensembles

$$p_J^F \in \langle \mathbf{W}^J(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{p}\Delta F} \rangle, \quad (F, J) \in \mathcal{P}_{\times}(I) \times \mathcal{P}^{\times}(I),$$

satisfying the following conditions (0_J^F) , (1_J^F) , and (2_J^F) for each pair $(F, J) \in \mathcal{P}_{\times}(I) \times \mathcal{P}^{\times}(I)$:

(0_J^F) *one has*

$$p_J^F|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{p}\Delta[B]} = \coprod_{G \in B} p_J^G$$

in $\langle \mathbf{W}^J(I)^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta[B]} \rangle$ for all $B \in \mathcal{A}(F)$;

(1_J^F) one has

$$p_J^F|_{(\mathbf{\beta}\Delta F)_+} = \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_J^F \rangle$$

in $\langle \mathbf{W}^J(I)^{(\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E)_+} \rangle$;

(2_J^F) one has

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(J)} (-1)^{|J|-|K|} p_K^F \in \langle \mathbf{W}^J(I)^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta F} \rangle^{[|J|]}.$$

Note that (0_J^F) implies

$$p_J^F|_{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta G} = p_J^G$$

for $G \in \mathcal{P}_\times(F)$. Thus (0_J^F) will yield

$$p_J^F|_{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta[B]} = \coprod_{G \in B} p_J^F|_{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta G}$$

for all $B \in \mathcal{A}(F)$, which means that p_J^F is fissile. Thus it will remain to put $p_J = p_J^E$.

Induction on $(F, J) \in \mathcal{P}_\times(E) \times \mathcal{P}^\times(I)$. Take a pair (F, J) . We assume that p_K^G are defined and the conditions (0_K^G)–(2_K^G) are satisfied for

$$(G, K) \in \mathcal{P}_\times(F) \times \mathcal{P}(J) \setminus \{(F, J)\}.$$

We construct p_J^F and check the conditions (0_J^F)–(2_J^F).

For $B \in \mathcal{A}(F)$, put

$$U(B) = \langle \mathbf{W}^J(I)^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta[B]} \rangle^{[|J|]}.$$

For $B, C \in \mathcal{A}(F)$, $B \geq C$, we have, by Lemma 17.1, the restriction homomorphism

$$?|_{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta[C]} : U(B) \rightarrow U(C).$$

Thus we have a presheaf

$$U : \mathcal{A}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}.$$

By Lemma 17.1, the canonical retractions

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_C^B : \check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta[B] \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta[C]$$

induce homomorphisms

$$\lambda_B^C = \langle \mathbf{W}^J(I)^{\boldsymbol{\rho}_C^B} \rangle|_{U(C) \rightarrow U(B)} : U(C) \rightarrow U(B),$$

which form an extender for U , as follows from Corollary 12.1. For $B \in \mathcal{A}^\times(F) = \mathcal{A}(F) \setminus \{\{F\}\}$, introduce the ensemble $u_B \in \langle \mathbf{W}^J(I)^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta[B]} \rangle$,

$$u_B = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(J)} (-1)^{|J|-|K|} \coprod_{G \in B} p_K^G.$$

By Lemma 15.1,

$$u_B = \sum_{l \in \mathcal{R}(B, J)} \# \left(\sum_{G \in B} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(l(G))} (-1)^{|l(G)| - |K|} p_K^G \right).$$

By $(2_{l(G)}^G)$, the inner sum belongs to $\langle \mathbf{W}^J(I) \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta G} \rangle^{[|l(G)|]}$. Using Lemma 17.4 and the inequality

$$\sum_{G \in B} |l(G)| \geq |J|,$$

we get that the hash product and thus u_B belong to $\langle \mathbf{W}^J(I) \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta[B]} \rangle^{[|J|]}$. We have got $u_B \in U(B)$. For $B, C \in \mathcal{A}^\times(F)$, $B \geq C$, and $K \in \mathcal{P}(J)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\#_{G \in B} p_K^G \right) |_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta[C]}} &= \quad (\text{by naturality of } \#) \quad = \#_{G \in B} p_K^G |_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta[C \wedge \{G\}]} = \\ (\text{by } (0_K^G)) &= \#_{G \in B} \left(\#_{H \in C \wedge \{G\}} p_K^H \right) = \#_{H \in C} p_K^H. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $u_B |_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta[C]}} = u_C$, that is,

$$(u_B)_{B \in \mathcal{A}^\times(F)} \in \lim_{B \in \mathcal{A}^\times(F)} U(B).$$

By Lemma 9.2, there exists an ensemble

$$u \in U(\{F\}) = \langle \mathbf{W}^J(I) \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta F} \rangle^{[|J|]} \quad (18)$$

such that

$$u |_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta[B]}} = u_B, \quad B \in \mathcal{A}^\times(F).$$

Consider the ensembles $q, r \in \langle \mathbf{W}^J(I) \check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta F} \rangle$,

$$q = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}^\times(J)} (-1)^{|J| - 1 - |K|} p_K^F, \quad r = q + u.$$

For $B \in \mathcal{A}^\times(F)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q |_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta[B]}} &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}^\times(J)} (-1)^{|J| - 1 - |K|} p_K^F |_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta[B]}} = \quad (\text{by } (0_K^F)) \\ &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}^\times(J)} (-1)^{|J| - 1 - |K|} \#_{G \in B} p_K^G \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r |_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta[B]}} &= q |_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta[B]}} + u |_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta[B]}} = q |_{\check{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{p}\Delta[B]}} + u_B = \quad (\text{by } (19)) \\ &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}^\times(J)} (-1)^{|J| - 1 - |K|} \#_{G \in B} p_K^G + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(J)} (-1)^{|J| - |K|} \#_{G \in B} p_K^G = \#_{G \in B} p_J^G. \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
r|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}^\times(J)} (-1)^{|J|-|K|} \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_K^F \rangle &= \quad (\text{by } (1_K^F)) \\
&= r|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}^\times(J)} (-1)^{|J|-|K|} p_K^F|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} = r|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} - q|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} = \\
&= u|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} \in \quad (\text{by Lemma 17.1}) \quad \in \langle \boldsymbol{W}^J(I)^{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} \rangle^{[|J|]}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this and Lemma 19.2,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_J^F \rangle - r|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} \in \langle \boldsymbol{W}^J(I)^{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} \rangle^{[|J|]}. \quad (21)$$

Choose $i \in I \setminus J$. We have the filling homomorphism

$$\langle \chi_{J,i}^{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} \rangle : \langle \boldsymbol{W}^J(I)^{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \boldsymbol{W}^J(I)^{\check{\mathfrak{B}}\Delta F} \rangle.$$

Put

$$p_J^F = r + \langle \chi_{J,i}^{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} \rangle (\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_J^F \rangle - r|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+}).$$

Check of (0_J^F) . For $B = \{F\}$, the condition is satisfied trivially. Take $B \in \mathcal{A}^\times(F)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
r|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta[B])_+} &= \quad (\text{by (20) and naturality of } \#) \quad = \coprod_{G \in B} p_J^G|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta G)_+} = \\
(\text{by } (1_J^G)) \quad &= \coprod_{G \in B} \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_J^G \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_J^F \rangle|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta[B])_+}. \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

By naturality of $\chi_{J,i}^{\boldsymbol{T}}$ with respect to \boldsymbol{T} , we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\boldsymbol{W}^J(I)^{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} & \xrightarrow{\chi_{J,i}^{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+}} & \boldsymbol{W}^J(I)^{\check{\mathfrak{B}}\Delta F} \\
\downarrow ?|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta[B])_+} & & \downarrow ?|_{\check{\mathfrak{B}}\Delta[B]} \\
\boldsymbol{W}^J(I)^{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta[B])_+} & \xrightarrow{\chi_{J,i}^{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta[B])_+}} & \boldsymbol{W}^J(I)^{\check{\mathfrak{B}}\Delta[B]}.
\end{array}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
p_J^F|_{\check{\mathfrak{B}}\Delta[B]} &= r|_{\check{\mathfrak{B}}\Delta[B]} + \langle \chi_{J,i}^{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} \rangle (\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_J^F \rangle - r|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+})|_{\check{\mathfrak{B}}\Delta[B]} = \\
(\text{by the diagram}) \quad &= r|_{\check{\mathfrak{B}}\Delta[B]} + \langle \chi_{J,i}^{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta[B])_+} \rangle (\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_J^F \rangle|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta[B])_+} - r|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta[B])_+}) = \\
(\text{by (22)}) \quad &= r|_{\check{\mathfrak{B}}\Delta[B]} = \quad (\text{by (20)}) \quad = \coprod_{G \in B} p_J^G.
\end{aligned}$$

Check of (1_J^F) . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
p_J^F|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} - r|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} &= \langle \chi_{J,i}^{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} \rangle (\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_J^F \rangle - r|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+})|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+} = \\
(\text{by (17)}) \quad &= \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}_J^F \rangle - r|_{(\mathfrak{B}\Delta F)_+}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $p_J^F|_{(\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F})_+} = \langle \theta_J^F \rangle$.

Check of (2_J^F) . It follows from (21) by Lemma 19.1, that

$$\langle \chi_{J,i}^{(\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F})_+} \rangle \langle \theta_J^F \rangle - r|_{(\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F})_+} \in \langle \mathbf{W}^J(I)^{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F}} \rangle^{[|J|]}. \quad (23)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(J)} (-1)^{|J|-|K|} p_K^F &= p_J^F - q = r + \langle \chi_{J,i}^{(\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F})_+} \rangle \langle \theta_J^F \rangle - r|_{(\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F})_+} - q = \\ &= u + \langle \chi_{J,i}^{(\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F})_+} \rangle \langle \theta_J^F \rangle - r|_{(\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F})_+} \in \langle \mathbf{W}^J(I)^{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F}} \rangle^{[|J|]}, \end{aligned}$$

where \in follows from (18) and (23). \square

Corollary 19.4. *There exists an $(|I|-1)$ -almost fissile ensemble $q \in \langle \mathbf{W}^\times(I)^{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta E}} \rangle$ such that*

$$\langle \theta_I^E \rangle - q|_{(\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta E})_+} \in \langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{(\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta E})_+} \rangle^{[|I|]}.$$

Proof. Lemma 19.3 gives fissile ensembles $p_J \in \langle \mathbf{W}^\times(I)^{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta E}} \rangle$ satisfying the conditions (1) and (2) thereof. Put

$$q = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} p_J.$$

Check that q is $(|I|-1)$ -almost fissile. Take $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \#_{F \in A} q|_{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F}} - q|_{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta[A]}} &= \#_{F \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} p_J|_{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F}} \right) - \\ &- \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} p_J|_{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta[A]}} = \quad (\text{since } p_J \text{ are fissile}) \\ &= \#_{F \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} p_J|_{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F}} \right) - \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} \#_{F \in A} p_J|_{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F}} = \\ (\text{by Lemma 15.2}) \quad &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{R}'(A, I)} \#_{F \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(k(F))} (-1)^{|k(F)|-|J|} p_J|_{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F}} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{R}'(A, I)} \#_{F \in A} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(k(F))} (-1)^{|k(F)|-|J|} p_J \right) |_{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F}}. \end{aligned}$$

By condition (2), the inner sum of the last expression belongs to $\langle \mathbf{W}^\times(I)^{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta E}} \rangle^{[|k(F)|]}$.

By Lemma 17.1, its restriction to $\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F}$ belongs to $\langle \mathbf{W}^\times(I)^{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta F}} \rangle^{[|k(F)|]}$. Using Lemma 17.4 and the inequality

$$\sum_{F \in A} |k(F)| \geq |I|,$$

we get that the hash product and thus the whole expression belong to $\langle \mathbf{W}^\times(I)^{\check{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta[A]}} \rangle^{[|I|]}$, as required.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \theta_I^E \rangle - q|_{(\mathfrak{p}^{\Delta E})_+} &= \langle \theta_I^E \rangle - \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} p_J|_{(\mathfrak{p}^{\Delta E})_+} = \\
(\text{by condition (1)}) &= \langle \theta_I^E \rangle - \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} (-1)^{|I|-1-|J|} \langle \theta_J^E \rangle = \\
&= \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}(I)} (-1)^{|I|-|J|} \langle \theta_J^E \rangle \in \quad (\text{by Lemma 19.2}) \quad \in \langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{(\mathfrak{p}^{\Delta E})_+} \rangle^{[|I|]}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

§ 20. The filtration $\langle (Y^X)^T \rangle^{[s]}$

General case. We give a topological version of the definition of § 17. Let T and Z be spaces. Let a chained monoid P act on Z (preserving the basepoint). The set Z^T carries the induced action of P . Thus the abelian group $\langle Z^T \rangle$ becomes a module over $\langle P \rangle$. We define a filtration $\langle Z^T \rangle^{[s]}$. Let T^j , $j \in (n)$, be spaces and

$$f : T \rightarrow \bigvee_{j \in (n)} T^j$$

be a map. Take ensembles $V_j \in \langle P \rangle^{[s_j]} \langle Z^{T^j} \rangle$, $j \in (n)$, and consider the ensemble $V \in \langle Z^T \rangle$,

$$V = \langle Z^f \rangle \left(\coprod_{j \in (n)} V_j \right). \quad (24)$$

We call V a *block* of rank $s_1 + \dots + s_n$. We let $\langle Z^T \rangle^{[s]} \subseteq \langle Z^T \rangle$ be the subgroup generated by all blocks of rank at least s . One easily sees that it is a submodule.

Lemma 20.1. *Let \tilde{Z} be a space acted on by P and $h : Z \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ be a P -equivariant map. Then the homomorphism*

$$\langle h^T \rangle : \langle Z^T \rangle \rightarrow \langle \tilde{Z}^T \rangle$$

takes $\langle Z^T \rangle^{[s]}$ to $\langle \tilde{Z}^T \rangle^{[s]}$.

□

Lemma 20.2. *Let \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{Z} be pointed simplicial sets. Let P act on \mathbf{Z} and thus on $|\mathbf{Z}|$. Consider the geometric realization function*

$$\gamma : \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow |\mathbf{Z}|^{|\mathbf{T}|}, \quad \mathbf{v} \mapsto |\mathbf{v}|,$$

and the homomorphism

$$\langle \gamma \rangle : \langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle \rightarrow \langle |\mathbf{Z}|^{|\mathbf{T}|} \rangle.$$

Then $\langle \gamma \rangle$ takes $\langle \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$ to $\langle |\mathbf{Z}|^{|\mathbf{T}|} \rangle^{[s]}$.

□

The case $Z = Y^X$. Let I be a finite set and Y be space acted on by the chained monoid $P = \mathcal{P}(I)$. We suppose that the action is *special*:

$$Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{Fix}\{i\}_{(Y)}.$$

Let X be a space. Consider the space $Z = Y^X$. It carries the induced action of $\mathcal{P}(I)$.

Lemma 20.3. *In the $\langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle$ -module $\langle Y^X \rangle$, the inclusion holds*

$$\langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle^{[s]} \langle Y^X \rangle \subseteq \langle Y^X \rangle^{(s)}.$$

Proof. Take a map $a \in Y^X$ and a subset $J \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, $|J| \geq s$. The ensembles of the form $\omega_J \langle a \rangle$ generate the subgroup $\langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle^{[s]} \langle Y^X \rangle$. Thus we should show that $\omega_J \langle a \rangle \in \langle Y^X \rangle^{(s)}$. Take a subspace $R \in \mathcal{F}_{s-1}(X)$. We should check that $\omega_J \langle a \rangle|_R = 0$ in $\langle Y^R \rangle$. Since the action is special, for each $x \in X$, there is $i_x \in I$ such that $a(x) \in \text{Fix}\{i_x\}_{(Y)}$. Consider the subset

$$K = \{i_x \mid x \in R \setminus \{\nabla\}\} \in \mathcal{P}(I).$$

Clearly, $|K| < s$. For $x \in R \setminus \{\nabla\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{(Y)}(a(x)) &= K_{(Y)}(\{i_x\}_{(Y)}(a(x))) = \\ &= (K \cap \{i_x\})_{(Y)}(a(x)) = \{i_x\}_{(Y)}(a(x)) = a(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $K_{(Y)} \circ a =|_R a$. Thus $\langle K \rangle \langle a \rangle =|_R \langle a \rangle$ in $\langle Y^R \rangle$. Since $|K| < s$, we have $K \not\subseteq J$. It follows that $\omega_J \langle K \rangle = 0$ in $\langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle$. We get

$$\omega_J \langle a \rangle =|_R \omega_J \langle K \rangle \langle a \rangle = 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 20.4. *Let T be a space. Then*

$$\langle (Y^X)^T \rangle^{[s]} \subseteq \langle (Y^X)^T \rangle_X^{(s)}.$$

Proof. Take a block $V \in \langle (Y^X)^T \rangle$ of rank at least s . We should show that $V \in \langle (Y^X)^T \rangle_X^{(s)}$. Consider the homomorphism

$$\langle (Y^X)^T \rangle \xrightarrow{\langle \hat{\square}^X \rangle} \langle Y^{T \wedge X} \rangle.$$

By Lemma 3.3, we should show that $\langle \hat{\square}^X \rangle(V) \in \langle Y^{T \wedge X} \rangle^{(s)}$. We have the equality (24) for some spaces T^j , map f and ensembles $V^j \in \langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle^{[s_j]} \langle (Y^X)^{T^j} \rangle$, where $s_1 + \dots + s_n \geq s$. Since the function

$$\hat{\square}^X : (Y^X)^{T^j} \rightarrow Y^{T^j \wedge X}$$

is $\mathcal{P}(I)$ -equivariant, $\langle \hat{\square}^X \rangle(V^j) \in \langle \mathcal{P}(I) \rangle^{[s_j]} \langle Y^{T^j \wedge X} \rangle$. By Lemma 20.3,

$$\langle \hat{\square}^X \rangle(V^j) \in \langle Y^{T^j \wedge X} \rangle^{(s_j)}.$$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(V^j)_{j \in (n)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \langle \hat{\square}^X \rangle (V^j)_{j \in (n)} & & \\
\downarrow \#_{j \in (n)} & & \prod_{j \in (n)} \langle (Y^X)^{T^j} \rangle \xrightarrow{\prod_{j \in (n)} \langle \hat{\square}^X \rangle} \prod_{j \in (n)} \langle Y^{T^j \wedge X} \rangle & & \downarrow \#_{j \in (n)} \\
& & \downarrow \#_{j \in (n)} & & \downarrow \#_{j \in (n)} \\
& & \langle (Y^X)^{\vee_{j \in (n)} T^j} \rangle \xrightarrow{\langle \hat{\square}^X \rangle} \langle Y^{\vee_{j \in (n)} T^j \wedge X} \rangle & & \langle \hat{\square}^X \rangle (V^j)_{j \in (n)} \\
& & \downarrow \langle (Y^X)^f \rangle & & \downarrow \langle Y^{f \wedge \text{id}_X} \rangle \\
& & \langle (Y^X)^T \rangle \xrightarrow{\langle \hat{\square}^X \rangle} \langle Y^{T \wedge X} \rangle & & \downarrow (*) \\
V & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \langle \hat{\square}^X \rangle (V) & &
\end{array}$$

(We used distributivity of smash product over wedge.) All the sendings are obvious except (*), which follows by commutativity of the diagram. By [3, Lemma 3.1],

$$\#_{j \in (n)} \langle \hat{\square}^X \rangle (V^j) \in \langle Y^{\vee_{j \in (n)} T^j \wedge X} \rangle^{(s)}.$$

By [3, Lemma 2.1], $\langle \hat{\square}^X \rangle (V) \in \langle Y^{T \wedge X} \rangle^{(s)}$, as was to be shown. \square

§ 21. The wedge $V(I)$ and a $\mathcal{P}(I)$ -equivariant map $h : V(I) \rightarrow Z$

Let I be a finite set. We give a topological version of $\mathbf{W}(I)$. Consider the space

$$V(I) = \bigvee_{J \in \mathcal{P}(I)} \bar{\Sigma} \Delta(I \setminus J).$$

Let

$$\text{in}_J : \bar{\Sigma} \Delta(I \setminus J) \rightarrow V(I)$$

be the canonical insertions. $V(I)$ consists of the isolated *lead* point

$$\top_{V(I)} = \text{in}_I(1_{\bar{\Sigma} \Delta \emptyset})$$

and the subspace

$$V^\times(I) = \bigvee_{J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)} \bar{\Sigma} \Delta(I \setminus J),$$

which is contractible.

For $J, K \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, $J \supseteq K$, let

$$\tau_K^J : \bar{\Sigma} \Delta(I \setminus J) \rightarrow \bar{\Sigma} \Delta(I \setminus K)$$

be the map induced by the inclusion $I \setminus J \rightarrow I \setminus K$.

Let the monoid $\mathcal{P}(I)$ act on $V(I)$ by the rule

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus J) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{K \cap J}^J} & \overline{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus (K \cap J)) \\ \text{in}_J \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{in}_{K \cap J} \\ V(I) & \xrightarrow{K(V(I))} & V(I), \end{array}$$

$K \in \mathcal{P}(I)$. The subspace $V^\times(I)$ is $\mathcal{P}(I)$ -invariant.

For $J \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, we have the map

$$e_J : |\hat{\Sigma}\mathbf{E}(I \setminus J)| = \overline{\Sigma}|\mathbf{E}(I \setminus J)| \xrightarrow{\overline{\Sigma}\xi_{I \setminus J}} \overline{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus J)$$

(see (8) for $\xi_{I \setminus J}$). These e_J form the map

$$e = \bigvee_{J \in \mathcal{P}(I)} e_J : |\mathbf{W}(I)| \rightarrow V(I). \quad (25)$$

It is $\mathcal{P}(I)$ -equivariant, sends the point $|\top_{\mathbf{W}(I)}|$ to $\top_{V(I)}$, and takes the subspace $|\mathbf{W}^\times(I)|$ to $V^\times(I)$.

Lemma 21.1. *Let Z be a space acted on by $\mathcal{P}(I)$. Suppose that the basepoint path component $Z_{\lhd} \subseteq Z$ is weakly contractible. Let $\top_Z \in Z$ be a point such that*

$$K_{(Z)}(\top_Z) \in Z_{\lhd}$$

for all $K \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)$. Then there exists a $\mathcal{P}(I)$ -equivariant map $h : V(I) \rightarrow Z$ such that $h(\top_{V(I)}) = \top_Z$.

Proof. We crop Z and assume that $Z = Z_{\lhd} \cup \{\top_Z\}$. We will construct maps

$$h^J : \overline{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus J) \rightarrow Z, \quad J \in \mathcal{P}(I),$$

satisfying the following conditions (1) and (2_J^K) for $J, K \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, $J \subseteq K$:

(1) one has $h^I(1_{\overline{\Sigma}\Delta\emptyset}) = \top_Z$;

(2_J^K) the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus K) & \xrightarrow{h^K} & Z \\ \tau_J^K \downarrow & & \downarrow J_{(Z)} \\ \overline{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus J) & \xrightarrow{h^J} & Z \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Note that the condition (2_J^K) is the equality $J_{(Z)} \circ h^J = h^K$.

Induction on $J \in \mathcal{P}(I)$. We define the map h^I by the condition (1). The condition (2_I^I) is satisfied trivially. Take $J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)$. We assume that the maps

h^K are defined for $K \supsetneq J$ and the conditions (2_K^L) are satisfied for $L \supseteq K \supsetneq J$. We construct h^J and check (2_J^K) for $K \supseteq J$.

For $K \supsetneq J$, put

$$B_K = \text{Im}(\bar{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus K) \xrightarrow{\tau_J^K} \bar{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus J)).$$

Since τ_J^K is an embedding, there is a map $f^K : B_K \rightarrow Z_{\triangleleft}$ such that

$$f^K(\tau_J^K(t)) = J_{(Z)}(h^K(t)), \quad t \in \bar{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus K),$$

(we use here that $\text{Im } J_{(Z)} \subseteq Z_{\triangleleft}$). We show that

$$f^K|_{B_K \cap B_L} = f^L$$

for $K, L \supsetneq J$. Take $s \in B_K \cap B_L$. Since $B_K \cap B_L = B_{K \cup L}$, we have $s = \tau_J^{K \cup L}(t)$ for some $t \in \bar{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus (K \cup L))$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \bar{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus (K \cup L)) & \xrightarrow{h^{K \cup L}} & Z \\ & \nearrow t & \searrow \tau_J^{K \cup L} & \downarrow \tau_K^{K \cup L} & \downarrow K_{(Z)} \\ s & & \bar{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus J) & \xleftarrow{\tau_J^K} \bar{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus K) & \xrightarrow{h^K} Z \end{array}$$

(the square is commutative by $(2_K^{K \cup L})$). Using the diagram, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f^K(s) &= f^K(\tau_J^{K \cup L}(t)) = f^K(\tau_J^K(\tau_K^{K \cup L}(t))) = J_{(Z)}(h^K(\tau_K^{K \cup L}(t))) = \\ &= J_{(Z)}(K_{(Z)}(h^{K \cup L}(t))) = (J \cap K)_{(Z)}(h^{K \cup L}(t)) = J_{(Z)}(h^{K \cup L}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $f^L(s) = J_{(Z)}(h^{K \cup L}(t))$. Thus $f^K(s) = f^L(s)$, as promised.

We have

$$\bigcup_{K \supsetneq J} B_K = \bar{\Sigma}\partial\Delta(I \setminus J) \subseteq \bar{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus J),$$

where $\partial\Delta(I \setminus J)$ denotes the boundary of the simplex $\Delta(I \setminus J)$. Since B_K are closed, there is a map

$$f : \bar{\Sigma}\partial\Delta(I \setminus J) \rightarrow Z_{\triangleleft}$$

such that $f|_{B_K} = f^K$ for all $K \supsetneq J$. Since $\bar{\Sigma}\partial\Delta(I \setminus J)$ is the boundary of the ball $\bar{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus J)$ and Z_{\triangleleft} is weakly contractible, f extends to a map

$$g : \bar{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus J) \rightarrow Z_{\triangleleft}.$$

We put

$$h^J(s) = J_{(Z)}(g(s)), \quad s \in \bar{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus J).$$

Clearly, $J_{(Z)} \circ h^J = h^J$, which is the condition (2_J^J) . We check the condition (2_J^K) for $K \supsetneq J$. For $t \in \bar{\Sigma}\Delta(I \setminus K)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^J(\tau_J^K(t)) &= J_{(Z)}(g(\tau_J^K(t))) = J_{(Z)}(f(\tau_J^K(t))) = J_{(Z)}(f^K(\tau_J^K(t))) = \\ &= J_{(Z)}(J_{(Z)}(h^K(t))) = J_{(Z)}(h^K(t)), \end{aligned}$$

as required.

We join all the h^J into the desired h :

$$h = \overline{\bigvee_{J \in \mathcal{P}(I)}} h^J.$$

Since $\top_{V(I)} = \text{in}_I(1_{\overline{\Sigma\Delta\emptyset}})$, we have

$$h(\top_{V(I)}) = h^I(1_{\overline{\Sigma\Delta\emptyset}}) = \quad (\text{by (1)}) \quad = \top_Z.$$

To show that d is $\mathcal{P}(I)$ -equivariant, we should check that, for $K, J \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\Sigma\Delta}(I \setminus J) & \xrightarrow{h^J} & Z \\ \tau_{K \cap J}^J \downarrow & & \downarrow K_{(Z)} \\ \overline{\Sigma\Delta}(I \setminus (K \cap J)) & \xrightarrow{h^{K \cap J}} & Z \end{array}$$

is commutative. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{(Z)} \circ h^J &= \quad (\text{by } (2_J^J)) \quad = K_{(Z)} \circ J_{(Z)} \circ h^J = \\ &= (K \cap J)_{(Z)} \circ h^J = \quad (\text{by } (2_{K \cap J}^J)) \quad = h^{K \cap J} \circ \tau_{K \cap J}^J. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

§ 22. The realization $\Upsilon_h^{\mathbf{T}} : \mathbf{W}(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow (Y^X)^{|\mathbf{T}|}$

Let X and Y be cellular spaces, X compact. Let I be a finite set and Y carry a special action of the monoid $\mathcal{P}(I)$. Let $h : V(I) \rightarrow Y^X$ be a $\mathcal{P}(I)$ -equivariant map. Let \mathbf{T} be a pointed simplicial set. Introduce the function

$$\Upsilon_h^{\mathbf{T}} : \mathbf{W}(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow (Y^X)^{|\mathbf{T}|}, \quad \mathbf{v} \mapsto (|\mathbf{T}| \xrightarrow{|v|} |\mathbf{W}(I)| \xrightarrow{e} V(I) \xrightarrow{h} Y^X),$$

(see (25) for e), the *realization*.

Lemma 22.1. *The function $\Upsilon_h^{\mathbf{T}}$ takes $\mathbf{W}^\times(I)^{\mathbf{T}}$ to $(Y^X)^{|\mathbf{T}|}$.*

Proof. The map e takes $|\mathbf{W}^\times(I)|$ to $V^\times(I)$. Since $V^\times(I)$ is path connected, d takes it to Y^X . \square

Consider the homomorphism

$$\langle \Upsilon_h^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle : \langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle \rightarrow \langle (Y^X)^{|\mathbf{T}|} \rangle.$$

Lemma 22.2. *The homomorphism $\langle \Upsilon_h^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle$ takes $\langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$ to $\langle (Y^X)^{|\mathbf{T}|} \rangle_X^{(s)}$.*

Proof. We have the decomposition

$$\langle \Upsilon_h^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle : \langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle \xrightarrow{\langle \gamma \rangle} \langle |\mathbf{W}(I)|^{|\mathbf{T}|} \rangle \xrightarrow{\langle (h \circ e)^{|\mathbf{T}|} \rangle} \langle (Y^X)^{|\mathbf{T}|} \rangle,$$

where $\gamma : \mathbf{W}(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow |\mathbf{W}(I)|^{|\mathbf{T}|}$ is the geometric realization function. By Lemma 20.2, $\langle \gamma \rangle$ takes $\langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle^{[s]}$ to $\langle |\mathbf{W}(I)|^{|\mathbf{T}|} \rangle^{[s]}$. By Lemma 20.1, $\langle (h \circ e)^{|\mathbf{T}|} \rangle$ takes $\langle |\mathbf{W}(I)|^{|\mathbf{T}|} \rangle^{[s]}$ to $\langle (Y^X)^{|\mathbf{T}|} \rangle^{[s]}$, which is contained in $\langle (Y^X)^{|\mathbf{T}|} \rangle_X^{(s)}$ by Lemma 20.4. \square

Lemma 22.3. *Let E be a nonempty finite set and $q \in \langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta E} \rangle$ be an r -almost fissile ensemble. Then the ensemble $\langle \Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta E} \rangle(q) \in \langle (Y^X)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta E} \rangle$ is (X, r) -almost fissile.*

Proof. Take $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\prod_{F \in A} \mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta E} & \xrightarrow{\prod_{F \in A} \Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta E}} & \prod_{F \in A} (Y^X)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta E} \\
\downarrow \prod_{F \in A} ?|\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta F & & \downarrow \prod_{F \in A} ?|\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta F \\
\prod_{F \in A} \mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta F} & \xrightarrow{\prod_{F \in A} \Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta F}} & \prod_{F \in A} (Y^X)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta F} \\
\downarrow \prod_{F \in A} \overline{\nabla} & & \downarrow \prod_{F \in A} \overline{\nabla} \\
\mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta[A]} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta[A]}} & (Y^X)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta[A]} \\
\uparrow ?|\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta[A] & & \uparrow ?|\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta[A] \\
\mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta E} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta E}} & (Y^X)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta E}
\end{array}$$

is commutative because $\Upsilon_h^{\mathbf{T}}$ is natural with respect to \mathbf{T} . Thus the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\prod_{F \in A} \langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta E} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\prod_{F \in A} \langle \Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta E} \rangle} & \prod_{F \in A} \langle (Y^X)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta E} \rangle \\
\downarrow \prod_{F \in A} ?|\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta F & & \downarrow \prod_{F \in A} ?|\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta F \\
\prod_{F \in A} \langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta F} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\prod_{F \in A} \langle \Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta F} \rangle} & \prod_{F \in A} \langle (Y^X)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta F} \rangle \\
\downarrow \prod_{F \in A} \# & & \downarrow \prod_{F \in A} \# \\
\langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta[A]} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle \Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta[A]} \rangle} & \langle (Y^X)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta[A]} \rangle \\
\uparrow ?|\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta[A] & & \uparrow ?|\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta[A] \\
\langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta E} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle \Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\beta\Delta E} \rangle} & \langle (Y^X)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta E} \rangle
\end{array}$$

is also commutative. In it, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(q)_{F \in A} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (Q)_{F \in A} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{p}_{\Delta F}})_{F \in A} & & (Q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta F})_{F \in A} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\#_{F \in A} q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{p}_{\Delta F}} & \xrightarrow{(1)} & \#_{F \in A} Q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta F} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{p}_{\Delta[A]}} & \xrightarrow{(2)} & Q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta[A]} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
q & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q,
\end{array}$$

where $Q = \langle \Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{p}_{\Delta E}} \rangle(q)$. All the sendings are obvious except (1) and (2), which follow by commutativity of the diagram. Since q is r -almost fissile,

$$\#_{F \in A} q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{p}_{\Delta F}} - q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{p}_{\Delta[A]}} \in \langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{p}_{\Delta[A]}} \rangle^{[r+1]}.$$

By Lemma 22.2,

$$\#_{F \in A} Q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta F} - Q|_{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta[A]} \in \langle (Y^X)^{\check{\mathbf{c}}\Delta[A]} \rangle_X^{(r+1)}.$$

Thus Q is (X, r) -almost fissile. \square

§ 23. Brunnian loops in a wedge of circles

Fix a finite set I of cardinality $r+1$. Put $X = S^1$ and $Y = I_+ \wedge S^1$ (a wedge of $r+1$ circles). Let the monoid $\mathcal{P}(I)$ act on the space I_+ by putting

$$J_{(I_+)}(i) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } i \in J, \\ \lhd & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $i \in I_+$, $J \in \mathcal{P}(I)$. This action induces one on Y . A map $b : X \rightarrow Y$ (a loop) is called *Brunnian* if the composition

$$X \xrightarrow{b} Y \xrightarrow{J(Y)} Y$$

is null-homotopic for all $J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)$.

Lemma 23.1. *Let $b : X \rightarrow Y$ be a Brunnian loop. Then $\lhd \stackrel{r}{\approx} b$.*

Proof. Take a finite set E . Consider the loop space Y^X . It carries the induced action of the monoid $\mathcal{P}(I)$. The path component Y_{\lhd}^X is weakly contractible. Since b is Brunnian, $J_{(Y^X)}(b) (= J_{(Y)} \circ b) \in Y_{\lhd}^X$ for all $J \in \mathcal{P}^\times(I)$. Therefore,

Lemma 21.1 yields a $\mathcal{P}(I)$ -equivariant map $h : V(I) \rightarrow Y^X$ such that $d(\top) = b$. We get the realization homomorphism

$$\langle \Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E} \rangle : \langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E} \rangle \rightarrow \langle (Y^X)^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\Delta E} \rangle.$$

By Corollary 19.4, there is an r -almost fissile ensemble $q \in \langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E} \rangle$ such that

$$\langle \theta_{\top}^{\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E} \rangle - q|_{(\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E)_+} \in \langle \mathbf{W}(I)^{(\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E)_+} \rangle^{[r]}. \quad (26)$$

Put $Q = \langle \Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E} \rangle(q)$. By Lemma 22.1, $Q \in \langle (Y_{\top}^X)^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\Delta E} \rangle$. By Lemma 22.3, Q is (X, r) -almost fissile. We have

$$\Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E}(\theta_I^E) = \text{by construction} = \theta_{\top}^{\Delta E}(h(\top)) = \theta_{\top}^{\Delta E}(b)$$

and

$$Q|_{(\Delta E)_+} = \langle \Upsilon_h^{\check{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E} \rangle(q)|_{(\Delta E)_+} = \text{by naturality of } \Upsilon = \langle \Upsilon_h^{(\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E)_+} \rangle(q|_{(\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E)_+}).$$

Thus

$$\langle \theta_b^{\Delta E} \rangle - Q|_{(\Delta E)_+} = \langle \Upsilon_h^{(\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E)_+} \rangle(\langle \theta_{\top}^{\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E} \rangle - q|_{(\mathbf{\beta}\Delta E)_+}) \in \langle (Y^X)^{(\Delta E)_+} \rangle_X^{(r)},$$

where \in follows from (26) by Lemma 22.2. By Proposition 13.5 $\top \approx^r b$. \square

§ 24. Loops in an arbitrary space

Nested commutators. A *nesting* t of *weight* $|t| \geq 1$ is either the atom \bullet if $|t| = 1$, or a pair (t', t'') of nestings with $|t'| + |t''| = |t|$. Given elements g_1, \dots, g_s of a group G , and a nesting t of weight s , the t -*nested commutator*

$${}^t \llbracket g_i \rrbracket_{i=1}^s \in G$$

is defined to be either g_1 if $s = 1$, or

$$\llbracket {}^{t'} \llbracket g_i \rrbracket_{i=1}^{|t'|}, {}^{t''} \llbracket g_i \rrbracket_{i=|t'|+1}^s \rrbracket$$

if $t = (t', t'')$. Nested commutators of weight s in G generate $\gamma^s G$, the s th term of the lower central series of G .

Loops. Put $X = S^1$ and let Y be a cellular space. We consider the group $\pi_1(Y) = [X, Y]$ with the filtration $\pi_1(Y)^{(s)} = [X, Y]^{((s))}$ (see § 8).

Theorem 24.1. *One has*

$$\pi_1(Y)^{((s))} = \gamma^s \pi_1(Y).$$

Recall [3, Theorem 13.2]:

$$\pi_1(Y)^{(s)} = \gamma^s \pi_1(Y). \quad (27)$$

Thus, by Theorem 8.2 and [3, Theorem 4.2], the strong r -similarity on $\pi_1(Y)$ coincides with the r -similarity.

Proof. The inclusion $\pi_1(Y)^{((s))} \subseteq \gamma^s \pi_1(Y)$ follows from the comparisons $\pi_1(Y)^{((s))} \subseteq \pi_1(Y)^{(s)}$ (immediate from the definitions) and (27).

Check that $\gamma^s \pi_1(Y) \subseteq \pi_1(Y)^{((s))}$. Since $\pi_1(Y)^{((s))}$ is a subgroup (by Theorem 8.1), it suffices to show that, for any nesting t of weight s and any maps $a_1, \dots, a_s : X \rightarrow Y$, one has

$${}^t \llbracket [a_i] \rrbracket_{i=1}^s \in \pi_1(Y)^{((s))}.$$

Put

$$B_s = \bigvee_{i \in (s)} X \quad \text{and} \quad a = \overline{\bigvee_{i \in (s)} a_i} : B_s \rightarrow Y.$$

Let $\text{in}_i : X \rightarrow B_s$ be the canonical insertions. Choose a loop $e : S^1 \rightarrow B_s$ with

$$[e] = {}^t \llbracket [\text{in}_i] \rrbracket_{i=1}^s$$

in $\pi_1(B_s)$. So ${}^t \llbracket [a_i] \rrbracket_{i=1}^s = [a \circ e]$. Clearly, the loop e is Brunnian. By Lemma 23.1, $[e] \in \pi_1(B_s)^{((s))}$. By Corollary 5.1, $[a \circ e] \in \pi_1(Y)^{((s))}$, as was to be shown. \square

§ 25. Whitehead products

Whitehead product. Let T_i , $i = 1, 2$, be compact cellular spaces and

$$T_i \xleftarrow{p_i} T_1 \times T_2 \xrightarrow{k} T_1 \wedge T_2$$

be the projections. The map

$$\Sigma(T_1 \times T_2) \xrightarrow{\Sigma k} \Sigma(T_1 \wedge T_2)$$

is homotopy right-invertible (because there is a canonical map r of the join $T_1 * T_2$ to $\Sigma(T_1 \times T_2)$ such that $\Sigma k \circ r$ is a homotopy equivalence). Let Y be a space. Given homotopy classes $\mathbf{a}_i \in [\Sigma T_i, Y]$, $i = 1, 2$, consider the homotopy classes

$$\mathbf{a}_i \circ \Sigma p_i : \Sigma(T_1 \times T_2) \xrightarrow{\Sigma p_i} \Sigma T_i \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_i} Y, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and their commutator

$$\llbracket \mathbf{a}_1 \circ \Sigma p_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \circ \Sigma p_2 \rrbracket \in [\Sigma(T_1 \times T_2), Y].$$

The Whitehead product

$$[\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2] \in [\Sigma(T_1 \wedge T_2), Y]$$

is uniquely defined by (homotopy) commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(T_1 \times T_2) & \xrightarrow{\llbracket \mathbf{a}_1 \circ \Sigma p_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \circ \Sigma p_2 \rrbracket} & Y \\ \Sigma k \downarrow & \nearrow [\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2] & \\ \Sigma(T_1 \wedge T_2) & & \end{array}$$

see [5, Section 7.8].

Nested Whitehead products. Let T_i , $i \in (s)$, be compact cellular spaces and

$$T_i \xleftarrow{p_i} T_1 \times \dots \times T_s \xrightarrow{k} T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_s$$

be the projections.

Lemma 25.1. *The map*

$$\Sigma(T_1 \times \dots \times T_s) \xrightarrow{\Sigma k} \Sigma(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_s)$$

is homotopy right-invertible.

Proof. Induction on s . If $s = 1$, k is the identity. Take $s > 1$. Put

$$T' = T_1 \times \dots \times T_{s-1}, \quad Z' = T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_{s-1}.$$

Let

$$T' \times T_s \xrightarrow{K} T' \wedge T_s \quad \text{and} \quad T' \xrightarrow{k'} Z'$$

be the projections. We have the decomposition

$$\Sigma k : \Sigma(T' \times T_s) \xrightarrow{\Sigma K} \Sigma(T' \wedge T_s) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(k' \wedge \text{id}_{T_s})} \Sigma(Z' \wedge T_s),$$

where ΣK is right-invertible (as noted above) and the second arrow is because it coincides with

$$\Sigma T' \wedge T_s \xrightarrow{\Sigma k' \wedge \text{id}_{T_s}} \Sigma Z' \wedge T_s,$$

which is right-invertible because $\Sigma k'$ is by the induction hypothesis. \square

Let Y be space, and $\mathbf{a}_i \in [\Sigma T_i, Y]$ be homotopy classes. Given a nesting t of weight s , define the t -nested Whitehead product

$${}^t[\mathbf{a}_i]_{i=1}^s \in [\Sigma(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_s), Y]$$

by induction on s putting

$${}^t[\mathbf{a}_i]_{i=1}^s = \mathbf{a}_1$$

for $s = 1$ and

$${}^t[\mathbf{a}_i]_{i=1}^s = [{}^{t'}[\mathbf{a}_i]_{i=1}^{t'}, {}^{t''}[\mathbf{a}_i]_{i=|t'|+1}^s]$$

for $t = (t', t'')$.

Lemma 25.2. *For a nesting t of weight s , the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(T_1 \times \dots \times T_s) & \xrightarrow{c := {}^t[\mathbf{a}_i \circ \Sigma p_i]_{i=1}^s} & Y \\ \Sigma k \downarrow & \nearrow w := {}^t[\mathbf{a}_i]_{i=1}^s & \\ \Sigma(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_s) & & \end{array}$$

is (homotopy) commutative.

Proof. Induction on s . If $s = 1$, Σk is the identity and $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{a}_1$. Take $s > 1$. We have $t = (t', t'')$. Put $s' = |t'|$, $s'' = |t''|$, and

$$\begin{aligned} T' &= T_1 \times \dots \times T_{s'}, & T'' &= T_{s'+1} \times \dots \times T_s, \\ Z' &= T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_{s'}, & Z'' &= T_{s'+1} \wedge \dots \wedge T_s, \end{aligned}$$

We have the commutative diagrams of projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T' \times T'' & \\ p_i \swarrow & \downarrow P' & \searrow p_i \\ T_i & \xleftarrow{p'_i} T' & \xrightarrow{k'} Z', \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & T' \times T'' & \\ p_i \swarrow & \downarrow P'' & \searrow p_i \\ T_i & \xleftarrow{p''_i} T'' & \xrightarrow{k''} Z''. \end{array}$$

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(T' \times T'') & & \\ \downarrow \Sigma P' & \searrow \tilde{\mathbf{c}}' := {}^t \llbracket \mathbf{a}_i \circ \Sigma p_i \rrbracket_{i=1}^{s'} & \\ \Sigma T' & \xrightarrow{{}^t \llbracket \mathbf{a}_i \circ \Sigma p'_i \rrbracket_{i=1}^{s'}} & Y \\ \downarrow \Sigma k' & \nearrow \mathbf{w}' := {}^t \llbracket \mathbf{a}_i \rrbracket_{i=1}^{s'} & \\ \Sigma Z' & & \end{array} \quad (28)$$

The upper triangle is commutative because the function

$$[\Sigma T', Y] \rightarrow [\Sigma(T' \times T''), Y]$$

induced by $\Sigma P'$ is a homomorphism and sends $\mathbf{a}_i \circ \Sigma p'_i$ to $\mathbf{a}_i \circ \Sigma p_i$. The lower triangle is commutative by the induction hypothesis. Similarly, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(T' \times T'') & & \\ \downarrow \Sigma P'' & \searrow \tilde{\mathbf{c}}'' := {}^t \llbracket \mathbf{a}_i \circ \Sigma p_i \rrbracket_{i=s'+1}^s & \\ \Sigma T'' & \xrightarrow{{}^t \llbracket \mathbf{a}_i \circ \Sigma p''_i \rrbracket_{i=s'+1}^s} & Y \\ \downarrow \Sigma k'' & \nearrow \mathbf{w}'' := {}^t \llbracket \mathbf{a}_i \rrbracket_{i=s'+1}^s & \\ \Sigma Z'' & & \end{array} \quad (29)$$

We have the commutative diagram of projections

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T' & \xleftarrow{P'} & T' \times T'' & \xrightarrow{P''} & T'' \\ k' \downarrow & & \downarrow k' \times k'' & & \downarrow k'' \\ Z' & \xleftarrow{Q'} & Z' \times Z'' & \xrightarrow{Q''} & Z'' \\ & & \downarrow K & & \\ & & Z' \wedge Z'' & & \end{array}$$

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \Sigma(T' \times T'') & \\
& \downarrow \Sigma(k' \times k'') & \searrow c = [\tilde{c}', \tilde{c}''] \\
\Sigma k \swarrow & \Sigma(Z' \times Z'') & \xrightarrow{[w' \circ \Sigma Q', w'' \circ \Sigma Q'']} Y \\
& \downarrow \Sigma K & \nearrow w = [w', w''] \\
& \Sigma(Z' \wedge Z'') &
\end{array}$$

The upper triangle is commutative because the function

$$[\Sigma(Z' \times Z''), Y] \rightarrow [\Sigma(T' \times T''), Y]$$

induced by $\Sigma(k' \times k'')$ is a homomorphism under which

$$w' \circ \Sigma Q' \mapsto w' \circ \Sigma k' \circ \Sigma P' = \text{by diagram (28)} = \tilde{c}'$$

and

$$w'' \circ \Sigma Q'' \mapsto w'' \circ \Sigma k'' \circ \Sigma P'' = \text{by diagram (29)} = \tilde{c}''.$$

The lower triangle is commutative by the definition of Whitehead product. We are done. \square

Corollary 25.3. *Let R be a homotopy right-inverse of Σk :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma(T_1 \times \dots \times T_s) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma k} & \Sigma(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_s), \\
& \searrow R & \\
& & \Sigma(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_s)
\end{array}
\quad \Sigma k \circ R \sim \text{id}.$$

Then, for any nesting t of weight s , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma(T_1 \times \dots \times T_s) & \xrightarrow{c := {}^t [a_i \circ \Sigma p_i]_{i=1}^s} & Y \\
\uparrow R & \nearrow w := {}^t [a_i]_{i=1}^s & \\
\Sigma(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_s) & &
\end{array}$$

is (homotopy) commutative.

Proof. We have

$$\tilde{c} \circ R = (\text{by Lemma 25.2}) = w \circ \Sigma k \circ R = (\text{since } \Sigma k \circ R \sim \text{id}) = w. \quad \square$$

§ 26. Loops and Whitehead products

Consider the wedge

$$B_s = \bigvee_{i \in (s)} S^1.$$

Given a map $v : S^1 \rightarrow B_s$ (a loop) and a space T , introduce the map v^Σ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma T & \xrightarrow{v^\Sigma} & \bigvee_{i \in (s)} \Sigma T \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ S^1 \wedge T & \xrightarrow{v \wedge \text{id}_T} & B_s \wedge T. \end{array}$$

Let

$$\text{in}_i : S^1 \rightarrow B_s \quad \text{and} \quad \text{in}_i^T : \Sigma T \rightarrow \bigvee_{i \in (s)} \Sigma T$$

be the canonical insertions.

Lemma 26.1. *The function*

$$\pi_1(B_s) \rightarrow [T, \bigvee_{i \in (s)} \Sigma T], \quad [v] \mapsto [v^\Sigma],$$

is a homomorphism, under which $[\text{in}_i] \mapsto [\text{in}_i^T]$. □

Let T_i , $i \in (s)$, be spaces and

$$T_i \xleftarrow{p_i} T_1 \times \dots \times T_s \xrightarrow{k} T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_s$$

be the projections. Let Y be a space and $a_i : \Sigma T_i \rightarrow Y$ be maps. We have the compositions

$$a_i \circ \Sigma p_i : \Sigma(T_1 \times \dots \times T_s) \xrightarrow{\Sigma p_i} \Sigma T_i \xrightarrow{a_i} Y.$$

Lemma 26.2. *Let t be a nesting of weight s . Let $e : S^1 \rightarrow B_s$ be a loop with*

$$[e] = {}^t \llbracket [\text{in}_i] \rrbracket_{i=1}^s$$

in $\pi_1(B_s)$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(T_1 \times \dots \times T_s) & \xrightarrow{e^\Sigma} & \bigvee_{i \in (s)} \Sigma(T_1 \times \dots \times T_s) \\ & \searrow \text{c} := {}^t \llbracket [a_i] \circ \Sigma p_i \rrbracket_{i=1}^s & \downarrow A := \overline{\bigvee}_{i \in (s)} (a_i \circ \Sigma p_i) \\ & & Y. \end{array}$$

is (homotopy) commutative.

Proof. Put $T = T_1 \times \dots \times T_s$. By Lemma, the function

$$\pi_1(B_s) \rightarrow [T, \bigvee_{i \in (s)} \Sigma T], \quad [v] \mapsto [v^\Sigma],$$

is a homomorphism, under which $[\text{in}_i] \mapsto [\text{in}_i^T]$. Thus

$$[e^\Sigma] = {}^t \llbracket [\text{in}_i^T] \rrbracket_{i=1}^s.$$

The map A induces a homomorphism

$$[\Sigma T, Y] \rightarrow [\Sigma T, \bigvee_{i \in (s)} \Sigma T],$$

under which $[\text{in}_i^T] \mapsto [a_i \circ \Sigma p_i]$ and thus

$$[e^\Sigma] = {}^t \llbracket [\text{in}_i^T] \rrbracket_{i=1}^s \mapsto {}^t \llbracket [a_i] \circ \Sigma p_i \rrbracket_{i=1}^s = \mathbf{c},$$

which is what was to be shown. \square

By Lemma 25.1, we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(T_1 \times \dots \times T_s) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma k} & \Sigma(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_s), \\ & \searrow R & \end{array}$$

where $\Sigma k \circ R \sim \text{id}$. Introduce the composition $M_R^v(a_i)_{i=1}^s$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(T_1 \times \dots \times T_s) & \xrightarrow{v^\Sigma} & \bigvee_{i \in (s)} \Sigma(T_1 \times \dots \times T_s) \\ \uparrow R & & \downarrow A := \bigvee_{i \in (s)} (a_i \circ \Sigma p_i) \\ \Sigma(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_s) & \xrightarrow{M_R^v(a_i)_{i=1}^s} & Y. \end{array}$$

Lemma 26.3. *Let t be a nesting of weight s . Let $e : S^1 \rightarrow B_s$ be a loop with*

$$[e] = {}^t \llbracket [\text{in}_i] \rrbracket_{i=1}^s$$

in $\pi_1(B_s)$. Then

$$[M_R^e(a_i)_{i=1}^s] = {}^t \llbracket [a_i] \rrbracket_{i=1}^s$$

in $[\Sigma(T_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_s), Y]$.

Proof. Recall the homotopy class

$$\Sigma(T_1 \times \dots \times T_s) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{c} := {}^t \llbracket [a_i] \circ \Sigma p_i \rrbracket_{i=1}^s} Y.$$

We have

$$[M_R^e(a_i)_{i=1}^s] = [A \circ e^\Sigma \circ R] = (\text{by Lemma 26.2}) = \mathbf{c} \circ R = (\text{by Corollary 25.3}) = {}^t \llbracket [a_i] \rrbracket_{i=1}^s. \quad \square$$

§ 27. Strong nullarity of Whitehead products

Let $a_i : \Sigma T_i \rightarrow Y$, etc., be as in the previous section.

Lemma 27.1. *Let $v : S^1 \rightarrow B_s$ be a loop such that $\lhd \approx^r v$. Then*

$$\lhd \approx^r M_R^v(a_i)_{i=1}^s.$$

Proof. We have

$$M_R^v(a_i)_{i=1}^s = A \circ v^\Sigma \circ R$$

(see the construction). By Corollary 5.4, $\lhd \approx^r v^\Sigma$. By Corollary 5.2, $\lhd \approx^r A \circ v^\Sigma \circ R$. \square

Given a nesting t of weight s and homotopy classes $\mathbf{a}_i \in [\Sigma T_i, Y]$, $i \in (s)$, consider the t -nested Whitehead product

$${}^t[\mathbf{a}_i]_{i=1}^s \in [\Sigma(T_1 \wedge \dots T_s), Y].$$

Theorem 27.2. *One has*

$${}^t[\mathbf{a}_i]_{i=1}^s \in [\Sigma(T_1 \wedge \dots T_s), Y]^{((s))}.$$

Proof. For each i , choose a representative $a_i : \Sigma T_i \rightarrow Y$ of \mathbf{a}_i . Choose a loop $e : S^1 \rightarrow B_s$ with

$$[e] = {}^t[[\text{in}_i]]_{i=1}^s$$

in $\pi_1(B_s)$. Clearly, the loop e is Brunnian. By Lemma 23.1, $\lhd \approx^{s-1} e$. By Lemma 27.1,

$$\lhd \approx^{s-1} M_R^e(a_i)_{i=1}^s.$$

By Lemma 26.3,

$$[M_R^e(a_i)_{i=1}^s] = {}^t[\mathbf{a}_i]_{i=1}^s.$$

Thus

$${}^t[\mathbf{a}_i]_{i=1}^s \in [\Sigma(T_1 \wedge \dots T_s), Y]^{((s))}. \quad \square$$

References

- [1] S. Podkorytov, Straight homotopy invariants, *Topol. Proc.* **49** (2017), 41–64.
- [2] S. S. Podkorytov, Homotopy similarity of maps, arXiv:2308.00859 (2023).
- [3] S. S. Podkorytov, Homotopy similarity of maps. Maps of the circle, arXiv:2406.02526 (2024).
- [4] S. S. Podkorytov, Homotopy similarity of maps. *Compositions*, to appear.
- [5] P. Selick, Introduction to homotopy theory. Fields Institute Monographs 9, AMS, 1997.

ssp@pdmi.ras.ru

<http://www.pdmi.ras.ru/~ssp>